

REVIEW

OF THE

MANAGEMENT OF ESTATES

IN THE

COURT OF WARDS,

OR UNDER THE

TALÚQDÁRS' RELIEF ACT, IN OUDH,

For the year ending 30th September, 1888.



NAINI TAL:

GOVERNMENT PRESS, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES AND OUDH

CONTENTS.

				PARA.	PAGE.
	Number of estates under administration			1	1
	Rental demand and collections.—Appendi	хI		2	2-3
	Balances	•••	. •••	35	35
	Collection of arrears	•••		6	5-6
	Income other than land rents.—Appendix		•••	79	6-10
	Assets, expenditure, and balances.—Appen	dix III	•••	10	10
	Details of expenditure.—Appendix IV	•••	•••	1113	10—12
	Cost of litigation	•••	•••	14	12-13
	Expenditure on education of wards	•••	•••	15	13-14
	Expenditure on improvements	•••	•••	16—19	14-18
	Takávi advances.—Appendix VI	•••	•••	20	18—19
	Miscellaneous expenditure ·	•••	•••	21	19-20
	Payment of debts.—Appendix VII	•••	•••	22-23	20-21
	Estates released	•••	. #*	25	23
	Trusts.—Appendices IX, X, XI, and XII	•••	•••	28	25—26
	Educational endowments.—Appendix XII	I	•••	•••	27
	-				
		Tama			
	APPEND	IUES.	•		
	A 43			Pag	
	A.—Abstracts of district reports	***	•••		1-40
	I.—Rent demands, collections, and bala		•••	i to	xiii
	II.—Demands, collections, and balance other than land rents	s from sou	irces	xiv to	xxv
	III.—Assets, expenditure, and balances			xxvi to	xxxvii
	IV.—Detailed expenditure of estates	•••		xxxviii to	xlix
	V.—Demands, payments, remissions, an	nd balance	s of		
	land revenue	•••	•••	1 to	lv
	VI.—Takúvi advances	•••	•••	lvi to	lxxiii
	VII.—Debts	•••	•••	lxxiv to	xcvii
٦	VIII.—Government loans to estates	•••			xcviii
	IXList of benevolent endowments and	miscellane	ous		
	trusts	•••	•••	xcix to	ci
	X.—Receipts and expenditure on account	t of trusts		cii to	cvii
	XI.—Demands, collections, and balances				
	account of rent of land and house	s and of sa	yer,	oviii and	cix
7	XII.—Assets and liabilities of benevolent neous trusts		lla-	ex and	▲ cxi
~		•••	•••		•
A	III.—Return of educational endowments		***	cxii and	CXVIII

REVIEW

OF THE

MANAGEMENT OF ESTATES

IN THE

COURT OF WARDS,

OR UNDER THE

TALÚQDÁRS' RELIEF ACT,

IN OUDH,

FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER, 1888.

THE reports received by the Government deal with 49 estates, the aggregate rental of which amounts to 22½ lakhs of rupees. Of these estates only seven are now managed under the Oudh Talúqdárs' Relief Act (XXIV of 1870), the remainder being in charge of the Courts of Wards. The dates on which Commissioners of Divisions submitted the reports to the Government varied considerably: and for the most part were very They were due on 1st December and were actually received from Rae Bareli, 28th January, from Sitapur, 19th February, from Fyzabad, 29th March, from Lucknow, 6th May. Of the 52 estates reported on for the year 1886-87, eight were released by or shortly after the close of that year. During 1887-88 the Court of Wards assumed the administration of the following five estates, of which the first four are situated in the Bara Banki district and the fifth in Bahraich:-

Estate.	District.	Rental.	Date of assumption of management.		
Ramnagar Dhameri, Surajpur Mailaraiganj Narauli Kunera	Bara Banki Ditto Ditto Ditto Bahraich	Rs. 2,65,713 1,64,870 90,435 64,179 3,585	3rd April, 1888. 7th May, 1888. 30th November, 1887. 7th May, 1888. 11ay, 1888.		

In the case of Ramnagar Dhameri and Kunera, the proprietors were declared incapable of managing their estates under section 162 (e), Act XVII of 1876: in the other cases charge of the estates was undertaken in the interests of minors. The Mailaraiganj estate had, at the time of assumption of management in November, 1887, a balance to its credit of about 1½ lakhs: the remaining estates, which were in charge of the Courts of Wards for less than six months of the year 1887-88, were all heavily involved, the debts in the case of Ramnagar Dhameri and Surajpur being roughly estimated at about seven and eight lakhs of rupees respectively.

The rental demand of the 44 estates which continued under management from Rental demand and colthe previous year varied but little APPENDIX I. on the whole from the demand of 1886-87, Rs. 16,69,604 as compared with Rs. 16,31,615. The most noticeable increase is one of Rs. 23,144 on a total of Rs. 1,59,100 in the Mullanpur estate (Kheri), which is attributed by the Deputy Commissioner to the excellence of the crops; it appears that nearly half the rent of the 132 villages which constitute the estate is paid in kind. Mr. Butts has omitted to give any explanation (and it should now be submitted) of an increase of more than Rs. 5,000 on a total of Rs. 55,650 in the rental of that portion of the Khajurahra estate which lies in the Sitapur district, or of Rs. 800 on a total of Rs. 6,900 in the Deokalia estate (seven annas share): in the former case it may possibly be due, in part, to the discovery of the frauds noticed in the report for 1886-87, but in that case some detail should have been given of the system, under which such large embezzlements were possible and escaped detection. The net decrease (Rs. 1,837) in the gross rental of the Khairigarh estate (Kheri) is due to the redemption during the year of certain villages in the Sitapur district which had been for some years mortgaged to the estate; the Deputy Commissioner, on the other hand, reports an increase of Rs. 5,534 on a total of Rs. 1,41,283 in the rental of the villages of the estate proper owing, it is gathered, to an increase in the area under cultivation at the crop rents which prevail in the estate. The Kaimahra estate

(Kheri) shows an increase on a total of Rs. 51,696 of Rs. 2,790, attributed to the realisation of better prices at the sale of leases, the reclamation of waste land, and a larger profit from crop-rented lands. Increase from enhancements under section 39 of the Rent Act was confined mainly to the Fyzabad and Sultanpur districts, in which the increase of rent under the statutory provisions amounted to Rs. 102 and Rs. 777 on rents aggregating Rs. 1,03,452 and Rs. 2,45,166 respectively. methodical enhancement of rents when the law and the circumstances of the holdings permit, is a point which should not be overlooked by the managers. In Sultanpur the rental of the six estates under management exceeded that of the previous year by Rs. 3,107 on an aggregate of Rs. 2,42,059, owing chiefly to improvements effected at the cost of the management.

3. The total demand of rent amounted to Rs. 20,34,232, of which Rs. 17,82,401 were collected, leaving a balance of Rs. 2,51,831. The proportions of collections given in columns 14 and 15 of appendix I are calculated upon the gross rental, and in cases where the demand did not correspond with the full rental are misleading as indices of the success in realisation. Fyzabad and Sultanpur show the best results. The collections in each district are compared in the following tabular form:—

				Percentage of rent collections to demand.			
·n				Current.	Arrear.	Total.	
Bara Banki Sitapur Hardoi Kheri Fyzabad Bahraich Rae Bareli Sultanpur Partabgarh				96·3 91·5 98·8 96·3 99·3 95·9 98·6 99·0 98·0	11.7 65.9 62.9 24.0 73.8 32.5 12.2 64.6 46.1	76·5 90·7 98·0 87·1 98·9 90·1 85·0 95·4 97·7	
P	rovincia	al Total	• •••	97.5	17:6	87.6	

In the four estates of the Kheri district the prevalence of the practice of collecting rents in advance is again noticeable. It is explained that in one estate large sums are due from lessees under the terms of their leases, but no reason is given for the insertion in the lease-deeds of a condition which cannot be exacted without pressure on the tenantry. The Commissioner is requested to give this matter his early attention. Rupees 3,095 were collected in advance in 1886-87 on account of the year under review, and Rs. 15,649 in 1887-88 on account of the current year. In the Kunera estate (Bahraich) also Rs. 800 was collected in advance in 1887-88 to meet a decree given against the estate. At the same time, however, there was an uncollected balance of current rent amounting to Rs. 446.

- 4. Of a current rental demand of Rs. 17,82,410, Rs. 17,37,975, or 97.5 per cent., were collected, leaving a balance of Rs. 44,435. In the majority of the estates the collections were excellent, though in Sitapur, Kheri, and Bahraich the managers might in some instances have shown better results. In Bajehra (Sitapur) a defaulting lessee owes the whole balance, Rs. 2,176; it is hoped that prompt measures have been taken for its recovery under the decree which has been obtained against him. The balances in Bara Banki are due for the most part in estates recently taken under management, and were probably unavoidable. There seems no reason, however, why in the Udrehra estate (Rae Bareli) there should have been, on its release at the close of the year, a balance of Rs. 1,672, or 4 per cent. of the demand, due on account of current rents: as in the case of Bhiragobindpur in the same district no explanation of the short collections is given owing to the change of managers.
- 5. On the 30th September, 1887, the balance due on account of previous years in the 44 estates still under management amounted to Rs. 1,17,873: this sum was reduced, by subsequent remissions of irrecoverable items amounting to Rs. 23,788, to Rs. 94,085, but rose to Rs. 2,51,822—the demand for the year under review—by the addition of Rs. 43,243, current arrears of 1886-87, Rs. 934 subsequently found to be due, and Rs. 1,13,560 due on account of previous years in the five new estates taken under management, one of which, Surajpur, con-

tributed alone the large sum of Rs. 81,123. The balance at the close of the year (Rs. 2,07,396) has been reduced, by subsequent remissions of Rs. 27,116, to Rs. 1,80,280, but as is noticed below, much of this large balance is in fact nominal.

The collections (Rs. 44,426) under the head of arrears were indifferent, being only 17.6 per cent. of the Fyzabad again shows good results. Banki circumstances were exceptional: three new estates were taken under management with large sums nominally due, but to a great extent irrecoverable from lapse of time or other causes. Inquiries are being made into the accounts with the result that a great portion of the outstandings will probably be struck off the books. The Mailaraigani estate (Bara Banki), however, was administered by the Court of Wards for ten months of the year, and more should have been done towards the adjustment of these accounts. The special report called for by the Commissioner should be submitted at a very early date, and the whole subject of these balances has, it is hoped, received the careful attention of the new manager. The average collections in Kheri and Rae Bareli were 24 per cent. and 12.2 per cent. of the demand respectively. Progress has, however, been made in the adjustment of the involved accounts of the estates in these districts, as the following statistics will show:--

District.		Arrear balance on 30th September, 1887.	Deduct remissions after 30th September, 1887.	Add current balance of 30th September, 1887.	Resultant arrear de- mand, 1887-88.	Collections, 1887-88.	Balance,	Remitted after 30th September, 1888.	Net balance,
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Kheri		49,887	15,906	21,517	*55,509	13,334	42,175	8,419	33,756
Rac Bareli	•••	53,222	4,689	10,752	59,285	7,272	52,013	17,289	34,733

^{*} Includes Rs. 11 subsequently found due.

Orders have not yet been passed on the remission statements for Khairigarh and Kaimahra (Kheri), so that the balance of Rs. 33,756 will be still further reduced. The recent remissions in Rae Bareli occur almost entirely in the Bhiragobindpur estate, where a large nominal balance on account of the profits of sír, which had been appropriated for years past by the managing talúqdár, was excluded from the accounts. The Korihar Sataon estate (Rae Bareli) was handed over to the talúqdár in February, 1888, with an arrear balance of Rs. 10,622: as no items were remitted as irrecoverable after the 30th September, 1887, it appears that no examination of the outstandings was made previous to release, notwithstanding the remarks made in paragraph 4 of the Government Review for 1886-87.*

7. The demands from sources other than land rents amounted to Rs. 7,35,228, and the collections to Rs. 6,79,586, or 92.4 per cent. Of the balance Rs. 55,775, Rs. 1,205 were remitted as irrecoverable after the close of the year. The percentage of realisations in Fyzabad was again the best in the Province; Hardoi coming next. The collections in each district and under each head are compared in the subjoined tables:—

Heads of receipt	Demands.	Collections.	Percentage of collections to demands.			
			,	1887-88.	1886-87.	1885-86.
		Rs.	Rs.			
Rent of houses		5,030	3,348	66	84	77
Takávi	•••	21,913	14,534	66	74	73
Law expenses		15,309	6,782	44	54	35
Interest	•••	13,362	7,451	55	65	62
Miscellaneous	•••	6,79,613	6,47,470	95	89	94
Total	•	7,35,227	6,79,585	92	85	91

^{*}Another reason frequently given for the omission to report irrecoverable balances is that an estate is about to be released from management. This is not a reason for omitting an examination of the accounts and an exclusion of such items as are without doubt irrecoverable."

Percentage of total collections to demand:—

$m{D}istrict.$						
Bara Banki		•••	***	•••	***	93.8
Sitapur	•••	•••	•••	•••	·	97.6
Hardoi	•••	•••	•••	***		99.0
Kheri	•••		•••	•••	•••	97.7
Fyzabad	•••	•••	•••		•••	99.6
Bahraich	•••	•••		•••	•••	55.9
Rae Bareli	•••		•••	•••	•••	71.5
Sultanpur	•••	•••	•••	•••		86.3
Partabgarh	•••	•••	•••	•••	••••	53:3
			-			
			Provincia	al Total		92.4

There has been an improvement in the receipts on the whole as compared with those of the previous year, though the recovery of law expenses was generally unsatisfactory, and the estates of the Partabgarh, Bahraich, and Rae Bareli districts in many cases show poor results. It is not clear from the report of the Korihar Sataon estate (Rae Bareli) whether the recorded demand, which is considerably less than that of the previous year, is the demand of the whole year or merely of the four months of the year during which the estate was under management. The balances shown for the Gaura Kasaiti estate (Rae Bareli) were nominal, being the sums uncollected at the time of the release of the estate in March, 1887.

8. As regards details of the receipts, the short collections on account of rent of houses in the Mailaraiganj estate (Bara Banki) are explained to be due to the default of a Lucknow tenant: the large arrear will, it is hoped, be recovered. The recovery of takávi advanced to tenants was fair except in Sultanpur, Bahraich, and Rae Bareli, where the balances were large. There was a considerable falling off in the realisation of recoverable law expenses which in nearly every district was, as usual, indifferent: in Partabgarh, for instance, 10 per cent. only of the demands was recovered. The matter appears to be somewhat overlooked, and requires the special attention of Deputy Commissioners and managers. By prompt action only is any success likely to be attained in the recovery of arrears of this nature. Many items appear to be very doubtful of

recovery, and if found to be irrecoverable after verification, should be struck off under proper authority. The large balance in Pandriganeshpur (Rae Bareli), Rs. 1,303, is noticeable: it is said that proceedings are being taken in execution of the decrees under which the sums are due, as well as for the realisation of the principal sum decreed (Rs. 1,367) included in the balance under "Miscellaneous." In the Mahona estate (Sultanpur) a large sum is due under a decree from the late claimant of the estate; and the Bhadayan estate of the same district also had unfortunately to defend a speculative suit brought by an impecunious or insolvent litigant who owes Rs. 743. No endeavour seems to have been made to recover the large sum of Rs. 1,223 due from a judgment-debtor in the Kaithola estate (Partabgarh), and the bad debt was transferred to the taluquar on release of the estate. All attempts to recover the sum of Rs. 1,516 due to the Bargaon estate (Partabgarh) are said to have been abortive; the Deputy Commissioner has directed further inquiry, and before allowing the attempts to be abandoned should satisfy himself that every means possible for the realisation of the debt have been exhausted. In the Rehwa estate (Bahraich) and the Dahyawan estate (Partabgarh) the collections of interest on invested capital were poor, and the year closed with large balances still due. In both cases the management inherited from the talúqdár bad debts, of which neither principal nor interest could be recovered. The want of action with reference to the sums due to the Dahyawan estate was noticed in the review of the reports for 1886-87, and it is hoped that with a new manager there may be a speedy adjustment of the accounts. The Rehwa balances require very careful attention, the collections during the year having been comparatively inconsiderable.

9. The miscellaneous receipts (Rs. 6,47,470) constitute by far the greater portion of the total receipts (Rs. 6,79,586) from sources other than land rents. Collections on the whole were better than in the previous year. Little seems to have been done for the

recovery of debts in the Mailaraiganj estate, the collections being 20 per cent. only of the demand. In Ramnagar Dhameri the realisations on account of bazaars were also poor. The following extraordinary items of large amount are included in the miscellaneous income:—

	Rs.	
Ramnagar Dhameri	. 30,000	Compensation for land taken up by the
,		Oudh and Rohilkhand Railway.
Narauli	. 50,000	Borrowed from Mailaraigani estate.
Deokalia (nine annas share	10,000	Borrowed from Rehwa estate.
	(Sale of Government promissory notes
	,	in order to lend Rs. 29,000 to Khaj-
Mansurgarh	. 5,850	urahra estate, of which Rs. 3,100 and
Marwan	. 5,850~	Rs. 3,600 were repaid to the respec-
		tive estates during the year, and re-
	(credited under this head.
Mullanpur	3,70,000	Borrowed from the Mandi State.
Musipur Chandipur	13,000	Borrowed from Bábu Narotam Das.
Udrehra	16,500	Credited by the talúqdár.

The loan contracted by the Musipur Chandipur estate was for the purpose of redeeming the mortgage of certain villages; but as the Commissioner remarks, the probable financial results of the measure should have been stated. In the accounts of the Khajurahra estate out of a loan of Rs. 13,000 negotiated, Rs. 5,632 only appear to have been credited under this head, the remainder having been paid direct to the creditors. In the receipts of the Kurwar estate a sum of Rs. 3,500, contributed by the Walipur estate which belongs to the same proprietor, is included.

The Deputy Commissioner of Kheri should not have omitted to give details of the large income under "Miscellaneous" in Khairigarh and Mullanpur (excluding in the latter estate the loan), especially as the collections were poor and the balances—a portion of which is said to be irrecoverable—large. Similarly there is an absence of details regarding the considerable balance uncollected in the Udrehra estate, Rs. 2,843, and in Bhiragobindpur (Rae Bareli), Rs. 2,130, except in the latter case with respect to a sum of Rs. 465, which is reported to be the value of mahua appropriated, like the profits of sír, by the talúqdárs. A reference is said to have been made to the Commissioner on the

subject: this source of income should either be excluded from the account in future, or the demand should be enforced. The receipts under this head of the Lakhanpur Birhar estate (Fyzabad) consist almost entirely of the proceeds of sale of indigo: the factory, however, is said to have been closed for want of funds. In the income of the Khapradih estate (Rs. 4,822) a sum of Rs. 3,644 remitted from Sultanpur, in which district a portion of the estate lies, is included, but no particulars regarding it are given. It appears, however, that the item has been unnecessarily entered in the accounts, as the same sum is shown under the head of miscellaneous expenditure, while the receipts from the Sultanpur portion of the estate are also debited to their appropriate heads.

The total assets of the estates, including opening balances both invested and unin-Assets, expenditure, and vested, are shown in appendix III as Rs. 29,29,235: the total expendi-APPENDIX III. ture amounted to Rs. 24,75,229, leaving a balance at the close of the year of Rs. 4,54,005, of which Rs. 1,92,756 were invested, and Rs. 2,61,249 uninvested: of the sum invested Rs. 55,500 stand in Government securities and Rs. 1.37,256 in other loans. Deducting the sum made over to proprietors on the release of their estates. (Rs. 1,35,638), the expenditure amounted to Rs. 23,39,591 as compared with the year's income of Rs. 24,79,305. The largest uninvested balances to the credit of estates at the close of the year were in Mailaraiganj (Rs. 81,556), Rehwa (Rs. 24,355), Pokhra Ansari (Rs. 14,768), and Khapradih (Rs. 12,897): it is said that arrangements are being made for their investment, chiefly by loans to other estates or in Government promissory notes. The balance of Rs. 35,824 in Mullanpur should have been disbursed to the creditors of the estate.

11. The proportion of the income spent under Details of expenditure. each head of account is shown in APPENDIX IV. the following statement; the percentages, however, of different years will not bear strict comparison owing to the inclusion in the income of certain years of extraordinary items of receipt, such as, for instance, the loan of Rs. 3,70,000 to the Mullanpur

estate in the year under review which is included also on the debit side as payment to debts on higher interest:—

		Percenta	ige of total	l income.
Heads of expenditure.	Amounts.	1887-88.	1886-87.	1885-86.
	Rs.			
Overdue Government revenue, cesses, and rates.	57			
Current Government revenue, cesses, and rates.	8,58,413	34.6	39.6	35.5
Management	1,07,991	4·3 6·2	5.1	4.1
Extraordinary personal expenses of proprietors.	1,54,334 50,965	2.0	8·2 4·0	7·0 2·9
Law expenses	40,163 7,880	1.6 0.3	1.6	1.0
Education of minors	11,888	0.4	0·5 0·5	0·5 0·3
Improvements Miscellaneous	60,838 3,41,511	2·4 13·7	3·4 8·8	2·9 8·5
Debts	8,41,189	33.9	29.5	29.2
Total	24,75,229	99.8	101.2	91.9
Balance	4,076	0.1	1.2	8·1
Income of year	24,79,305	100-	100-	100.

- 12. The demand on account of land revenue, cesses, and local rates was, as usual, paid in full. The expenditure on land revenue just fell short of 45 per cent. of the rental receipts. Cost of management absorbed 4·3 per cent. of the total income. The increase of the cost in the Bara Banki district is attributed to the appointment of a special manager; and in Rae Bareli and Partabgarh to the release of certain estates, which made the cost fall heavier on the remainder.
- 13. The personal expenditure, which in the above abstract is divided into maintenance and extraordinary expenses, amounted to Rs. 2,05,299, of which Rs. 50,965 are classed as extraordinary. Under the latter head marriages account for the larger items of expenditure: Rs. 10,000 were spent on this account in the Dahyawan estate. The cost of other ceremonies

12

and repairs to talúqdárs' houses are also included. It was remarked in the review for 1886-87 that Rs. 13,000 had been advanced during the last two years to the talúqdár of Pandriganeshpur for the betrothal of his son: a further sum of Rs. 3,500 was allowed during 1887-88, on account, it is said, of preliminary arrangements, which now appear to have nominally lasted three years, and cost up to date Rs. 16,500. The Deputy Commissioner remarks that the repairs to the Shahzada's bungalow, the money for which was made over to him several years ago, have not yet been completed: while further sums are shown to have been disbursed for such repairs during 1887-88. Careful supervision of the extraordinary expenditure of this estate is evidently required. In the Khairigarh estate (Kheri) the personal expenses amounted to the large sum of Rs. 33,466, and here again the Deputy Commissioner has omitted to give any detail except in regard to two items, the larger of which (Rs. 3,031) was on account of medical attendance for the Ráni.

14. A sum of Rs. 40,163 in all is debited to the head of legal expenses, of which Rs. 16,539 are recoverable and Rs. 23,624 irrecoverable: the expenditure in each class exceeds that of the previous year, as several of the estates were involved in heavy and costly litigation. The civil litigation is briefly reviewed by the Legal Remembrancer in his annual report; but short accounts, explanatory of the expenditure are also required, and have mostly been given in the reports submitted to Government by the Courts of Wards. Two appeals before the Privy Council, to which the Pokhra Ansari and Mailaraiganj estates were parties, were pending during the year. The talúqdár of Mahona is reported to have a personal appeal pending before the same tribunal to which the Court of Wards is not a party. raised by the Deputy Commissioner of Fyzabad regarding the procedure in civil suits in which Courts of Wards are concerned are for the consideration of the Legal Remembrancer in the first place, and should be separately referred for orders if necessary. The Kaithola estate, at considerable expense, successfully contested a

claim made against it for the whole estate. In Sultanpur also there were several important cases: the Court opposed with success the claim of Niamat Khan for possession of the Mahona estate and gained the suit for the redemption of 33 villages in the Bhadayan estate: the claim against the Kurwar estate, for the redemption of its share in mahál Karakhpur was pending at the close of the year. The Mahona estate (Sultanpur) lost one important civil suit and withdrew another to avoid defeat. Rupees 2,000 were finally expended in the Musipur Chandipur estate in compromising the claim for arrears of revenue, which had been long pending. The rent litigation of the year is described at length in most of the reports. But few notices of ejectment were issued—23 in all—of which 21 were issued in the Sultanpur district and two in Kheri, but nothing is said of the reasons for these notices. As has been repeatedly ordered, no such notice must issue without the express order of the Deputy Commissioner and without very special reasons, of the correctness and cogency of which he must thoroughly satisfy himself. The Deputy Commissioner gives a full account of the suits instituted for the possession and assessment to rent of certain land in the Khapradih estate: the litigation has terminated for the present in favour of the estate. Proceedings under the Rent Act were confined in the main to suits for arrears of rent. In a few districts rents were enhanced to the extent of one anna in the rupee by notices issued under section 39 of the Act; but in only one estate does the rent of an occupancy tenant appear to have been enhanced under section 33.

15. The total expenditure on the education of wards amounted to Rs. 11,888, varying from the small sum of Rs. 11 in the Madhpur estate (Partabgarh) to Rs. 2,000 in Marwan (Hardoi). Five boys were educated at the Agra Wards' Institute during the year, Rája Bhagwan Bakhsh of Pokhra Ansari, Bishambar Nath of Marwan, Shankar Bakhsh of Khajurahra, Munna Sahib of Rehwa, and Rája Partab Bahadur Singh of Kurwar. The Rehwa and Kurwar wards are reported to be making fair progress at the institute: the Deputy Commissioner intimates that the Khajurahra ward is unfortunately

subject at home to influences which counteract the benefit of his Agra education. Rája Bhagwan Bakhsh of Pokhra is backward in his studies: it is to be regretted that in consequence of an oversight of Government orders the district authorities removed him from the Wards' Institute in July, 1888, and he is now being educated at the Nawabganj High School. The minor ward of the Dahyawan estate, aged 14, is said to have continued his studies at the Partabgarh zila school, but no expenditure is recorded under the head "education:" the estate has a rent-roll of Rs. 20,967, and there seems no reason why this boy as well as the ward of the Kaimahra estate, whom the Deputy Commissioner proposes to educate at the Lakhimpur High School, should not be sent to Agra. One ward, Anant Bahadur Singh of Khapradih, whose private tutor, a Bengali, appears to have been an unfortunate selection, has been educated at the Canning College since his removal from Agra. The misbehaviour of Bábu Randhir Singh of Musipur Chandipur is much to be regretted, and the Deputy Commissioner must arrange to exert a firmer control. The arrangements for the education of the ward of the Mailaraigani estate, who for special reasons was not sent to Agra, were unsatisfactory, and the Commissioner should satisfy himself that proper arrangements have now been made: the total expenditure on education amounted to Rs. 369 out of a total income of Rs. 73,433. The evil effects of allowing a boy to remain at school at the head-quarters of the district close to his own home are illustrated by the poor result obtained in the case of the ward of Unchgaon Bhadaur, who is now 19 years old, and appears to be totally uneducated.

16. The total sum spent on improvements amounted to Rs. 60,838, or 2.4 per cent. of the income: of this sum Rs. 17,873 consisted of takávi advanced to tenants and is recoverable, the remainder (Rs. 42,965) being classed as irrecoverable. The percentage of irrecoverable expenditure on improvements proper was 1.7 per cent. only, as compared with 2.0 per cent. of the previous year. The largest expenditure occurred in Hardoi (Rs. 12,414), Bahraich (Rs. 10,259), and Sultanpur (Rs. 7,341); the districts which expended least are Fyz-

KHERI

15

No notices of ejectment were issued. The debts at the beginning of the year stood at Rs. 4,854, which were reduced to Rs. 3,930. The minor is only six years old, and has not yet commenced his studies.

No. 21, Khairigarh (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 1,41,283; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 1,03,661.

The rental demand for the year, current and arrears, was Rs. 1,51,252, of which Rs. 1,39,638, or 92.34 per cent., were realized. A sum of Rs. 11,500 was also collected in advance on account of the ensuing year. this be added, the total collections aggregated 99.92 per cent. of the total demand—a result which may be considered satisfactory. The balance, Rs. 11,614, is made up of Rs. 1,828 on account of the current demand and Rs. 9.786 arrears of former years. Of the former Rs. 588 are said to be irrecoverable and Rs. 433 doubtful, and of the latter Rs. 5,339 irrecoverable and Rs. 2,990 doubt-Proposals to strike off the irrecoverable balances The Sarosa Estate in the Sitapur are under submission. district held by Khairigarh under a deed of mortgage was redeemed during the year, and a sum of Rs. 49,892 on account of mesne profits was decreed against the This sum has been added to the list of debts. As a set-off the estate holds a bond for Rs. 27,000 against some of the mortgagors; but as the Court did not allow this to be set off, the Legal Remembrancer has been consulted as to the institution of separate suits for the recovery of the money.

The demand from sources other than rent was Rs. 32,247. Of this Rs. 26,815 were collected, which left a balance of Rs. 5,432, of which Rs. 3,896 are said to be irrecoverable. On the whole, the collections were satisfactory. The debts during the year, principal and interest, stood at Rs. 1,60,389. This sum was reduced by Rs. 56,728, and at the close of the year the debt was—

Rs.
Principal 1,02,403
Interest 1,258

ground that although a tenant will pay increased rent when he sees the well completed, he has not sufficient prudence and forethought to enter into a previous agreement: he maintains that the enforcement of the condition will practically put a stop to the construction of wells. A perusal of the remarks in the Review on the Court of Wards' Report for 1886-87 will show that the Government does not share this anticipation, and the disinclination to the execution of agreements is not reported to exist in other districts. The Government has no wish, when an estate is not heavily embarrassed, to check the construction of wells, such as those which have been sunk in the Hardoi district. Judicious sites were selected after boring, either far distant from present wells, or close to waste land which could be reclaimed, or upon which new hamlets could be built: a fair return is anticipated, and it is hoped will be It may be remarked that land which is entirely under the control of the proprietors can frequently be found in which the construction of irrigation wells will be profitable, and in the large area where rent is received in kind an agreement for enhancement is of course unnecessary. In exceptional cases, where no such land is available, and where a return for the investment is practically assured, though no previous agreement can be obtained, the circumstances can be specially reported for the orders of the Government. Suits for enhancement, though not advisable, are possible as a last resort where there is a total failure to secure a reasonable return from an investment which has obviously benefited the tenants to a considerable extent. It is the reckless expenditure in embarrassed estates on wells that are doubtful of success that the Government desires to check. One instance of inconsiderate action in this respect was quoted in the Review for 1886-87, and the instances might be multiplied, especially from districts where, owing to changes, the administration of the officer in charge has not been continuous.

18. Colonel Horsford's remarks may be quoted:—

"No one can be so foolish as to make wells unless the absolute necessity for them for various reasons is evident, or the increased rents will in time be such as to warrant the expenditure. So far as my experience goes, the danger lies in making small inferior wells which cannot last long, but are made because the authorities demand some visible signs of improvements on the estates. The wells that are being made will not only benefit the estate, but will benefit the district. The owners of these estates are having more than enough consideration paid to them in being pulled out of their difficulties and started afresh to get into debt again before long. The only benefit the country derives is that during the time such estates are under Government management, the tenants are not unduly harassed, and a few wells are made, which permanently benefit the place."

With Colonel Horsford's remarks those of some other managers may be compared. In the Kaimahra estate (Kheri district), two wells have been constructed at a cost of Rs. 1,309. The Deputy Commissioner writes:—

"I recently had an opportunity of inspecting the two completed wells, one has 28 feet of water, the other $22\frac{1}{2}$ feet. They are capable of being worked by two "purs" at one time. No immediate profit will accrue to the estate therefrom. The tenants who will benefit are Kurmis, who have had yet but a short tenure of their lands. No previous arrangement was made with them as to rent, and it will not be practicable to enhance the rates at present."

In the Rehwa estate (Bahraich) Rs. 10,231 were spent on irrecoverable improvements: 17 wells were constructed, of which 12 were for irrigation, at a cost which is not stated. The Deputy Collector in charge of the estate writes:—

"Though by constructing irrigation wells in the Muraos' holdings no enhancement of rent has been enforced within the legal term of cultivation, yet after the expiry of this period the enhancement will take effect by-and-by. The sinking of wells has been carried on with a view to promote the cultivation of tobacco; and the result is that the tobacco of Katha Kishnapur surpassed those of other districts in Oudh."

19. For Sultanpur, the Commissioner submits a tabular statement from which it appears that 50 wells have been taken in hand, of which 31 are completed and 19 in course of construction. The District Engineer, Munshi Abdul Razak, is said to have given much assistance in supervising the work. Eleven embankments have been made to retain water for irrigation

purposes, and three tanks. In the Kurwar estate, moreover, 2,000 trees were planted, and attempts made to reclaim a small area of usar. In some cases an increased rent has resulted from the provision of the means of irrigation, the tenants, it is said, having willingly agreed to an enhancement, and in others an increase is anticipated, though none has as yet been effected, as the wells were only completed at the close of the year. The final result should be noted in next year's report. In Bhadayan 10 wells are reported upon, of which six have been practically completed: the expenditure up to date has amounted to Rs. 4,305, and it is estimated that 240 bighas will be irrigated, giving an increasee in rental of Rs. 350. In Pali, four wells have been built at a cost of Rs. 2,333, the return being estimated at Rs. 265 per annum. Few improvements were undertaken in Rae Bareli or in Fyzabad: in the latter district the Khapradih estate has a large balance to its credit, but operations were chiefly confined to the completion of the wells commenced in the Sultanpur portion of the estate. In Bara Banki there will be doubtless more leisure and opportunity for making improvements in the coming year than there were during 1887-88. account of the expenditure, Rs. 2,067, in the Dahyawan estate (Partabgarh) is unsatisfactory. In Kheri, a large area of waste was brought under the plough; settlers were encouraged, and inducements given for the cultivation of sugarcane. Muzaffarnagar wheat-seed was introduced and distributed in several estates; but is not popular, as it ripens later than the ordinary kind, and requires more irrigation.

20. Rupees 17,873 were advanced to tenants during the year as takávi. As in the preAPPENDIX VI. vious year, Kheri heads the list with Rs. 7,785, Bara Banki coming next with Rs. 5,177: in the former district no less than Rs. 6,490 were advanced to tenants for the purchase of plough-bullocks. It is noticed with satisfaction that with the help of advances a number of wells have been constructed in several estates: some sugarcane mills were also purchased. In most of the estates a few Bihia mills were purchased by the manager, and hired out to the tenants at low rates.

They are, as a rule, much appreciated, and with the extension of the cultivation of sugarcane there is no reason why the country mill should not entirely disappear in the estates as it has done throughout many districts of the North-Western Provinces. might with advantage advance money for their purchase or, as the Deputy Commissioner of Bara Banki suggests, buy more mills and hire them out at a lower rate than at present asked, which would still give a very reasonable return for the investment. might also arrange to undertake free of cost borings for tenants who wish to construct wells. In Bara Banki the cost of a boring was reduced to Rs. 10, and this form of assistance would doubtless be fully appreciated. More caution appears to be required in making advances: several instances are reported of the sums due being for the present irrecoverable, and in one estate suits are said to be necessary for their recovery. No interest appears to be demanded in Kheri and Bahraich, and in some estates of Rae Bareli and Partabgarh this is stated as a fact: in such cases the demands ought invariably to be realised with punctuality. The interest due on the total demand of the year fell at the average rate of 5.7 per cent. The rate of interest charged should be noted in the report for the current year. Six per cent. was the interest originally prescribed by Government. In his report on the Kurwar estate, Thákur Salehdi Singh asserts that cultivators prefer going to the village money-lender for a loan than to either Government or the Court of Wards for takávi. One of the reasons he gives for the paucity of applications to the Court of Wards for takávi is that the estates charge the borrowers with the stamp duty and cost of registration of the bond, which they have not to pay in case of a Government These expenses ought, however, to be compensated for by the lowness of the rate of interest, if any, which is fixed.

21. The miscellaneous expenditure amounted to Rs. 3,41,511. It included disbursements of the pay of patwaris and chaukidars as well as many exceptional charges. The expenditure in the Rae Bareli district includes a sum of Rs. 1,11,563, balances made over to the

owners on the release of their estates. In the Mailaraiganj estate Rs. 5,838 are said to be payment of net profit of mortgaged villages to Kharka estate, and Rs. 8,700 were apparently invested in loans. In the Kheri district but few details are given of the expenditure under this head. The sums entered against Marwan, Mansurgarh, and Rehwa apparently include Rs. 16,000, Rs. 13,000 and Rs. 10,000 respectively, lent to other estates. The overlooking of the orders of July, 1888, in which the sale of the elephants of the Ramnagar estate was sanctioned, and which involved an expenditure of Rs. 2,157 on their keep, is not creditable to the manager. The Kuri Sadauli estate includes a sum of Rs. 3,381 on account of repayment of takávi taken from Government, which should have been shown under the head of debts.

- The statistics of the previous year under the head of debts have been consider-Payment of debts. APPENDIX VII. ably affected by the addition of several heavily embarrassed estates taken under management during the year in the Bara Banki district. Special measures were under consideration for the consolidation and gradual liquidation of the debts in the Ramnagar Dhameri, Narauli, Surajpur, and Kaimahra estates. The Ramnagar estate meanwhile borrowed during the year a sum of Rs. 50,000 from the Mailaraiganj estate, with which it paid a small portion of its debts which bore the highest interest. The Deputy Commissioner succeeded in negotiating with the Mandi State a loan of Rs. 6,57,000 at 7 per cent. on behalf of the Mullanpur estate: Rs. 3,70,000 were received from the Rája of Mandi during the year, and a total sum of Rs. 4,26,909 was devoted to the payment of the original debts.
- 23. In the whole of the estates of the Province the amount due during the year on account of principal and interest was Rs. 45,76,293, which was reduced to Rs. 36,34,353 by the payment of Rs. 8,66,557, and the remission by the creditors of Rs. 75,383: of the latter sum Rs. 74,814 were remitted in the Mullanpur estate alone. Of the total payments Rs. 4,20,425 were paid out

of ordinary income, and Rs. 4,46,132 from other sources. i.e., with money borrowed elsewhere or contributed by the talúgdárs. In the seven encumbered estates the interest due was paid in full, and the principal reduced to Rs. 1,60,693. There are only two, Sarwan Baragaon and Bahua, in which the debts are still heavy. Several cases are noticed in Court of Wards' estates. especially in Kheri and Bara Banki, where interest has been left unpaid, when money was apparently available for the purpose, but was devoted instead to the reduction of the principal. No explanation has been submitted. The Khajurahra estate (Hardoi) was able to pay Rs. 48,493 in all towards its debts by borrowing Rs. 29,000 from Marwan and Mansurgarh. The Deokalia estate (nine annas share) borrowed Rs. 10,000 from Rehwa for the same purpose. In the Rae Bareli district the progress made in the payment of the debts was satisfactory. The Kurwar estate (Sultanpur), whose liabilities stood at Rs. 2,34,005 when management was assumed eighteen years ago, is now almost free from debt: the payments made during the year have reduced the debt to Rs. 7,433 only. The debts of the Kaithola and Udrehra estates, which originally amounted to Rs. 58,391 and Rs. 67,546 respectively, were paid off entirely by the close of the year, the taluquar of the latter estate contributing Rs. 16,500 towards the liquidation. The Behra, Mamrezpur, and Behti Chiragpur estates were also freed from debt. The debts of the Kunera and Bhiragobindpur estates require very careful attention.

24. The crops appear on the whole to have been above the average. Cattle-disease was unfortunately prevalent in the estates of the Kheri district and cholera in those of Fyzabad and Sultanpur. The Deputy Commissioner of Fyzabad proposes that for the benefit of the tenants a dispensary be maintained at Haidarganj by the Kurwar, Khapradih, and Sihipur estates: a separate report on the subject is promised by the Commissioner. At the suggestion of Major Barrow a system of branding cattle, with a view to the prevention of theft, has been adopted in the Bara Banki district, and is said to be advantageous. It is not creditable to the

district officials that the false entries in the pátwaris' books of the Ramnagar estate should have hitherto escaped detection: assets "are reported to have been concealed to an enormous extent." The nature of the fraud has not yet been stated in full: the Deputy Commissioner promises a searching inquiry, the results of which he will of course report, and it is presumed that those who are directly responsible have been brought to account. Mr. Cockburn's testimony to the good relations existing between landlord and tenant in the Bara Banki district has been noticed with satisfaction. He writes:—

"The tenantry in this district are, as a rule, the most orderly and well-behaved it has been my good fortune to be thrown among. This is no doubt in a great measure due to their excellent treatment by the talúqdárs, who do not appear as prone to rack-renting as the zamíndárs about the districts of Agra, Etah, Aligarh, and the vicinity. There is still a feeling of affection and confidence between the old talúqdári families and their tenantry that I never had the pleasure of remarking in the tracts of country I mentioned between zamíndár and tenant. The landlord there (where it must be admitted the revenue is higher) has in his composition more of the speculating investor in lands, anxious to get as high interest for his money as possible, than there is here."

Colonel Grigg also remarks that rack-renting is not practised to any great extent, and that the prosperity of the tenants is evidenced by their large dealings in grain with other districts. Mr. Robinson, in writing of the Kurwar estate, reports as the effect of the new Kent Act (XVII of 1886), that from January, 1887, the annual increase to the rent-roll began to fall off, and that the tenants having obtained statutory privileges are not careful to pay their instalments of rent on due dates. This is not an experience common in other districts, to judge from the information furnished in the Revenue Reports, and the Lieutenant-Governor regrets to find so little said by the Estate-managers of the effect of the Act upon the circumstances and relations of their tenantry. He will expect a careful and full record of their experience and observations in the reports for the current year.

25. The following eight estates were released from management:—

Esta	ite.		Rental.	Date of release.
	ENCUMBER	ED.	:	
		1	Rs.	•
Kaithola	•••		31,907	1st October, 1888.
Co	URT OF W.	ARDS.		
Gaura Kasaiti	•••		78,295	9th March, 1888.
Korihar Sataon	•••	•••	78,195	6th February, 1888.
Kuri Sadauli Udrehra		:::	64,370 $41,064$	1st September, 1888. 30th September, 1888.
Pali	•••		17,796	16th December, 1888.
Behra			2,090	15th March, 1888.
Mamrezpur	•••	•••	1,982	Ditto.

The four principal estates were situated in the Rae Bareli district. The estates of Kuri Sadauli, Korihar Sataon, and Pali were handed over to the wards on their attaining their majority. In the eight estates released the rental was increased during the years of management by Rs. 50,846 owing to purchases of land and the completion of improvements: debts to the amount of Rs. 4,34,739 were paid off, and the estates had Rs. 1,35,638 to their credit on being restored to their owners. The Korihar Sataon estate had been under Government management for 20 years, during which the rental increased from Rs. 59,077 to Rs. 78,195. and the balance in hand at the close of this period (Rs. 68,315) corresponded closely to the amount of the debts in 1866. The Kuri Sadauli estate had been managed for 17 years, the Kaithola estate for 16, and the Pali estate for 13. A short account of the result of the management during these periods is given in appendix A.

26. The Government has noticed in some particulars a want of uniformity in the reports which leads to needless repetition, or omissions, especially where

estates lie in more than one district. For one district Government has received—(1) a general report by the manager on the estates in his charge; (2) a separate report, by the manager for each estate; (3) a review by the Deputy Commissioner of the manager's general report, together with remarks on each estate separately. In another case all that has been submitted to Government is merely a brief general report: where estates are situated in two districts, sometimes two separate reports, one on account of each portion of the estate, have been submitted by the Deputy Commissioner of the district in which the main portion of the estate is situated, and sometimes the Deputy Commissioner of the district in which the minor portion of the estate lies submits to Government a report regarding the portion of the estate managed by him. It should be understood that Government requires for all estates, whether situated in one or more districts, one detailed report by the manager which, it may be remarked, should be written on half margin, on the management of each estate as a whole: in addition to remarks on each estate general remarks may be made by the Deputy Commissioner regarding the whole of the estates in his district if he pleases, but they should be as brief as possible.

27. The estates were in the charge of the following officers during the year:—

Bara Banki	Major Barrow, Deputy Commissioner. Mr. W. Cockburn, General Manager. Mr. Butts, Deputy Comissioner.
Sitapur	Mr. Butts, Deputy Comissioner.
${f Hardoi}$	Colonel Horsford, ditto. "Mr. J. E. Gill, ditto.
Kheri	Lieutenant-Colonel Cowie, ditto.
Fyzabad	Lieutenant-Colonel Cowie, ditto. Mr. A. Robinson, ditto.
Bahraich	Lieutenant-Colonel Harrison, ditto. Pandit Janki Parshad, Deputy Collector.
25 WILL WICH	" \ Pandit Janki Parshad, Deputy Collector.
Rae Bareli	Mr. Chapman, Deputy Commissioner. Mirza Humayun Kadr, General Manager.
	" Mirza Humayun Kadr, General Manager.
C T	Mr. J. Sanders, Deputy Commissioner. Mr. J. L. Denniston, ditto. Thakur Salehdi Singh, Deputy Collector.
Sultanpur	Mr. J. L. Denniston, ditto.
•	(Thakur Salehdi Singh, Deputy Collector.
Partabgarh	Mr. Chapman, Deputy Commissioner. Mirza Humayun Kadr, General Manager.
8	(Mirza Humayun Kadr, General Manager.

Mr. Cockburn took charge of the Bara Banki estates in May, 1888, and, as Lieutenant-Colonel Grigg remarks, had a hard task to perform in arranging and reporting on an enormous mass of debts in extensive estates newly taken under management. He had little time or opportunity for devising and commencing improvements, but it is hoped that these will now be taken in hand by his successor. From Colonel Grigg's report it seems that wells are badly required. Colonel Horsford and Mr. Robinson have both submitted good reports, which bear evidence of a careful and profitable management of the estates in their respective districts. The reports from Kheri are in some respects wanting in detail. Thákur Salehdi Singh's excellent management of the Sultanpur estates is noticed with satisfaction: he also compiled very creditable reports of the large estates in the Rae Bareli and Partabgarh districts, to the charge ef which he has been transferred since the close of the year, though his information was not always complete, as Mirza Humayun Kadr, who had been in charge during the year, left no memoranda for his successor.

28. The list of benevolent endowments and miscellarests.

APPENDICES IX, X, XI, AND XII. 1886-87. The statistics for all the funds as compared with those of the previous year are as follows:—

				•	1887-88.	1886-87.
Opening bal	ance ·	***	•••		Rs. 35,057	Rs. 40,745
Receipts	***	***	•••	,	50,622	71,084
- 11			Total		85,679	1,11,829
Expenditure (a) Bala:		a account	of previous	year	2,334	3,573
(b) For t	rust purpo	ses	***		52,620	56,565
(c) For (other purpo	ses	***		13,428	15,541
			Total		68,382	75,679
		Closing	balance	•••]	17,297	36,150

The total opening balance was less by Rs. 1,093 than the closing balance of the previous year. This was due to the closing balance of the Balrampur Hospital not having been brought forward owing to the new system introduced with effect from the 1st April, 1887, under which the institution will be aided in future by the grant of the pay of the Assistant Surgeon, Rs. 2,400 per annum, the income from the endowment sufficing for all other expenditure. The principal feature of the year's administration of the trusts is the nominal decrease in income caused by the failure to realise the full interest on the Government securities belonging to the Lucknow endowments, the total outstandings on this account at the close of the year amounting to Rs. 20,215: as a consequence many pensioners of the New Charity Fund were not paid their pensions throughout the year, and debit balances of Rs. 10,225 and Rs. 201 respectively are recorded against the King's Hospital and Baillie Fund at the close of the year. The delay is attributed to the Comptroller-General, but it is difficult to understand how a whole year was allowed to elapse in arranging for the re-endorsement of notes or other preliminaries to payment, and it is hoped that satisfactory arrangements have been made for the future. The New Charity Fund, which invested Rs. 5,000 in promissory notes in the previous year, had the large balance of Rs. 9,063 in hand on the 30th September, 1888: the pensioners were less by 39 than in the previous year, and in these circumstances a large reduction of the Government grant of Rs. 14,000 appears possible. It is observed that the Committee have proposed a reduction of Rs. 1,800 per annum from 1st April, The proposals made in 1888 for the sale of the building occupied by the Yunani hospital and the transfer of the institution to a more convenient site should not be lost sight of.

While the receipts of the Dargáh Saiyid Salár fell off by Rs. 3,759 under the heads of house rent and cash offerings at the shrine, the expenditure exceeded that of the previous year by Rs. 4,325. The total Government securities held by these trusts are of the value of Rs. 9,78,900.

The return of educational endowments of which that of Canning College is the chief, contains the same seven endowments.

APPENDIX XIII. ments as in 1886-87. The total assets amounted to Rs. 1,29,010, of which Rs. 54,984 were expended in carrying out the wishes of the founders and Rs. 14,082 on other charges, leaving a balance of Rs. 59,944 at the close of the year.

By order, &c.,

J. WOODBURN,

Chief Secy. to Govt., N.-W. P. and Oudh.

The 24th August, 1889.

APPENDIX A.

BARA BANKI DISTRICT.—Officer in charge, Major F. Barrow, Deputy Commissioner: and Mr. W. Cockburn, General Manager.

BARA :

No. 1, Pokra Ansari (formerly Encumbered, now Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 59,581; credit balance on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 14,769.

The rental demands for the year, current and arrears, were Rs. 61,335, of which Rs. 59,948 were realized, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,387. Of this balance Rs. 39 are on account of the current demand and Rs. 1,348 on account of previous years. Rupees 503 of the latter are irrecoverable and have been struck off, the remainder being in course of collection. The collections from sources other than rents were also good. Of a demand of Rs. 4,936, Rs. 4,555 were realized. In the matter of improvements a sum of Rs. 3,112 was advanced to tenants for the construction of 21 wells (of which 18 have been completed), the purchase of a cane-mill, a sugar boiler, and plough-cattle. The tenants themselves constructed five wells without assistance. The estate spent Rs. 1,631 on the construction and repairs of roads, the planting of trees on roadsides, and the formation of an orchard on 30 bighas of what was once waste land. Twenty-eight borings were also effected, at a cost of Rs. 280. No notices of ejectment were issued during The young Rája has been withdrawn from the Wards' Institution at Agra, and is continuing his studies at the Nawabganj High School, while at the same time he is getting an insight into the management of his estate.

No. 2, Kharka (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 24,934; deficit on 30th September, 1888, Rs. 40,000.

The current rental demand of Rs. 24,934 was collected, with the exception of the small sum of Rs. 122,

RANKT

which is said to be in arrear owing to the failure of the crops of a few of the tenants. Of the balance of previous years, Rs. 1,070, Rs. 768 were realized: Rs. 136 have been struck off as irrecoverable, and the balance is in course of collection. The collections from "other sources" were also good: Rs. 1,971 out of a demand of Rs. 2.114. The estate has now a credit balance of Rs. 19,544 towards the payment of the mortgage debt of Rs. 40,000 when it falls due, of which Rs. 7,244 are shown as uninvested. A sum of Rs. 175 was advanced to two tenants for the construction of two wells, both of which have been completed, and Rs. 190 to other tenants for the purchase of plough-cattle. Rupees 402 were paid for 12 boring experiments carried out in the previous year. The new sugar-mills are now in general use in this estate. No notices of ejectment were issued. The estate was engaged in four civil and rent suits, of which it gained three and lost one.

No. 3, Togapur Yakutgang (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 5,700; deficit on 30th September, 1888, Rs. 40,580.

The estate has been leased to the Talúqdár of Jahán-gírabad for Rs. 5,700 per annum, and this sum was collected in full. The debt due to Government was reduced from Rs. 43,080 to Rs. 40,580.

No. 4, Bhilwal-Sikandarpur (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 68,125; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 1,10,690.

The rental demand for the year, Rs. 68,125, was realized, with the exception of Rs. 31. Of the outstanding balance of previous years, Rs. 1,058, only a sum of Rs. 192 was collected. Of the balance, Rs. 866, Rs. 97 have been struck off as irrecoverable, Rs. 409 are doubtful, and the remainder is being collected. The demand from "other sources," Rs. 3,115, was collected in full. Rupees 1,700 were advanced to tenants for sinking wells: 21 of these were completed, and two are under construction. Rupees 222 were spent on borings and Rs. 372 repaid to Government on account of a takávi loan. The

debts during the year stood at Rs. 1,22,400 principal, and interest Rs. 10,400. The former was reduced to Rs. 1,08,922, and the latter to Rs. 1,768. At the present rate of payment it is expected that the estate will be clear of its encumbrances in about seven years.

No notices of ejectment were issued. The estate was concerned in eight original rent suits and six appeals, all of which were decided in its favour.

No. 5, Amirpur (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 23,000; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 72,430.

The rental demand for the year was Rs. 23,011, of which Rs. 22,936 were collected, leaving a balance of Rs. 75. Of this balance Rs. 18 are irrecoverable and have been struck off. The balance on account of previous year, Rs. 25, was collected in full. The demand from "other sources," Rs. 444, was collected, all but Rs. 13.

A sum of Rs. 50 was given to a tenant for the construction of a well, and another tenant made a well at his own expense.

The debts during the year stood at Rs. 82,430, principal and interest. The amount paid was Rs. 10,000, and at the close of the year the account stood thus:—

				$\mathbf{Rs.}$
Due on account	of principal	 •••	•••	70,969
Ditto	interest	 •••	•••	1,461
		Total	•••	72,430

The interest accrued should invariably be paid in full. The debt is due to the Allahabad Bank, and it is expected that the whole will be paid off in 14 years, or a year before the Ward attains his majority. No notices of ejectment were issued, nor was the estate engaged in any litigation.

No. 6, Mailaraiganj (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 90,435; credit balance on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 1,31,556.

On the death of the Talúqdár, Nawáb Ali Khan, this estate was taken under the management of the

Bara I

4

Court of Wards on the 30th November, 1887, owing to the minority of his son and successor, Shaikh Naushed Ali Khan, who was born on the 12th January, 1875. The rental demand for the period of the year the estate was under management was Rs. 67,995, of which Rs. 66,926, or 98.42 per cent., were collected. Of the balance, Rs. 1,069, a sum of Rs. 431 is considered doubtful of collection owing to the poverty of the tenants. A balance of Rs. 18,922 on account of previous years was

of Rs. 18.057, accounted for thus:-

		,			$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{s}$.
Secured under	instalment	bonds	•••		693
	decrees of		***	•••	188
Suits filed for		•••	•••		113
Recoverable	•••	•••	•••		1,510
Old balances					15,553

found outstanding on the books of the late Talúqdár, of which Rs. 865 only were realized, leaving a balance

The Commissioner has directed that the last item be carefully scrutinized, and a special report submitted. The demand from "other sources" was Rs. 10,186, of which Rs. 5,643 were realized, leaving a balance of Rs. 4,543. A portion of the balance is secured under decrees, but recovery is barred by limitation in respect of some Rs. 600.

In the matter of improvements, Rs. 400 were spent on excavating a water-course, and Rs. 77 paid to a tenant for a well made by him. Of the 17 civil and rent suits in which the estate was concerned, it lost two, gained 10, and five are pending. No notices of ejectment were issued.

The minor, a lad of 14 years, though very corpulent when the estate was first taken under management, is said to have greatly improved under a fixed regimen laid down by the Civil Surgeon and active exercise. He was unable at first to walk up a flight of stairs, but he can now play a game of tennis. He is being educated in both English and Persian, and is fairly advanced in both.

No. 7, Ramnagar (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal BARA B income Rs. 2,65,713; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 6,82,032.

This large estate was taken under the management of the Court of Wards on the 3rd April, 1888, owing to the heavy liabilities of the Talúqdár, Rája Sarabjit Singh, and his incompetence to manage, due to infirmities and The rent demandable for the half-year the estate was in charge was Rs. 69,758. Of this Rs. 59,456 were collected, and the remainder, Rs. 10,302, is under investigation, as the greater portion of this is said to have been paid to the Rája's agents before the estate came under management. The demand on account of rent due for former years was Rs. 13,023, of which Rs. 5.338 were collected. Of the balance, Rs. 7,685, a sum of Rs. 4,979 is secured by decrees of Court, and Rs. 2,706 are said to be inexplicable owing to the confused state of the former accounts of the estate: these are now being enquired into: and when settled, proposals will be submitted for the remission of all sums found to be irrecoverable. The demand from sources other than land-rents was Rs. 35,275: it includes a sum of Rs. 30,000 recovered from the Oudh and Rohilkhand Railway Company for land taken up. The collections amounted to Rs. 33,127, and the balance, Rs. 2,148, is in course of realization.

No improvements were made, nor advances given to tenants, during the short time the estate was under management. No notices of ejectment were issued; but the estate was engaged in two civil and 34 rent suits. Of the former it gained one and lost one, and of the latter it gained 33 and one is pending.

The debts of the estate when taken under management were estimated at-

Principal Interest	•••	•••	•••	•••	Rs. 5,82,965 1,00,871
			Total	•••	6,83,836

Of this only Rs. 1,803 were paid off during the year.

a Banki.

A scheme has been sanctioned for the consolidation of the debts, and when this has been carried out, it is expected that the liabilities will be cleared off in about 12 years from the annual surplus, which is estimated at Rs. 80,000.

No. 8, Narauli (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 64,179; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 2,71,339.

The Talúqdár of this estate, Saiyid Sajjaad Husain, died on the 3rd November, 1887, and he was succeeded by his brother, Irshad Husain, only two years old. The estate was attached for arrears of revenue on the 7th November, 1887, and the Court of Wards assumed charge on the 7th May, 1888, owing to the mismanagement of the guardian and father of the minor Talúqdár.

The rental demand for the period the estate was under management was Rs. 29,801. This was realized all but Rs. 29. The demand for past years was Rs. 224, which was collected in full.

The demand from "other sources," which includes a sum of Rs. 50,000 borrowed from the Mailaraiganj estate, was Rs. 52,759, which was collected, with the exception of Rs. 30.

No improvements were taken in hand nor advances made to tenants owing to the embarrassed circumstances of the estate. No notices of ejectment were issued, and the two rent suits in which the estate was concerned are still pending.

The debts of the estate are set down at Rs. 2,70,000. A sum of Rs. 50,000 was borrowed from the Mailaraiganj estate with which to pay off the more pressing claims, and arrangements are being made as regards the balance, Rs. 2,20,000. The estimated annual surplus is expected to be Rs. 26,600, and if this can be maintained, the debts will be cleared off in about 16 years, or three years before the young Talúqdár attains his majority.

No. 9, Surajpur (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 1,64,870; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 7,94,479.

This estate was taken under the management of the Court of Wards on the 7th May, 1888, in the interests of the minor Talúqdár, Pirthi Pal Singh, a boy eight years old. The mother of the minor was appointed guardian by the will of her late husband; but as the property was being mismanaged and becoming heavily involved, the Court of Wards intervened and assumed charge.

The current demand for the five months the estate was held in charge was Rs. 34,558, and Rs. 32,065 of this sum were collected, which left a balance of Rs. 2,493.

The demand on account of former years amounted to the large sum of Rs. 81,123, of which only Rs. 5,965 were collected. The remainder, Rs. 75,158, is thus accounted for:—

Secured under decrees Supposed to be recoverable, but not collect	cted owing	to	Rs. 3,594
confusion in the accounts and poverty of Believed to be irrecoverable and barred by		•••	6,910 $64,654$
T	otal	•••	75,158

These accounts are being looked into carefully.

The demand from "other sources" was Rs. 1,446, of which Rs. 1,358 were collected, leaving a balance of Rs. 88, which is due on account of rent of houses.

No improvements were taken in hand, nor were advances made to tenants owing to the embarrassments of the estate.

The estate was concerned in three civil and 16 rent suits. The former are still pending; and of the latter, one was compromised, 12 decided in favour of the estate, and three are pending.

BANKI.

The debts of the estate as at present reported are—

Principal Interest	•••	•••	•••	•••	Rs. 6,74,160 1,20,319
			Total	•••	7,94,479

But it is expected that they will amount to about Rs. $8\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs when all claims are received. Arrangements are being made for consolidating the debts and the payment of the debts bearing high rates of interest. A large reduction is expected if compromises on cash payments can be effected, the cash being obtained by loans bearing a reasonable interest.

SITAPUR DISTRICT.—Officer in charge, Mr. H. H. Butts, Deputy Commissioner.

No. 10, Bajehra (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 14,161; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 49.

The rental demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 14,200, of which a sum of Rs. 12,024, or 84.67 per cent., was collected. The balance, Rs. 2,176, is on account of the current demand only. The whole sum is due by one man, who has held the lease of a large portion of the estate for many years. The lease has fallen in, and will not be renewed. The amount due has been secured by a decree, and will be recovered from him or his surety. Of the income, Rs. 1,155, from "other sources," Rs. 1,011 were realized. In the matter of improvements, Rs. 75 were expended on establishing three hamlets, Rs. 620 on the construction of two wells, and Rs. 278 in making platforms to four wells; Rs. 190 were also advanced to tenants. No notices of ejectment were issued The debts of the estate were cleared during the year. off last year, with the exception of Rs. 49 due to a creditor who cannot be found: the debt will now be struck off the books. Proposals for redeeming a valuable portion of the estate which is said to be mortgaged for Rs. 65,000 are still under the consideration of the Legal

PUR,

Remembrancer. The property will continue under the management of the Court of Wards as the owner is an idiot.

SITAP

No. 11, Deokalia, nine annas share (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 11,599; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 23,764.

The rental demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 12,202, of which Rs. 11,577, or 94.87 per cent., were realized. Of the balance Rs. 625, a sum of Rs. 21 has been struck off as irrecoverable: the remainder is in course of collection. The income from "other sources" was Rs. 10,947, which includes a sum of Rs. 10,000, borrowed to pay off a portion of the debts of the estate. Rupees 10,859 were collected, leaving a small balance of Rs. 88. sum of Rs. 100 was advanced to tenants, and Rs. 510 expended on improvements. A well was built, costing Rs. 297, and two platforms to wells were constructed for Rs. 75 each: the balance was spent on establishing two hamlets and the construction of an embankment. Some 2,200 babul trees and 200 bamboos were also planted. No notices of ejectment were issued during the year. The debt was reduced from Rs. 27,939 to Rs. 23,764 principal: all interest due during the year was paid in full. It is expected that the estate will be free of its encumbrances in another six years.

No. 12, Deokalia, seven annas share (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 7,717; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 31,015.

In this portion of the Deokalia estate the rent collections were also good. Of a demand, current and arrears, of Rs. 8,133, Rs. 7,736 were realized. Of the balance Rs. 397, a sum of Rs. 121-8-0 has been struck off as irrecoverable. The income from "other sources" was Rs. 680, of which Rs. 617 were collected. As regards improvements, Rs. 389 were expended in the construction of wells and Rs. 80 on the repairs of the houses of tenants. No notices of ejectment were issued during the year. The debt was reduced from Rs. 33,015 to Rs. 31,015 principal: all interest due was paid. At the present rate of payment the estate will not be free of encumbrances for another fifteen years.

HARDOI DISTRICT.—Officer in charge, Colonel N. M. T. Horsford, Deputy Commissioner.

No. 13, Sarwan Baragaon (Encumbered Estate). Normal income Rs. 34,759; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 66,700.

The rent collections during the year were very good. Of a total demand of Rs. 34,999, current and arrears, Rs. 34,881 were collected, or 99.69 per cent. the balance Rs. 118, a sum of Rs. 72 was collected after the close of the year: and Rs. 11 have been remitted as irrecoverable, the actual balance, Rs. 35, being in course of realization. The collections on account of income from "other sources" were also good, Rs. 2,296 having been realized out of a demand of Rs. 2,326. A sum of Rs. 279 was advanced to tenants: Rs. 2.810 were expended on improvements. Four new wells were constructed by the estate, and three kachcha-pakka wells were made by tenants from funds given by the estate. New tenants were settled in hamlets, and trees were planted. No notices of ejectment were issued. Rupees 9,395 were paid on account of principal and interest due on the Government debt. The principal was reduced from Rs. 72,550 to Rs. 66,700.

No. 14, Durgaganj (Encumbered Estate). Normal income Rs. 7,742; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 5,600.

The rental demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 8,064, of which a sum of Rs. 7,886, or 97 79 per cent. was realized. Of the balance, Rs. 178, only Rs. 29 are on account of the current demand. A sum of Rs. 2-4-0 has been remitted as irrecoverable from the arrears demand. The income from "other sources," Rs. 337, was collected in full—a very satisfactory result. The principal of the debt was reduced from Rs. 6,937 to Rs. 5,600, and the interest for the year, Rs. 334, was paid in full. Rupees 100 were advanced to tenants and Rs. 713 expended on the construction of a large pakka well. With reference to the remark in the last year's report, in which the Deputy Commissioner was asked to state in his pre-

sent report the increase to rental this well would bring in, he explains that he expects at least 110 pakka bighas of khám land will be irrigated from it, and the rental will be increased by Rs. 115 in a few years. Four púrs can be worked at it at the same time, and it is expected that the well will repay itself in six years. No notices of ejectment were issued.

No. 15, Baghiari (Encumbered Estate). Normal income Rs. 10,923; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 3,119.

The rent collections were excellent, being 99 per cent. of the total demand, current and arrears. demand was Rs. 11,749, and Rs. 11,611 were collected. Of the balance Rs. 138, a sum of Rs. 55 has been remitted as irrecoverable. The income from "other sources," Rs. 643, was collected in full. Advances were made to tenants to the extent of Rs. 200. Rupees 938 were expended on two wells. Of this Rs. 131 were expended on completing the well referred to in the last review. It has cost Rs. 912 altogether. The immediate result will be an increase of Rs. 75 to the annual rental, or a little over 8 per cent. Besides, some culturable land in the vicinity will be broken up and brought under the plough, and it is expected that this land will eventually yield Rs. 200 rental. The other well cost Rs. 807, and irrigation from it will yield eventually from Rs. 100 to Rs. 125 in addition to the present rental. No notices of ejectment were issued. The debt due to Government was reduced from Rs. 6,250 to Rs. 2,700, and Rs. 281 were paid on account of interest. There is also a sum of Rs. 419 due to the Durgaganj estate. It is expected that the debts will be paid up in full and the estate released from management during the current year.

No. 16, Mansurgarh (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 12,524; credit balance on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 20,163.

The rental demand shows a small increase of Rs. 189 on that of last year. The total demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 12,587, which, with the exception of the

HARD

DOI.

small sum of Rs. 133, was collected. The income from "other sources," Rs. 13,913, was also collected in full—a very satisfactory result. The large receipts from "other sources" is accounted for by repayments of the instalments due with interest on account of loans made from the estates surplus funds. Rupees 100 were advanced to tenants and Rs. 2,548 expended on improvements. Four platforms were made to former wells. Four new wells were constructed, which had to be carried to a great depth before proper springs could be found; but the increase to the rental by irrigation from these wells will give a good return for the money laid out. A tank was dug at a cost of Rs. 100, and new shops were added to a bazaar. No notices of ejectment were issued.

No. 17, Marwan (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 15,673; credit balance on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 29,335.

The rental demand for the year shows a small increase of Rs. 236 compared with last year. The entire demand for the year, current and arrears, Rs. 15,737, was collected in full, and the income from "other sources," Rs. 12,567, was also realized in full—an excellent result. The income under the latter head is comprised largely of repayments on account of loans made by the estate and the interest due thereon. A sum of Rs. 199 was advanced to ten tenants for the purchase of plough-cattle, and Rs. 3,474 were expended on improvements. A grove was purchased, two pakka wells were completed with the exception of the platforms, and four other wells have been commenced. Experimental borings were made in seven places before sites could be found for these new wells. A surki mill was also purchased. These new wells are being constructed in two villages, which at present have no wells for irrigation, and a fair return on the amount to be expended is expected. No notices of ejectment were issued.

No. 18, Behti Chiragpur (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 1,653; credit balance on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 160.

The rental demand for the year, Rs. 1,653, was collected in full. There were no outstandings on account

of previous years. The income from "other sources," Rs. 204, was also realized in full. A sum of Rs. 100 was advanced to eleven tenants for the cultivation of sugarcane. The debt, Rs. 300, due at the close of last year, has been paid up in full, and the estate has now Rs. 160 to its credit. The well referred to in the last report, which was estimated to cost Rs. 900, has been finished for Rs. 650. This estate is much in want of wells, and as it is now free of debts, experiments will be made with the boring apparatus to ascertain sites where wells can be sunk as money is available. No notices of ejectment were issued during the year.

No. 19, Khajurahra (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 61,941; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 64,414.

The current rental demand for the year shows an increase of Rs. 6,291, of which no less than Rs. 5,708 is from the Bahora portion of the estate in the Sitapur district. No explanation has been given by the Deputy Commissioner of Sitapur to account for this, and a report on the matter should be submitted. demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 63,293. Of this Rs. 60,962, or 96.34 per cent., were collected; of the balance, Rs. 2,331, a sum of Rs. 1,075 is due by the Hardoi portion of the estate and Rs. 1,256 by the Sitapur portion. The balance in the latter district is said to be owing to floods, though the Deputy Commissioner thinks they were not more than normal. A sum of Rs. 36 has been remitted as irrecoverable. In Hardoi, the balance is due to recalcitrant tenants Steps have been taken for the recovery of the different sums, and more than half the balance has been recovered since the close of Of the demand, Rs. 9,333, on account of the year. income from "other sources," Rs. 8,973 were real-A portion of the assets under this head includes money borrowed at favourable interest for payment of a portion of the debts. In the Hardoi portion Rs. 286 were advanced to tenants for the purchase of plough-cattle and seed, and Rs. 1,001 expended on a well. This well has turned out well: four purs can be worked at it at the same time, and it will irrigate

HARDO

ooi.

200 bighas. The increased rental is estimated at from Rs. 275 to Rs. 300 per annum. In the Sitapur portion Rs. 615 were expended on four drinking-wells and on repairs of tenants' houses injured by floods. The land here is low and damp, so that irrigation is seldom required. The debts during the year stood as follows:—

Principal	,,,			•••	Ks. 1,04,566
Interest	•••		•••	•••	2,365 5,975
Interest accrued	during the	year	•••	***	
			Total	•••	1,12,906

Of this was paid on account of principal Rs. 40,978 and interest Rs. 7,515, which leaves a balance of Rs. 63,588 principal and Rs. 825 interest. A sum of Rs. 29,000 was borrowed from other estates at favourable interest to pay off the more pressing claims bearing high interest, which accounts for the large payments. The estate from its own sources reduced the debts by Rs. 25,441. No notices of ejectment were issued during the year. The estate was successful in its civil litigation, but the number of suits in which the estate was concerned is not given.

K Color

ERI.

KHERI DISTRICT.—Officer in charge, Lieutenant-Colonel T. R. Cowie, Deputy Commissioner.

No. 20, Bansi (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 5,182; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 3,930.

The rental demand shows a small increase of Rs. 186 on that of last year. The entire demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 5,329, of which Rs. 5,154, or 96 68 per cent., were collected. The demand from "other sources" was Rs. 478, which, with the exception of Rs. 34, was collected. This estate is in low-lying land, and does not require any irrigation works. Rupees 155 were advanced to tenants for the purchase of plough-cattle. One hundred and forty-four and-a-half bighas of new land were brought under the plough and the area under sugarcane was increased by about 150 bighas.

KHER

No notices of ejectment were issued. The debts at the beginning of the year stood at Rs. 4,854, which were reduced to Rs. 3,930. The minor is only six years old, and has not yet commenced his studies.

No. 21, Khairigarh (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 1,41,283; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 1,03,661.

The rental demand for the year, current and arrears. was Rs. 1,51,252, of which Rs. 1,39,638, or 92 34 per cent., were realized. A sum of Rs. 11,500 was also collected in advance on account of the ensuing year. this be added, the total collections aggregated 99.92 per cent. of the total demand—a result which may be considered satisfactory. The balance, Rs. 11,614, is made up of Rs. 1,828 on account of the current demand and Rs. 9,786 arrears of former years. Of the former Rs. 588 are said to be irrecoverable and Rs. 433 doubtful, and of the latter Rs. 5,339 irrecoverable and Rs. 2,990 doubt-Proposals to strike off the irrecoverable balances are under submission. The Sarosa Estate in the Sitapur district held by Khairigarh under a deed of mortgage was redeemed during the year, and a sum of Rs. 49,892 on account of mesne profits was decreed against the estate. This sum has been added to the list of debts. As a set-off the estate holds a bond for Rs. 27,000 against some of the mortgagors; but as the Court did not allow this to be set off, the Legal Remembrancer has been consulted as to the institution of separate suits for the recovery of the money.

The demand from sources other than rent was Rs. 32,247. Of this Rs. 26,815 were collected, which left a balance of Rs. 5,432, of which Rs. 3,896 are said to be irrecoverable. On the whole, the collections were satisfactory. The debts during the year, principal and interest, stood at Rs. 1,60,389. This sum was reduced by Rs. 56,728, and at the close of the year the debt was—

Principal 1,02,40
Interest 1,25

1 02 001

A sum of Rs. 6,610 was advanced to tenants for the purchase of plough-bullocks and seed. Two wells, which were under construction last year, were completed. Of the sum of Rs. 2,410 expended on improvements, Rs. 2,227 were spent on the construction of ten drinking-wells, four platforms to wells, and repairs to three other wells: and Rs. 183 on the purchase of four Behea sugar-mills. The estate has now six of these mills, which were hired out at eight annas per diem, giving an income of Rs. 70-8-0. Four hundred and one new asimis were settled, and three new hamlets founded: 15,150 bighas of waste land were brought under the plough, and sugarcane cultivation was increased by 1,000 bighas. Two Hissar bulls were purchased for Rs. 423, to improve the breed of cattle. Immigration has been very successful this year. The climate is unhealthy in parts, and the estate requires a system of drainage works which, however, cannot be taken in hand without professional aid which the estate cannot at present afford. Two notices of ejectment were issued, which were not contested.

No. 22, Mullanpur (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 1,82,244; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 6,83,891.

The rental demand for the year shows an increase of Rs. 23,144 compared with that of the previous year. The entire demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 2,12,243. Of this Rs. 1,82,058 were collected, or 85.82 per cent. A sum of Rs. 3,661 was also collected in advance on account of next year. The total collections, with this included, represents 87.50 per cent. of the total demand. The balance outstanding was Rs. 30,185, and of this Rs. 8,325 were on account of the current demand. A sum of Rs. 8,416 on account of the arrear balance has been remitted as irrecoverable since the close of the year.

The demand on account of income from "other sources" was Rs. 3,95,315. Of this Rs. 3,88,491 were collected, leaving a balance of Rs. 6,824, of which Rs. 1,184 have been remitted as irrecoverable since the close of the year.

The demand and collection under this head includes KHERI. Rs. 3,70,000 borrowed from the Mandi Estate in the Panjáb, for the payment of debts bearing heavy rates of interest.

The total debts stood at-

Principal Interest	•••	•••	•••	•••	Rs. 10,41,018 1,44,596
			Total		11,85,614

These were reduced by—

Rs. 3,82,474 on account of principal; and 1,19,249 ditto interest.

These reductions include Rs. 10,547 principal and Rs. 64,267 interest, remitted by creditors in consideration of their claims having been satisfied at once.

The debt at the close of the year was—

Principal Interest	•••	•••	···		Rs. 6,58,514 25,347
			Total	•••	6,83,891

In the matter of improvements, 4,676½ bighas of waste land were brought under the plough: sugarcane cultivation was extended by 1,713 bighas; 74 new asámis were settled, and Rs. 25 were spent on planting shisam and babul trees. Four notices of enhancement of rent were issued in the Sitapur and Bahraich portions of the estate: two of these are being contested and have not yet been disposed of. These tenants held at exceedingly low rates payable in kind, and declined to come to any amicable arrangement. It is said that there are numberless other tenants similarly situated, and that these four suits were instituted as test cases.

No. 23, Kaimahra (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 54,486; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 44,589.

The rental demand for the year shows an increase of Rs. 2,796 on that of last year. The entire demand

RI.

for the year, current and arrears, was Rs. 66,786, of which Rs. 52,799, or 79.05 per cent., were collected. The collections were small on account of the arrears demand—Rs. 1,823 out of Rs. 12,337 due. The balance on account of the current demand was only Rs. 3,472. The balance includes arrears prior to management by the Court, of which Rs. 4,801 are said to be irrecoverable: proposals for remission will be submitted. Rupees 3,713 are said to be doubtful of recovery. The collections on account of income from "other sources" were good, being Rs. 3,928 out of a demand of Rs. 3,981.

The debts stood at Rs. 56,101, viz., principal Rs. 52,386 and interest Rs. 3,715: during the year they were reduced to, principal Rs. 42,769 and interest Rs. 1,820, or a total of Rs. 44,589.

Rupees 1,000 were advanced to tenants for the purchase of cattle and Rs. 1,629 expended on improvements. Two wells have been constructed and one is under construction. Boring experiments were made before work was taken in hand, and the result in the wells completed is, that one has 28 feet and the other $22\frac{1}{2}$ feet of water, and are capable of being worked with two pûrs at a time. Seven Behea sugar-mills were purchased for Rs. 273 and were hired out to tenants at six annas per diem: the rent realized was Rs. 60-6-0. Forty-four asámis were settled in four villages. The area under sugarcane was extended by 760 bíghas, and 1,087 bíghas of waste land were brought under the plough. No notices of ejectment were issued.

FYZABAD DISTRICT.—Officer in charge, Mr. A. Robinson, Deputy Commissioner.

ABAD.

No. 24, Khapradih (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 75,262; balance at credit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 18,897.

The rental demand shows an increase of Rs. 629 on that of the previous year, and is said to be due to

additions made to the rent where holdings were found FYZABA to be in excess of the patta, and to the bringing of a large tract of jungle land under the plough. The rental demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 76,859, of which Rs. 75,989 were collected, or 98.87 per cent. The whole of the balance, Rs. 870, is realizable, with the exception of the small sum of Rs. 43, which is said to be irrecoverable. Of the income from "other sources" 99 per cent. was collected—a very satisfactory result.

In the Fyzabad portion of the estate a sum of Rs. 197 was advanced to tenants for the purchase of plough-cattle and Rs. 284 expended on improvements, such as the clearing of jungle, erecting a platform to a well, and the purchase of trees, &c. In the Sultanpur portion a sum of Rs. 372 was expended on the construction of wells. Rupees 2,800 were paid in liquidating the debts of the minor. The estate had a credit balance of Rs. 18,897 at the close of the year, of which Rs. 6,000 are invested in a loan to the Musepur estate, and it is proposed to invest Rs. 12,000 in Government promissory notes.

The usual annual darbár of the tenantry was held on the 28th January, 1888, when rewards were given to lessees and tenants who paid their rents punctually. Two vaccinators were employed by the estate, but without any result, as the villagers have an idea that vaccination results in the death of the child operated on. Owing to the great distance the tenants have to go for medical aid, a project is under consideration for the establishment of a branch dispensary to be supported by contributions from the Khapradih, Kurwar, and Sihipur estates. Only one notice of ejectment was issued in Sultanpur, which was unsuccessful. The estate was concerned in 27 civil suits, of which one was withdrawn, three are pending, and the remainder were decided in its favour.

The minor, Thákur Anant Bahadur Singh, continued his studies at the Canning College, and is said to be making fair progress. A son was born to him on the 15th September, 1888, which lived barely a month.

BAD.

No. 25, Mamrezpur (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 1,982; balance at credit of the estate on the 15th March, 1888, Rs. 71.

The current rental demand, Rs. 1,982, shows a small increase of Rs. 16 on that of last year. With the exception of Rs. 103, the entire demand was realized during the five months and 15 days the estate was under management during the year. The debt at the close of last year was Rs. 480: this sum was paid, and the estate released and made over to its owner with a cash balance of Rs. 71.

The estate was taken under management in July, 1884. The rental was then Rs. 1,867, and the debts aggregated Rs. 1,133. During the three years and nine months the estate was in charge, the debts were paid off, and the rental increased to Rs. 1,982.

No. 26, Behra (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 2,090; balance at credit on the 15th March, 1888, Rs. 244.

The rental demand, Rs. 2,090, shows a rise of Rs. 162 on that of the previous year. The rental demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 2,094, and during the five and-a-half months of the year the estate was under management, a sum of Rs. 1,946 was collected.

The debt at the close of last year was Rs. 402, which was paid in full, and the estate was made over to the owner with a cash-balance of Rs. 244 on the 15th March. 1888.

During the three years and nine months the estate was in charge, the rental increased from Rs. 1,867 to Rs. 2,090.

No. 27, Musepur Chandipur (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 11,563; deficit on 30th September. 1888, Rs. 16,771.

The gross rental rose from Rs. 11,282 to Rs. 11,563, the increase being due to the redemption from mortgage of two villages. The current demand of Rs. 11,562 was collected in full, as well as the demand on account of arrears for past years. The income from other sources amounted to Rs. 13,473. Rupees 13,000 were borrowed in order to effect the redemption of the other mortgaged villages of the estate. The case against Musammat Gulab Dai, widow of Lála Khurram Rae, has been instituted, the date fixed for first hearing being 27th November, 1888. If this case is decided in favour of the estate, the liabilities will be cleared off, it is expected, at an early date. The Ward's conduct has not been satisfactory. He was married in April, 1888, without the Deputy Commissioner's consent, and since his marriage has given up his studies.

The estate was concerned in 15 civil suits. Of these seven have been decided in favour of the estate and four against it. Four suits are still pending disposal. There were also four appeals, which the estate defended successfully.

No. 28, Lakhanpur Birhar (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 12,555; deficit on 30th September, 1888, Rs. 70,055.

The rental demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 12,556, the whole of which was collected during the year. The demand from "other sources," Rs. 1,400, was also collected in full.

The debts at the beginning of the year were ascertained to be Rs. 73,313, of which Rs. 3,258 were paid off, leaving a closing balance of Rs. 70,055. It will not be possible to pay off the debts from the income of the estate, and a proposal to sell a portion of the property will be separately submitted.

The estate was concerned in seven civil suits, of which three were decided in its favour, two were compromised, and two were decided against it.

AICII.

Bahraich District.—Officers in charge, Lieutenant-Colonel W. P. Harrison, Deputy Commissioner, and Pandit Janki Parshad, Deputy Collector.

No. 29, Rehwa (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 76,818; balance at credit on 30th September, 1888, Rs. 51,230.

The rental shows an increase of Rs. 1,046 on that of last year. The demand for the year, current and arrears, was Rs. 84,490, of which Rs. 76,248 was collected, or 90.27 per cent., the same percentage as last Of the balance, Rs. 8,242, Rs. 2,820 are on account of the current demand, and Rs. 5,422 on account of arrears of previous years. A large portion of the balance is said to be irrecoverable, some of the items having been brought forward from the time the estate was under the late Talúqdár. Inquiries are being made, and the result will be reported. The collections from "other sources" were not so good as last year, being only 54 per cent. against 65. The greater portion of the balance is said to be due on account of bond debts of the time of the late Talúgdár and outstanding interest on loans. A suit is pending for the recovery of the arrears of interest.

On improvements Rs. 10,231 were expended. A new bridge was built and an old one repaired. Seventeen wells were constructed, five for drinking and 12 for irrigation purposes, and three new wells were constructed by tenants at their own cost with the permission of the Court of Wards. Two houses for ziladárs were built. One hundred and seventeen trellis-work sál-wood platforms were made for covering village wells for the protection of those using them. In addition to other minor works, repairs, and planting trees on roadsides, the Banjari road was completed. The cultivation of sugarcane has again increased, and a patent sugar-mill was purchased. This mill is approved by the tenants, who willingly pay 14 annas per diem for its use, and Rs. 30 were realized for the short time it was available.

is contemplated getting another when the present one BAHRA pays itself.

No notices of ejectment were issued. The minor continued at the Wards' Institution, Agra, throughout the year; his general bearing and manners have improved.

No. 30, Kunera (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 3,585; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 43,303.

This estate was taken under management in May, 1888, on the application of the proprietor, Bhaiya Sant Bakhsh, to be declared disqualified. The debts were estimated at Rs. 45,273, including arrears of interest; the annual rental at Rs. 13,409, with every prospect of being increased by good management to Rs. 16,000; the expenditure at Rs. 8,700, which would leave a balance of Rs. 7,300 for the payment of the debts. If these estimates are correct, it is expected the estate will be free of its liabilities in seven years.

The rental demand, current and arrears, for the five months the estate was under management was Rs. 3,853. Of this Rs. 3,373, or 87.54 per cent., were collected. A sum of Rs. 800 was also collected in advance, so that the actual collections equalled 108.30 per cent. of the total demand. The collections on account of income from "other sources" were good, being Rs. 173 out of a demand of Rs. 185.

The debts, as far as ascertained, as shown in Appendix VII, amounted to Rs. 44,093, of which Rs. 789 were paid. A sum of Rs. 10,000, bearing interest at 6 per cent., has been borrowed from the Rehwa Estate, with which the more pressing claims carrying high interest will be paid.

The proprietor is said to be an imbecile, unable to assist the manager with his advice, and his agents for some time past were doing their utmost to ruin the property.

24

BARELI.

RAE BARELI DISTRICT.—Officers in charge, Mr. C. Chapman, Deputy Commissioner, and Mirza Humayun Kadr, Deputy Collector and General Manager.

No. 31, Bahua (Encumbered Estate). Normal income Rs. 23,647; deficit on 30th September, 1888, Rs. 47,508.

The rental demand for the year was Rs. 23,647, giving an increase of Rs. 310 on that of the previous year, which is said to be derived from a further portion of the jungle area brought under cultivation. The entire demand, excepting Rs. 79, was realized. The balance at the close of the previous year was Rs. 3,004; of this a sum of Rs. 1,982 was remitted as irrecoverable, which left Rs. 1,022 to be collected. Of this sum Rs. 356 were realized. The balance, current and arrears, at the close of the year thus stood at Rs. 745, of which about a third is said to be irrecoverable. The collections from sources other than rent were satisfactory—Rs. 1,729 out of a demand of Rs. 2,132.

Six wells were constructed without any assistance from the estate, three of which were for drinking purposes. The estate advanced Rs. 25 to a tenant for the purchase of plough-bullocks. Nothing further could be done in the way of improvements owing to the heavy debt due to Government. This debt at the beginning of the year was Rs. 52,350 principal, and the interest accruing during the year was Rs. 2,493. The latter was paid in full, and the principal was reduced by Rs. 4,842. The estate was not concerned in any civil suits, and no notices of ejectment appear to have been issued.

No. 32, Kuri Sadauli (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 64,370: released from management on the 1st September, 1888.

The current rental demand shows a small increase over that of last year—Rs. 64,370 against Rs. 64,155. The demand for the year, current and arrears, was Rs. 71,883; of this Rs. 65,354 were collected. The arrears demand stood at Rs. 7,513, of which only

Rs. 1,171 were realized: no explanation is given of the failure to realize so large a portion of the arrears or to report it as irrecoverable, and a further report is requested. The demand from sources other than rent was Rs. 5,553, which was collected all but Rs. 289.

A sum of Rs. 411 was expended on improvements, but no details have been given.

No notices of ejectment were issued. The estate was engaged in three civil suits, which were decided in its favour.

The Ward, Rája Rampal Singh, attained his majority during the year, and the estate was made over to him on the 1st September, 1888, with a cash balance of Rs. 18,761. The estate was taken under management under the Taluqdárs' Relief Act on the 30th May, 1871, with debts aggregating Rs. 1,54,318. A loan of Rs. 1,25,000 was obtained from Government with which these debts were paid, some of the creditors reducing their claims for cash payments.

This loan with interest was paid up in full in 1887; but the estate remained under the management of the Court of Wards for another year owing to the minority of the Rája. The Talúqdár has now succeeded to a flourishing property. He has been educated at the Aligarh College, and the Deputy Commissioner has every reason to hope that he will manage the estate well.

The gross rental of the estate when it was taken under management was Rs. 52,046, and when the estate was released it stood at Rs. 64,344. The lands purchased by or mortgaged to the estate at a cost of Rs. 9,821 contributed Rs. 960 to the increase, and the balance is due to enhancement of rents owing to improvements effected. Three hundred and two masonry wells were constructed and 45 old wells repaired; 24 tanks were constructed or repaired and two markets established, at a cost to the estate of Rs. 15,387. A sum of Rs. 4,677 was also advanced to tenants for the purchase of seed and ploughcattle.

No. 33, Koriar Sataon (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 78,195: released from management on the 6th February, 1888.

During the four months of the year the estate was under management, the rent demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 35,346. Of this Rs. 24,724 were collected, which left a balance of Rs. 10,622. This balance is on account of arrears of previous years, the kharíf instalment falling due having been realized in full.

The estate was brought under management on the 12th November, 1866. At that time it comprised 30 villages, with a gross rental of Rs. 59,077. Three villages, having a rental of Rs. 15,228, were purchased for Rs. 1,64,570, and 212 bighas of land were bought from certain under-proprietors of the estate for Rs. 8,349. When released the estate had 33 villages, with a rental of Rs. 78,195. The gross rental was thus increased by Rs. 19,118, partly by purchase and partly by improvements.

The improvements effected were—

- (1) the construction of 41 embankments by the estate;
- (2) one hundred and eighty-five wells by tenants from advances made to them by the estate;
- (3) fifty-seven wells by tenants who were granted land for planting groves.

The total amount expended on improvements was-

(3) The purchase of landed property	•••	Rs. 13,978 9,022 1,72,919
Total	•••	1,95,919

The estate was indebted to the extent of Rs. 68,741 at first, and this sum was paid off some years ago.

The Talúqdár, Thákur Chandrapal Singh, has succeeded to a magnificent property in good order, and the

Deputy Commissioner is of opinion that he is likely to manage it well, as he is an intelligent young man of excellent character and disposition. The credit balance made over to him was Rs. 68,366, of which Rs. 30,366 were cash and Rs. 38,000 invested in Government promissory notes and in a loan to Sardár Autar Singh.

No. 34, Bhiragobindpur (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income, Rs. 51,803; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 1,45,977.

The rental demand for the year, current and arrears, was Rs. 73.430, of which Rs. 51,832 were collected, the collections of the current demand amounting to 100.05 per cent. The arrear balance stood at Rs. 21,627, of which only Rs. 2,395 were realized, the outstanding at the close of the year being Rs. 19,232. Of this sum Rs. 9,929, said to be due on account of rents in kind on sír lands enjoyed by the Talúqdár and dating from before the introduction of Government management, have been remitted since the close of the year. A further sum of Rs. 2,605 has been remitted by Government as irrecoverable, and the remainder has been secured by bonds, and is in course of realization. The demand from sources other than rent was Rs. 11,646, of which Rs. 8,201 were collected.

A sum of Rs. 900 was advanced to tenants for the purchase of plough-cattle. A sugar-mill was purchased for Rs. 202, which is hired out to tenants, and Rs. 398 expended on the purchase of land in certain villages.

No notices of ejectment were issued, and of the two civil suits in which the estate was engaged, one was decided in its favour and the other is pending.

The debt due to Government at the beginning of the year was Rs. 1,47,097 principal, and the interest for the year thereon was Rs. 7,190. The latter was paid in full, and the principal was reduced to Rs. 1,28,977. Besides this there was a debt of Rs. 20,000 due to the Korihar Sataon Estate, on which Rs. 1,060 interest accrued during the year. The interest was paid in full

BARELI.

and Rs. 3,000 towards the principal. The total debt at the close of the year was Rs. 1,45,977. It was proposed to sell the Panjáb portion of the estate in mauza Dalla in Amritsar; but the Deputy Commissioner of that district reported that he could get no bidders for the property. He is now arranging to sell it in small plots, if possible. It may be found necessary to sell a considerable portion of the Rae Bareli property in order to discharge the debts incurred by the late Captain Gulab Singh.

No. 35, Pandriganeshpur (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 64,470; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 1,30,189.

The current rental demand was Rs. 64,470, or an increase of Rs. 273 on that of the previous year, due to jungle and fallow land being brought under cultivation, enhancement of rents, and increase in the value of grain rents. The full demand, with the exception of one rupee, was collected. The balance on account of previous years was Rs. 2,603, of which Rs. 502 were realized; the remainder, Rs. 2,101, is in course of realization, with the exception of Rs. 184, which are said to be irrecoverable. The collections from "other sources" were also good, being Rs. 8,522 out of a demand of Rs. 11,486. On improvements Rs. 234 were paid in advances to tenants for the purchase of plough-bullocks, for sugarcane cultivation, and for the construction of a well. Rupees 148 were also spent on the purchase of a sugarcane-mill and in a payment to a tenant for a well. The debt at the beginning of the year was Rs. 1,55,499. on which Rs. 7,232 interest accrued during the year. The former was reduced to Rs. 1,30,189 and the latter was paid in full. If the principal is reduced at this rate, the estate will be clear of debt in a little more than five years. No notices of ejectment were issued, and the four civil suits in which the estate was concerned were decided in its favour.

This estate holds a mortgage with possession of the estate of Rája Rampal Singh of Dharupur in the Partabgarh district. The debt is secured by two bonds, one

RAE B

for Rs. 50,000, bearing interest at 13 per cent. per mensem, executed by the wife of the Tálúqdár on the 10th March, 1872, and the other for Rs. 25,224-8-11, bearing interest at 6 per cent. per annum, executed by Rája Rampal Singh on the 20th of May, 1885. Forty-five villages of the estate are hypothecated in both bonds for satisfaction of the principal and interest. This debt stood at the beginning of the year at Rs. 68,640 principal and Rs. 9,019 interest; at the close of the year the former was reduced to Rs. 62,470 and the latter to Rs. 840. The Rája has recently expressed his intention of redeeming the debt, and has asked for a remission of some portion of the amount due on the ground that part of that amount represents accumulated arrears of interest. The matter is under consideration.

No. 36, Binohra (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 13,408; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 20,700.

The rental demand for the year shows a small increase of Rs. 60 on that of the previous year. The demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 16,465, of which Rs. 13,497 were collected, or 100 66 per cent. of a year's rental. Of the balance Rs. 2,968, Rs. 213 were on account of the current rental and Rs. 2,755 arrears of previous years. A sum of Rs. 1,446 of the arrears is secured by bonds and decrees of Court, Rs. 1,137 are said to be irrecoverable, and the remainder is in course of collection. The collections from sources other than rent were also good, being 72 per cent. of the demand. Owing to the liabilities of the estate nothing can be done in the way of improvements. A sum of Ks. 142 was, however, advanced to tenants for the purchase of ploughcattle and the extension of sugarcane cultivation. principal of the debt was reduced from Rs. 23,076 to Rs. 20,394. The interest due was Rs. 1,764, of which Rs. 1,458 were paid, which left a balance of Rs. 306. It is expected that the debt will be paid off in seven years. No notices of ejectment were issued. The estate was engaged in five civil suits, of which four were decided against it and one, an appeal, is pending.

No. 37, Gaura Kasehti (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income, Rs. 78,295: released from management on the 9th March. 1888.

The demand for the five and-a-half months of the year the estate was under management was Rs. 43,935, which was collected in full. Rupees 296 were also realized on account of the arrears of previous years, leaving the considerable balance of Rs. 4,952 due when the estate was released. The demand from "other sources" was Rs. 7,956, of which Rs. 1,862 were collected.

This estate was taken under management in March, 1881. At that time the gross rental was Rs. 76,121, and in the seven years of management this has been increased to Rs. 78,295. This increase is due to improvements effected and the acquisition of 880½ bíghas of land by purchase. In the purchase of this land and two houses the estate invested Rs. 19,223. On improvements Rs. 23.747 were expended, of which Rs. 11,507 represents recoverable advances. Sixty-seven masonry wells were constructed, 65 of these by tenants who received money advances and in some cases grants of land for planting groves, and two by the estate. Two embankments were made and seven new hamlets established.

When the estate was first taken over it had debts aggregating Rs. 78,184: this sum has been paid in full, together with the interest falling due annually. The estate was made over to the Talúqdár, Thákur Sheo Narayan Singh, in good order, free of debt, and with a cash balance of Rs. 23.250.

No. 38, Udrehra (Court of Wards Estate). Normal income, Rs. 41,064; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, when the estate was released, nil.

The rental demand for the year, Rs. 41,064, shows a small increase of Rs. 87 on that of last year. The entire demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 47,415, of which Rs. 40,401 were collected, leaving a balance of Rs. 7,014. This balance represents Rs. 1,671 of the year's rental, and Rs. 5,343, arrears of previous years. A large portion of the balance is said to be irrecoverable, and sanction has been accorded to strike off Rs. 3,252 of this sum. The demand from sources other than rent was Rs. 23.578. including Rs. 16,500 contributed by the Talúqdár for payment of the debt. Eighty-seven and-a-half per cent. of this was realized. A sum of Rs. 40 was advanced to a tenant for the construction of a masonry well. No notices of ejectment were issued. The estate was concerned in three civil suits, two of which are pending, and one was decided against it. This estate was taken under the Court of Wards in the commencement of 1289 fasli (1881-82 A.D.) on the application of the Talúqdár to be declared disqualified under section 162(g), Act XVII of 1876. At that time the debts aggregated Rs. 67.546. This sum with the annual interest accruing has been paid off in the six years of management with the aid of Rs. 16,500 contributed by the Taluqdár during the year under review. The debt account for the year stood as follows:-

Principal Interest accruing during t	· the year		Rs. 28,000 1,416	0	0,
Paid in by the Taluqdar	Total		29,416 16,500		
Difference paid by the est	ate	•••	12,916	10	8

When the estate was first taken under management the rental was Rs. 36,490, and when it was released it stood at Rs. 41,064. This increase is due to the improvements effected and to fallow land having been brought under the plough. Nine wells, an embankment, and a tank were constructed at a cost of Rs. 998, and Rs. 233 were spent on the purchase of five bighas of land. Rupees 750 were also advanced to tenants for the purchase of seed and plough-cattle. The estate was made over to the Talúqdár at the close of the year.

Sultanpur District.—Officers in charge, Messrs. J. Sanders and J. L. Denniston, Officiating Deputy Commissioners, and Thákur Saledhi Singh, Deputy Collector.

SULTA

Randur. No. 39, Kurwar (Encumbered Estate). Normal income Rs. 1,21,539; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 7,433, including a Government takávi loan of Rs. 933.

The rental demand for the year, Rs. 1,21,539, shows an increase of Rs. 1,368 on that of the previous year. This increase is due principally to the cultivation of fallow land, improvements effected, enhancement of rents in some cases, and the increased value of grain rents in others. The rental demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 1,23,249, of which Rs. 1,22,160 were collected, or 100 5 per cent. of year's demand. The balance was only Rs. 1,089, of which Rs. 648 belonged to the Sultanpur portion and Rs. 441 to the Fyzabad portion of the estate. The collection from sources other than rent were also good, being 95 per cent. of the demand. In the matter of improvements a sum of Rs. 113 was advanced to tenants in the Sultanpur portion of the estate. Of this Rs. 105 were for the construction of a well and a tank and Rs. 8 for the purchase of ploughbullocks. In the Fyzabad portion Rs. 10 were advanced for the purchase of cattle. The estate also expended Rs. 2,867 in the Sultanpur portion on the construction of 21 new wells and five embankments, the establishment of a bazaar, and the repairs of four wells and a tank, in addition to other miscellaneous improvements, such as the planting of trees, cutting jungle, and repairing a wooden bridge. In the Fyzabad portion a sum of Rs. 134 was spent on the construction of an embankment, planting trees and repairing a water channel. The debt due to Government was reduced from Rs. 42,000 to Rs. 6,500, and the interest for the year, Rs. 1,662-8-1, was paid in full. The advance obtained from Government under the Land Improvement Loans Act, Rs. 1,200, was reduced to Rs. 933, and Rs. 220-15-0 were paid as interest for the year on this account. The total debt at the close of the year stood at Rs. 7,433. The debts 18 years ago, when the estate was first taken under management, aggregated Rs. 2,34,005. Four notices of ejectment were issued in Sultanpur under sections 50 and 55 of the Oudh Rent Act, and the estate was successful in all. In Fyzabad one notice of ejectment was

SULT

issued under section 54, which was dismissed; but the Deputy Commissioner has appealed. Nine notices of relinquishment were also filed in this district; the lands relinquished have been settled with other tenants at favourable rents. The estate was engaged in 34 civil suits, of which 14 have been decided in its favour and 13 against it: one suit was withdrawn, and six are pending. The young Rája has continued to reside at the Wards' Institution at Agra, and is said to be making fair progress in his studies.

No. 40, Mahona (Encumbered Estate). Normal income Rs. 50,085; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 30,333, including a Government takávi loan of Rs. 1,333.

The rental demand, Rs. 50,085, shows a small increase of Rs. 564 on that of the previous year. This increase is owing to a small enhancement of rent, construction of improvements, and the cultivation of fallow. The demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 51,507, of which Rs. 49,939 were realized, or 99.7 per cent. of the year's demand. Of the balance Rs. 1,568, the amount secured by decrees and bonds is Rs. 1,421; Rs. 102 are said to be either irrecoverable or doubtful, and suits are pending for Rs. 45. The collections from sources other than land rents was 70.83 per cent. of the demand.

No advances were made to tenants; but Rs. 1,786 were expended by the estate on improvements. Nine wells were under construction, three old wells and two embankments were repaired, and two tanks were excavated. A quantity of Muzaffarnagar wheat was distributed to a number of tenants. Five notices of ejectment were issued, all being contested: four cases were decided in favour of the estate. The estate was also concerned in five civil suits, of which two were decided in its favour and one against it, two being withdrawn. The heavy and protracted litigation with Niamat Khan, who claimed the entire estate, has been brought to an end, his suit having been dismissed by the District Judge on the 6th February, 1888. No appeal has been instituted.

NPUR.

The debt due to Government was reduced from Rs. 40,496 to Rs. 29,000, or by Rs. 11,496. The interest for the year, Rs. 1,887, was paid in full. The takávi advance was also reduced from Rs. 1,600 to Rs. 1,333, and the interest for the year, Rs. 210, was paid.

No. 41, Bhadayan (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 32,013; deficit on 30th September, 1888, Rs. 2,900, including a Government takávi advance of Rs. 1,400.

The rent demand was Rs. 32,013, or Rs. 523 in excess of last year. The increase is due chiefly to improvements effected, small enhancements of rent, and the cultivation of fallow. The demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 32,047, and this was collected in full with the exception of the small sum of Rs. 7. Of the demand from miscellaneous sources 53 per cent. was realized. The large outstanding is under the head "Law expenses, recoverable;" but little hopes are entertained for the recovery of the amount, as the judgment-debtors are said to be bankrupt. The debt was reduced from Rs. 7,900 to Rs. 2,900, which includes Rs. 1,400 due to Government on account of an advance obtained under the Land Improvement Loans Act. The interest for the year, Rs. 349, was also paid in full. No advances were made to tenants during the year; but Rs. 1,203 were expended by the estate on improvements. The works under construction were 10 new wells and four embankments; and a well was repaired. No notices of ejectment were issued; but three notices of relinquishment were tendered by tenants who, however, accepted new leases on the old terms. The estate was concerned in seven civil suits, of which four were decided in its favour and one against it, one suit was withdrawn, and one is pending. The heavy suit for the redemption of the mortgage on 33 villages of the estate, preferred in the preceding year by one Bábu Bachu Singh and others, has been dismissed both in the original and appellate Court. plaintiffs are men of no substance, and the recovery of the costs decreed is very doubtful. The Ward continued his studies in the zila school: though he has

made progress, he has learnt very little English. He Sultant will obtain his majority in November, 1889.

No. 42, Pali (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 17,796; credit balance on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 22,140; debt Rs. 600, a Government takávi loan.

The demand for the year, current and arrears, was Rs. 18,223, of which Rs. 17,719 were collected, or 99 56 per cent. of a year's rental. The balance is Rs. 504, which is in course of realization, except the small sum of Rs. 66, which is said to be doubtful. The collections from sources other than rent were also good, being 95 per cent. of the demand. The credit balance at the close of the year was Rs. 22,140, of which Rs. 17,000 is invested in Government 4 per cent. paper. No advances were made to tenants during the year, but the estate expended Rs. 831 on improvements. Six new wells were under construction, and four old wells were repaired. No notices of ejectment were issued, but seven tenants tendered notices of relinquishment covering an area of bighas 44-17-17. No offers for this land having been received from other tenants, it was leased to the Talúgdár at the old rental. The estate was concerned in only one civil suit, which was decided in its favour. The young Talúqdár, Bábu Kishun Dat Singh, attained his majority on the 16th December, 1888, when the estate was released from management and made over to him in a flourishing condition. The estate was taken under management in 1875, when the Talúqdár was only eight years old. At that time the gross rental was Rs. 16,601, which, during the period of management, was raised to Rs. 17,835, the increase being due chiefly to the works of improvement effected and the breaking up of fallow land. The debts were Rs. 4,677: these were liquidated, and at the close of management the estate had a credit balance of Rs. 23,650, of which Rs. 5,650 were in cash, Rs. 17,000 were invested in 4 per cent Government promissory notes, and Rs. 1,000 in a land mortgage. A sum of Rs. 4,027 was expended on improvements in addition to Rs. 747, advanced to tenants for the purchase of seed and plough-cattle. Twenty-two wells and tanks were made, 14 by the estate and the remainder

NPUR.

by the tenants, and a hamlet of 20 houses was founded. There is every reason to believe that the young Talúqdár will manage his estate well.

No. 43, Unchgaon (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 16,627; credit balance on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 30,959.

The rental demand for the year, Rs. 16,627, shows a small increase of Rs. 373 over that of last year, which is mostly due to the purchase of a four-anna share in village Kankupur. The demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 16,981: of this Rs. 16,320, or 98 per cent. of a year's demand, was realized. The balance, Rs. 661, is in course of collection, with the exception of the small sum of Rs. 8 said to be irrecoverable. Of the demand from "other sources" $93\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. was realized. The estate expended Rs. 215 on improvements. Two new wells were constructed and a tank excavated. No advances were made to tenants. Nine notices of ejectment were issued against tenants whose term of seven years had expired. None of these notices were contested, and the tenants accepted the prescribed enhancement in the rent of one anna in the rupee. The estate was not engaged in any civil litigation. A private tutor is entertained to teach the Ward, who, it is said, has little aptitude for study. He will attain his majority in August, 1890.

No. 44, Walipur (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 7,106; credit balance on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 353.

The rental demand for the year, current and arrears, Rs. 7,126, was collected in full. The demand from miscellaneous sources was Rs. 606, of which a sum of Rs. 495 was realized. A sum of Rs. 3,500 was paid by this estate towards the liquidation of the debt of Kurwar, to which the owner of Walipur has succeeded. Rupees 10 were advanced to a tenant for the purchase of bullocks and Rs. 304 expended on improvements. Two wells and an embankment were under construction, a large pit in a village was filled in, and trees were planted on road-

sides. A quantity of Muzaffarnagar wheat was imported, and its cultivation gave satisfactory results. A Behea sugar-mill was also introduced, and it has been used by some of the tenantry, who appreciate its advantages. No notices of ejectment were issued, and the estate was not engaged in civil litigation.

Partabgarh District.—Officers in charge, Mr. C. Chapman, Deputy Commissioner, and Mirza Humayun Kadr, Deputy Collector and General Manager.

PARTA

No. 45, Kaithola (Encumbered Estate). Normal income Rs. 31,907: estate released from management on 1st October, 1888, on payment of the debt due to Government.

The rental demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 32,094, of which 99 per cent. was collected. Of the small balance of Rs. 327, only Rs. 35 is said to be irrecoverable. The collections on account of income from "other sources" were not so good this year, being only 68.8 per cent. of the demand against 86 in the previous year. The greater portion of the balance under this head comes under "Law expenses, recoverable." The suit in which these charges were incurred has been decided in the lower Court in favour of the estate; but as the plaintiff, who claims the entire estate, has preferred an appeal, no action appears to have been taken at present for the recovery of the costs.

No improvements were effected during the year; but the estate advanced a sum of Rs. 225 to tenants for the purchase of plough-cattle. The balance of the debt due to Government was paid in full, viz.,—

Principal Interest	•••	•••	•••	Rs. 8,999 289	15	p. 5 5
		Total	•••	9,289		

and the estate was made over to the Talúqdár on the 13th November, 1888.

BGARH.

The estate was engaged in 13 civil suits, of which it gained seven, lost four, and two are pending. The only suit of importance—that brought by the brother of the Talúqdár for possession of the entire estate—was decided in favour of the Talúqdár, and the costs incurred were decreed.

This estate was taken under management under the Oudh Talúqdárs' Relief Act in April, 1872. At that time the debts aggregated Rs. 58,391, and the rental was Rs. 20,784. At the end of September, 1888, the rental was Rs. 31,862, showing an increase of Rs. 11,078 in the 16 years' management. The improvements effected during this period were the construction of eight pucka wells at a cost of Rs. 4,478 and five embankments costing Rs. 348. A sum of Rs. 2,660 was also advanced to tenants.

The tenants and under-proprietors of this estate are said to have been very troublesome; but as all claims have now been settled, it is expected that the Ráni who has succeeded will not find any difficulty in managing them in future.

No. 46, Madhpur (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 7,096; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 15,000.

The rental demand for the year, current and arrears, was Rs. 7,463, of which Rs. 7,029 were collected, or 99.04 per cent. The whole of the arrears, Rs. 434, is under collection. Of the income from "other sources," 76.60 per cent. was realized. The entire debt stands at Rs. 15,000 on a mortgage of the estate redeemable six years hence. The amount in hand at the close of the year towards the payment of this sum was Rs. 4,408. The amount laid out in improvements was Rs. 155. Of this Rs. 105 were given in advances to tenants for the purchase of plough-cattle and Rs. 50 expended on the construction of a well and an embankment. No notices of ejectment were issued during the year. The estate

was engaged in four civil suits, of which it gained PARTAL two, lost one, and one is pending.

No. 47, Bargaon (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 25,226; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 60,500.


The rental demand of the year, Rs. 25,226, was collected all but Rs. 240; and of the arrears demand. Rs. 616, Rs. 200 were realized. The total outstanding at the close of the year was Rs. 656, and of this Rs. 361 are said to be irrecoverable. The demand from "other sources" was Rs. 3,235, of which Rs. 1,527 were realized, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,708. This balance includes a sum of Rs. 1,516, due from a brother of the former proprietor of the estate on account of law expenses decreed against him, and there appears very little probability of any of this being collected. The debt due at the beginning of the year was Rs. 66,510 principal, and the interest accruing during the year Rs. 3,800. The interest was paid in full, and the principal reduced to Rs. 60,500 at the close of the year. At this rate of payment it is said that the estate will be clear of debt in ten years. No notices of ejectment were issued. The estate was concerned in five civil suits. It gained two, lost two, and one was pending. No expenditure was incurred by the estate on account of improvements; but two wells were under construction from a loan received from Government under the Land Improvement Act.

No. 48, Pandwansi (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 478; deficit on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 800.

The rental demand was increased during the year from Rs. 461 to Rs. 478. This was realized all but Rs. 22-8-0. The debt was reduced from Rs. 891 to Rs. 800, and Rs. 53 were paid on account of interest. The income from miscellaneous sources, Rs. 24, was all collected. No notices of ejectment were issued, and the only civil suit in which the estate is engaged is still pending.

ABGARH. No. 49, Dahyawan (Court of Wards' Estate). Normal income Rs. 20,967; credit balance on the 30th September, 1888, Rs. 19,675.

The rental demand, current and arrears, was Rs. 21,402, of which Rs. 20,855, or 99.46 per cent., were realized. The outstanding balance at the close of the year was Rs. 547. Of this only about Rs. 52 are said to be irrecoverable: the remainder is under collection. Of the income from "other sources" only 47.71 per cent. was collected. The heavy balance under this head is on account of interest on loans unrealized. The estate has a sum of Rs. 18,500 invested in Government promissory notes and there was a cash balance of Rs. 1,175 at the close of the year. A sum of Rs. 10,000 was expended during the year on the marriage of the daughter of the brother of the Talúqdár. Rupees 2,117 were expended on improvements, including Rs. 50 advanced to tenants. A well, commenced in 1294 fasli (1886-87), was still under construction, and additions were made to the bazaar in Dahyawan. No notices of ejectment were issued. The estate was engaged in three civil suits, of which it gained two and one is pending. The minor, Ramadhin Singh, continued his studies at the Partabgarh zila school.



APPENDIX I.—ANNUAL STATEMENT OF RENT DEMANDS, AGEMENT IN THE PROVINCE OF OUDH, FOR THE

4.5		Full current	DEMAND AT CO	MMENCEMENT OF
District.	Name of estate.	rental of the year.	Current.	Arrear.
1	2	3	4	5
LUCK.	Blank	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Unao.	Blank			DO OF WARDS!
BARA BANKI,	1. Pokra Unsari 2. Kharka 3. Togapur Yakutganj 4. Bhilwal Sikandarpur 5. Amirpur 6. Mailaraiganj* 7. Rámnagar Dhameri* 8. Narauli* 9. Surajpur*	59,581 7 4 24,983 14 1 5,700 0 0 68,124 11 1 23,010 14 9 90,485 4 7 2,65,712 9 7 64,179 5 3 1,64,869 13 0 7,66,547 15 8	59,581 7 4 24,933 14 1 5,700 0 0 68,124 11 1 23,010 14 9 67,994 13 11 69,757 10 3 29,800 10 0 34,557 14 0	RT OH WARDS' a1,753 10 5 b1,070 10 0 c1,057 10 2 d24 15 6 18,921 9 6 13,023 5 5 223 15 0 81,123 5 10 1,17,199 1 10
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	7,66,547 15 8	3,83,461 15 5	1,17,199 1 10
STTAPUR,	1. Bajehra 2. Deokalia (9 annas share) 3. " (7 annas share) Total	14,161 8 6 11,599 4 6 7,716 11 9 33,477 8 9	COU e14,159 3 7 f11,594 3 9 h7,713 5 9 33,466 13 1	RT OF WARDS' 40 11 8 9607 8 4 i419 7 4 1,067 11 4
HARDOI.	1. Sarwan Baragaon 2. Durgaganj 3. Baghiari Total	34,759 5 1 7,741 15 4 10,923 2 10 53,424 7 3	$ \begin{array}{r} 34,759 & 5 & 1 \\ 7,741 & 15 & 4 \\ 10,923 & 2 & 10 \\ \hline 53,424 & 7 & 3 \end{array} $	240 4 1 j322 1 3 k826 4 6 1,388 9 10
HAI	4. Mansurgarh • 5. Marwan 6. Behti Chiragpur 7. Khajurahra Total	12,524 7 6 15,673 1 9 1,652 10 9 61,941 4 10 91,791 8 10	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	URT OF WARDS' 62 3 2 63 9 0 7 1,993 9 2
	GRAND TOTAL	1,45,216 0 1 5.182 7 9		3,382 3 0 URT OF WARDS'
KHERI.	1. Bansi 2. Khairigarh 3. Mullanpur 4. Kaimahra	1,41,283 5 0 1,82,244 7 3 54,485 14 11	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	149 8 0 912,528 9 10 \$30,494 10 8 12,337 0 0
	Total DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	55,509 12 6
	1	,,	1 0,00,00, 22 0	, 55,556 10 10

a Rs. 1,228-13-6 struck off.

ъ 93-0-0 ditto.

⁶⁸³⁻⁹⁻¹⁰ ditto. c

¹²¹⁻²⁻⁹ ditto. d

²⁻⁴⁻¹¹ collected in advance.

^{*} New estates. f Rs. 5-0-9 collected in advance.

¹¹¹⁻⁵⁻⁶ struck off.

³⁻⁶⁻⁰ collected in advance. 52-12-9 struck off.

²⁴⁻¹⁴⁻¹⁰ remitted.

COLLECTIONS, AND BALANCES OF ESTATES UNDER MANYEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER, 1888, (1295 FASLI).

HE YEAR, 1887-88.	Cor	LECTIONS DURING	THE YEAR 1887-8	8.
Total.	Current.	Arrear.	Advance.	Total.
6	7	8 .	9	10 ,
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.

ESTATES. 61,335 1 9 26,004 8 1 5,700 0 0 69,182 5 3 23,035 14 3 86,916 7 5 82,780 15 8 30,024 9 0 1,15,681 3 10 5,00,661 1 3	59,542 1 4 24,811 12 1 5,700 0 0 68,093 15 1 22,936 4 3 66,925 13 6 59,455 11 10 29,771 11 0 32,064 12 6 3,69,302 1 7 3,69,302 1 7	405 6 8 768 8 0 192 3 3 24 15 6 865 2 3 5,338 5 8 223 15 0 5,964 13 0 13,783 5 4 13,783 5 4	460 5 10 460 5 10 460 5 10	59,947 8 0 25,580 4 1 5,700 0 0 68,286 2 2 22,961 3 67,790 15 65,254 7 29,995 10 38,029 9 0 3,83,545 12 3,83,545 12
ESTATES. 14,199 15 3 12,201 12 1 8,132 13 1 34,534 8 5	11,982 11 8 11,121 4 4 7,527 1 6 30,631 1 6	40 11 8 455 9 6 208 8 11 704 14 1	4 4 0 3 1 9 3 7 3 10 13 0	12,027 11 11,579 15 7,739 1 31,346 12
ESTATES. 34,999 9 2 8,064 0 7 11,749 7 4 54,813 1 1	34,728 1 1 7,712 10 7 10,913 14 7 53,354 10 3	153 0 1 173 12 3 696 13 9 1,023 10 1		34,881 1 7,886 6 1 11,610 12 54,378 4
ESTATES. 12,586 10 8 15,736 10 9 1,652 10 9 63,292 15 4 93,268 15 6	12,397 10 5 15,673 1 9 1,652 10 9 59,973 6 8 89,696 13 7	55 10 4 63 9 0 988 1 11 1,107 5 3	364 0 0 364 0 0	12,453 4 15,736 10 1,652 10 61,325 8 91,168 2 1
1,48,082 0 7	1,43,051 7 10	2,130 15 4	364 0 0	1,45,546 7
ESTATES. 5,329 4 9 1,51,252 8 3 2,12,243 0 5 66,786 1 2	5,015 3 6 1,36,896 0 1 1,73,423 5 3 50,976 12 2	135 14 6 2,741 9 10 8,634 3 0 1,822 11 3	2 12 0 11,500 9 9 3,661 4 0 484 0 0	5,153 14 1,51,138 3 1,85,718 12 53,283 7 3,95,294 5
4,35,610 14 . 7	3,66,311 5 0	13,334 6 7	15,648 9 9	3,95,294 5
6,18,227 7 7	5,39,993 14 4	16,170 4 0	16,023 6 9	5,72,187 9

k Rs. 118-1-3 remitted.

l Re. 0-7-0 ditto.

m , 516-2-6 collected in advance.

n ,, 477-6-3 remitted.

o , 2-11-0 collected in advance.

p Rs. 2,559-6-7 collected in advance.

^{,, 15,905-13-0} struck off.

r , 496-1-6 collected in advance.

s , 11-7-6 since found due.
t . 36-13-9 collected in advance.

Appendix I.—Annual statement of rent demands, collections, and balances 30th September, 1888,

et.			BALANCE.	
District.	Name of estate.	Current.	Arrear.	Total.
1	2	11	12	13
Luck-	Blank	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
UNAO.	Blank			
			COU	RT OF WARDS'
Ħ [1. Pokra Unsari 2. Kharka 3. Togapur Yakutganj	39 6 0 122 2 0	1,348 3 9 302 2 0	1,387 9 9 424 4 O
Bará Banki.	4. Bhilwal Sikandarpur 5. Amirpur 6. Mailaraiganj*	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	865 6 11 18,056 7 3	896 2 11 74 10 6 19,125 7 8
ВАВ	7. Ramnagar Ďhameri* 8. Narauli* 9. Surajpur*	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 10,301 & 14 & 5 \\ & 28 & 15 & 0 \\ 2,493 & 1 & 6 \end{array}$	7,684 15 9 75,158 8 10	17,986 14 2 28 15 O 77,651 10 4
	Total	14,159 13 10	1,03,415 12 6	1,17,575 10 4
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	14,159 13 10	1,03,415 12 6	1,17,575 10 4
			cot	JRT OF WARDS'
SITAPUR.	1. Bajehra 2. Deokalia (9 annas share) 3. Ditto (7 ditto)	2,176 7 11 472 15 5 186 4 3	151 14 10 210 14 5	2,176 7 11 624 14 3 397 2 8
<i>v</i> 2 `	Total	2,835 11 7	362 13 3	3,198 8 10
				ENCUMBERED
. [1. Sarwan Baragaon 2. Durgaganj 3. Baghiari	31 4 0 29 4 9 9 4 3	87 4 0 148 5 0 129 6 9	118 8 O 177 9 9 138 11 O
10 O	Total	69 13 0	364 15 9	434 12 9
HARDOI.	4. Mansurgarh 5. Marwan	126 13 1 	6 8 10	URT OF WARDS'
l	6. Behti Chiragpur 7. Khajurahra	1,451 11 8	879 11 1	2,331 6 9
	Total GRAND TOTAL	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	886 3 11 1,251 3 8	2,464 12 8
	GRAND TOTAL	1,048 5 9	<u> </u>	2,899 9 5
• /	1. Bansi	164 0 0	1	JRT OF WARDS:
KHERI,	2. Khairigarh	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13 9 6 9,787 0 0	178 2 9 11,614 14 4
E)	3. Mullanpur 4. Kaimahra	8,325 0 6	21,860 7 8	30,185 8 2
, (Total	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13,986 9 9 55,965 3 O
	Divisional Grand Total	18,273 14 5	43,789 6 10	62,063 5 3

^{*} New estates.

Proportion to gross rental borne by current collection of the year (column 7).	Proportion to gross rental borne by the total collection (column 10).	REMARKS.
14	15	16
-		
•••		
•••		
ESTATES.		
99.93	100.61	
99.51	102.59	
100.00	100.00	
99.95	100-23	
99·67 74·00	99.78	
22·37	74.96	
46.38	24·55 46·73	
19.44	23.06	
48.17	50.03	
48.17	50.03	
ESTATES.		
84.61	04.00	
95.87	84·93 99·83	
97.53	100.28	
91:49	93.63	
ESTATES.	`	
99.91	100.35	
99.62	100.35	
99.91	106.29	
99.87	101.78	
ESTATES.		
98.99	99.43	
100.00	100.40	
100.00	100.00	
96.82	99.00	
97.71	99.32	
98.50	100.22	
ESTATES.		
96.77	99.45	
96.89	106.97	
95.15	101.90	
93.55	97.79	
95.59	103.15	
96.10	101.83	_

APPENDIX I .- Annual statement of rent demands, collections, and balances 30th September, 1888,

; ;		Full current	DEMAND AT CO	MMENCEMENT OF
District.	Name of estate.	rental of the year.	Current.	Arrear.
1	2	3	4	5
			COU	RT OF WARDS'
ń (1. Khapradih (including Sul- tanpur portion).	Rs. a. p. 75,261 13 2	Rs. a. p. a75,261 10 8	Rs. a. p. b1,597 5 4
FYZABAD.	2. Mamrezpur 3. Musipur Chandipur	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1,982 & 1 & 3 \\ 11,563 & 5 & 3 \\ 2,090 & 7 & 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} c1,980 & 3 & 3 \\ d11,562 & 3 & 9 \\ 2,090 & 7 & 0 \\ \end{array}$	e68 15 11
F (4. Behra 5. Lakhanpur Birhar	12,554 11 6	f12,553 15 0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	Total	1,03,452 6 2	1,03,448 7 8	1,672 14 3
, _H i c			COL	RT OF WARDS'
BAH- RAICH.	1. Rehwa 2. Kunera*	76,817 11 6 3,584 14 6	76,666 2 11 3,584 14 6	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	Total	80,402 10 0	80,251 1 5	8,092 2 6
GONDA.	Blank	•••	***	•••
5	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	1,83,855 0 2	1,83,699 9 1	9,765 0 9
را	1. Bahua	23,646 14 0	23,646 14 0	$\begin{array}{c cccc} \texttt{ENCUMBERED} \\ j_{1,022} & 1 & 8 \end{array}$
BARELI.	2 77 1 2 1 1			JRT OF WARDS'
BB	2. Kuri Sadauli 3. Korihar Sataon	$64,370 6 0 \\ 78,195 4 3$	64,370 6 0 123,483 4 0	11 962 7 9
8	4 Dhinamahindanan	51,803 2 9	51,803 2 9	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
B	5. Pandriganeshpur	64,469 15 4	64,469 15 4	n2,603 3 5
RAE	6 Binohra	13,408 7 11	13,408 7 11	03,056 2 5
	7. Gaurakasaiti	78,294 11 3	p43,935 4 6	q5,248 6 5
Y	8. Udrehra	41,063 14 6	41,063 14 6	r6,351 7 4
1	Total	3,91,605 14 0	3,02,534 7 0	58,262 13 7
1	GRAND TOTAL	4,15,252 12 0	3,26,181 5 0	59,284 15 3
ſ	1. Kurwar (including Fyza-	1,21,539 1 10	s1,21,506 2 4	ENCUMBERED t1,742 11 2
ei	bad portion). 2. Mahona	50,084 13 4	50,084 13 4	u1,422 6 0
SULTANPUR,	Total	1,71,623 15 2	1,71,590 15 8	
4	2002	1,11,020 10 2		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
5	3. Bhadayan	32,012 14 0	32,012 14 0	JRT OF WARDS'
S	4. Pali	17,795 12 11	17,795 12 11	$\begin{vmatrix} 34 & 2 & 4 \\ v427 & 7 & 6 \end{vmatrix}$
- 11	5. Unchgaon Bhadaur	16,627 4 5	16,627 4 5	w354 5 4
U	6. Walipur	7,106 2 4	7,106 2 4	19 14 11
	Total	73,542 1 8	73,542 1 8	835 14 1
	GRAND TOTAL	2,45,166 0 10	2,45,133 1 4	4,000 15 3

a Re. 0-2-6, collected in advance.

b Correct amount shown this year. c Re. 1-14-0, collected in advance.

d ,, 1-1-6, ditto.
e Correct amount shown this year.

f Re. 0-12-6, collected in advance.

^{*} New estate.

g Correct amount shown this year.
h Rs. 151-8-7, collected in advance
i ,, 79-15-9, struck off.

^{1,982-6-11,} remitted. ,, 188-4-4,

I Estate released.

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year ending (1295 Fasli)—(continued).

THE YEAR, 1887-88.	Co	LLECTIONS DURIN	G THE YEAR 1887-	88.
Total.	Current.	Arrear.	Advance.	Total.
6	7	8	9	10
ESTATES. Rs. a. p. 76,859 0 0	Rs. a. p. 74,828 11 10	Rs. a. p. 1,159 15 3	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p. 75,988 11 1
1,980 3 3 11,631 3 8 2,094 6 3 12,556 8 9	1,876 15 6 11,562 3 9 1,942 1 6 12,553 15 0	68 15 11 3 15 3 2 9 9	 	1,876 15 6 11,631 3 8 1,946 0 9 12,556 8 9
1,05,121 5 11	1,02,763 15 7	1,235 8 2		1,03,999 7 9
ESTATES. 84,489 14 11 3,853 5 0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2,401 15 1 233 5 9	29 10 8 800 0 0	76,277 13 9 4,172 10 9
88,343 3 11	76,985 9 0	2,635 4 10	829 10 8	80,450 8 6
	•••	•••		•••
1,93,464 9 10	1,79,749 8 7	3,870 13 0	829 10 8	1,84,450 0 3
ESTATES. 24,668 15 8	23,567 15 9	355 9 1		23,923 8 10
ESTATES. 71,883 9 7 85,346 11 8 73,430 1 6 67,073 2 9 16,464 10 4 49,183 10 11 47,415 5 10	64,183 6 6 23,483 4 0 49,437 4 9 64,468 15 8 13,195 4 2 43,935 4 6 39,392 3 6	1,170 11 11 1,241 7 9 2,395 4 3 502 6 9 301 13 1 295 12 3 1,008 7 11	 	65,354 2 5 24,724 11 9 51,832 9 0 64,971 6 5 13,497 1 3 44,231 0 9 40,400 11 5
3,60,797 4 7	2,98,095 11 1	6,915 15 11		3,05,011 11 0
3,85,466 4 3	$\frac{2,00,000 11 1}{3,21,663 10 10}$	7,271 9 0		3,28,935 3 10
ESTATES. 1,23,248 13 6	1,20,723 6 10	1,436 11 5	4 2 10	1,22,164 5 1
51,507 3 4	49,025 5 9	913 6 9		49,938 12 6
1,74,756 0 10	1,69,748 12 7	2,350 2 2	4 2 10	1,72,103 1 7
ESTATES. 32,047 0 4 18,223 4 5 16,981 9 9 7,126 1 3	32,006 2 3 17,569 12 5 16,287 0 5 7,106 2 4	33 6 4 149 11 0 32 15 4 19 14 11		32,039 8 7 17,719 7 5 16,319 15 9 7,126 1 3
74,377 15 9	72,969 1 5	235 15 7		73,205 1 0
2,49,134 0 7	2,42,717 14 0	2,586 1 9	4 2 10	2,45,308 2 7

m Rs. 652-2-6, remitted.

n ,, 1,417-13-3, ditto.

o ,, 195-14-3, ditto.

p Estate released.
q Rs. 248-2-0, remitted.

r ,, 5-2-9,

s Rs. 32-15-6, collected in advance.

t Correct amount shown this year.

u Rs. 19-9-0, struck off. v ,, 28-13-9, ditto.

^{17-0-6,} ditto.

Appendix I.—Annual statement of rent demands, collections, and balances 30th September, 1838,

ct.			BALANCE.	
District.	Name of estate.	Current.	Arrear.	Toval.
1	2	11	12	13
FYZABAD.	1. Khapradih (including Sultanpur portion) 2. Mamrezpur 3. Musipur Chandipur 4. Behra	Rs. a. p. 432 14 10 103 3 9 148 5 6	Rs. a. p. 437 6 1	URT OF WARDS' Rs. a. p. C.0 4 11 103 3 9 148 5 6
	5. Lakhanpur Birhar Total	684 8 1	437 6 1	1,121 14 2
BAH. RAICH.	1. Rehwa 2. Kunera*	2,819 14 11 445 9 6	5,421 12 11 35 0 9	URT OF WAEDS' 8,241 11 10 480 10 3
GONDA.	Total Blank	3,265 8 5	5,456 13 8	8,722 6 1
ِ تَ (DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	3,950 0 6	5,894 3 9	9,844 4 3
(1. Bahua	78 14 3	666 8 7	ENCUMBERED 745 6 10
ELI.	2. Kuri Sadauli	100.15	;	TRT OF WARDS'
BAB BARELI.	3. Korihar Sataon 4. Bhiragobindpur 5. Pandriganeshpur 6. Binohra 7. Gaurakasaiti 8. Udrehra	186 15 6 2,365 14 0 0 15 8 213 3 9 1,671 11 0	6,342 7 8 10,621 15 11 19,231 10 6 2,100 12 8 2,754 5 4 4,952 10 2 5,342 15 5	6,529 7 2 10,621 15 11 21,597 8 6 2,101 12 4 2,967 9 1 4,952 10 2 7,014 10 5
.,	Total GRAND TOTAL	$\frac{4,438 \ 11 \ 11}{4,517 \ 10 \ 2}$	51,346 13 8 52,013 6 3	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
PUR.	1. Kurwar (including Fyzabad portion) 2. Mahona Total	782 11 6 1,059 7 7 1,842 3 1	305 15 9 508 15 3 814 15 0	ENCUMBERED 1,088 11 3 1,568 6 10 2,657 2 1
SULTANPUR.				JRT OF WARDS'
Soi	3. Bhadayan 4. Pali 5. Unchgaon Bhadaur 6. Walipur Total	6 11 9 226 0 6 340 4 0	0 12 0 277 12 6 321 6 0	7 7 9 503 13 0 661 10 0
	GLAND TOTAL	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	599 14 6 1,414 13 6	1,172 14 9 3,830 0 10

^{*} New estate.

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh for the year ending (1295 Fasli)—(continued).

		•
Proportion to gross rental borne by current collection of the year (column 7).	Proportion to gross rental borne by the total collection (column 10).	Remarks.
14	15	16
ESTATES.		
99.42	100.96	•
94·70 99·99 92·91	94·70 100·58 93·11	
99.99	100.01	
ESTATES.	10002	
96·13	99.29	
87·55	116.40	
95.75	100.05	
·		
97.76	100.33	
ESTATES.		
99.66	101-17	
ESTATES.		·
99·70 30·03† 95·43 99·99 98·41 56·11‡ 95·92	101·52 31·61† 100·05 100·77 100·66 56·49‡ 98·38	†Estate released in February, 1888. ‡ Ditto ditto in March, 1888.
76.12	77.88	
77.46	79.21	
ESTATES.		
99.32	100:51	
97.88	99.70	
98.90	100.27	
ESTATES.	,	
99·97 98·73 97·95 100·00	100·08 99·56 98·15 100·28	
99.22	99.54	• .
ฮฮ 00	100.00	
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0.

Appendix I.—Annual statement of rent demands, collections, and balances, 30th September, 1888,

Name of estate.   Finil current.   Current.   Arrear.	خ.		77.11	DEMAND AT CO	MMENCEMENT OF
Rs. a. p.   Rs. a. p. a. p. a. p.   Rs. a. p. a. p. a. p.   Rs. a. p.	District.	Name of estate.		Current.	Arrear.
Rs. a. p.   Rs. a. p.   Rs. a. p.   Rs. a. p.   COURT OF WARDS'	1	2	3	4	5
Total				-	ENCUMBERED
Hardoi			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Total 53,767 2 11 53,767 2 11 1,418 1 3  GRAND TOTAL 85,674 4 10 85,666 8 11 1,612 6 1  DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL 7,46,093 1 8 6,56,980 15 3 64,898 4 7   Hardoi 53,424 7 3 53,424 7 3 1,388 9 10  Rae Bareli 23,646 14 0 23,646 14 0 1,022 1 8  Sultanpur 1,71,623 15 2 1,71,590 15 8 3,165 1 2  Partabgarh 31,907 1 11 31,899 6 0 194 4 10  Total 2,80,602 6 4 2,80,561 10 11 5,770 1 6   COURT OF WARDS'  Lucknow	. (	1. Kaithola	31,907 1 11	a31,899 6 0	ð194 <b>4</b> 10
Total 53,767 2 11 53,767 2 11 1,418 1 3  GRAND TOTAL 85,674 4 10 85,666 8 11 1,612 6 1  DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL 7,46,093 1 8 6,56,980 15 3 64,898 4 7   Hardoi 53,424 7 3 53,424 7 3 1,388 9 10  Rae Bareli 23,646 14 0 23,646 14 0 1,022 1 8  Sultanpur 1,71,623 15 2 1,71,590 15 8 3,165 1 2  Partabgarh 31,907 1 11 31,899 6 0 194 4 10  Total 2,80,602 6 4 2,80,561 10 11 5,770 1 6   COURT OF WARDS'  Lucknow	GARH			сот	TRT OF WARDS'
Total 53,767 2 11 53,767 2 11 1,418 1 3  GRAND TOTAL 85,674 4 10 85,666 8 11 1,612 6 1  DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL 7,46,093 1 8 6,56,980 15 3 64,898 4 7   Hardoi 53,424 7 3 53,424 7 3 1,388 9 10  Rae Bareli 23,646 14 0 23,646 14 0 1,022 1 8  Sultanpur 1,71,623 15 2 1,71,590 15 8 3,165 1 2  Partabgarh 31,907 1 11 31,899 6 0 194 4 10  Total 2,80,602 6 4 2,80,561 10 11 5,770 1 6   COURT OF WARDS'  Lucknow	TAB	2. Madhpur			
Total 53,767 2 11 53,767 2 11 1,418 1 3  GRAND TOTAL 85,674 4 10 85,666 8 11 1,612 6 1  DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL 7,46,093 1 8 6,56,980 15 3 64,898 4 7   Hardoi 53,424 7 3 53,424 7 3 1,388 9 10  Rae Bareli 23,646 14 0 23,646 14 0 1,022 1 8  Sultanpur 1,71,623 15 2 1,71,590 15 8 3,165 1 2  Partabgarh 31,907 1 11 31,899 6 0 194 4 10  Total 2,80,602 6 4 2,80,561 10 11 5,770 1 6   COURT OF WARDS'  Lucknow	PAR	4. Pandwansi	477 13 6	477 13 6	0 0 3
Grand Total	7 (		I		
Divisional Grand Total					
Hardoi 53,424 7 3 53,424 7 3 1,388 9 10 Rae Bareli 23,646 14 0 23,646 14 0 1,022 1 8 Sultanpur 1,71,623 15 2 1,71,590 15 8 3,165 1 2 Partabgarh 2,80,602 6 4 2,80,561 10 11 5,770 1 6   Total 2,80,602 6 4 2,80,561 10 11 5,770 1 6   COURT OF WARDS'  Lucknow					
Hardoi					AB
Rae Bareli				ł	ENCUMBERED
Sultanpur					
Partabgarh     31,907   1   11   31,899   6   0   194   4   10		0-14			
COURT OF WARDS'   Lucknow		Doub all months			
Lucknow		Total	2,80,602 6 4	2,80,561 10 11	5,770 1 6
Unao				cot	JRT OF WARDS'
Bara Banki        7,66,547 15 8       3,83,461 15 5       1,17,199 1 10         Sitapur        33,477 8 9       33,466 13 1       1,067 11 4         Hardoi        91,791 8 10       91,275 6 4       1,993 9 2         Kheri        3,83,196 2 11       3,80,101 2 1       55,509 12 6         Fyzabad        1,03,452 6 2       1,03,448 7 8       1,672 14 3         Bahraich        80,402 10 0       80,251 1 5       8,092 2 6         Gonda         3,91,605 14 0       3,02,534 7 0       58,262 13 7         Sultanpur        73,542 1 8       835 14 1         Partabgarh        53,767 2 11       53,767 2 11       1,418 1 3     Total  Total  Total  Total  Reporting to Graph Total					1
Sitapur         33,477 8 9       33,466·13 1       1,067 11 4         Hardoi         91,791 8 10       91,275 6 4       1,993 9 2         Kheri         3,88,196 2 11       3,80,101 2 1       55,509 12 6         Fyzabad         1,03,452 6 2 1,08,448 7 8       1,672 14 3         Bahraich         80,402 10 0 80,251 1 5 8,092 2 6         Gonda         3,91,605 14 0 3,02,534 7 0 58,262 13 7         Sultanpur         73,542 1 8 73,542 1 8 8835 14 1         Partabgarh         53,767 2 11 53,767 2 11 1,418 1 3     Total  Total  Separate Graph Gra		Boro Donlei	7.66.547 15 8	3 83 461 15 5	1 17 100 1 10
Kheri         3,83,196       2 11       3,80,101       2 1       55,509       12 6         Fyzabad         1,03,452       6 2       1,03,448       7 8       1,672       14 3         Bahraich         80,402       10 0       80,251       1 5       8,092       2 6         Gonda         3,91,605       14 0       3,02,534       7 0       58,262       13 7         Sultanpur         73,542       1 8       73,542       1 8       835       14 1         Partabgarh         53,767       2 11       53,767       2 11       1,418       1 3     Total  Total  Total  Total  Total  Reporting to Character at the content of the content		Sitapur	33,477 8 9		
Fyzabad 1,03,452 6 2 1,03,448 7 8 1,672 14 3 Bahraich 80,402 10 0 80,251 1 5 8,092 2 6 Gonda 3,91,605 14 0 3,02,534 7 0 58,262 13 7 Sultanpur 73,542 1 8 73,542 1 8 835 14 1 Partabgarh 53,767 2 11 53,767 2 11 1,418 1 3  Total 19,77,783 6 11 15,01,848 9 7 2,46,052 0 6					
Bahraich 80,402 10 0 80,251 1 5 8,092 2 6 Gonda 3,91,605 14 0 3,02,534 7 0 58,262 13 7 Sultanpur 73,542 1 8 73,542 1 8 835 14 1 Partabgarh 53,767 2 11 53,767 2 11 1,418 1 3		Frenchad			
Gonda 3,91,605 14 0 3,02,534 7 0 58,262 13 7 8,542 1 8 73,542 1 8 835 14 1 53,767 2 11 53,767 2 11 1,418 1 3  Total 19,77,783 6 11 15,01,848 9 7 2,46,052 0 6	- 1	Rohmojah			
Sultanpur 73,542 1 8 73,542 1 8 835 14 1 Partabgarh 53,767 2 11 53,767 2 11 1,418 1 3  Total 19,77,783 6 11 15,01,848 9 7 2,46,052 0 6	- 1				
Partabgarh 53,767 2 11 53,767 2 11 1,418 1 3  Total 19,77,783 6 11 15,01,848 9 7 2,46,052 0 6	1	Sultannan			
Province Let Character Constant		Partahaarh			
PROVINCIAL GRAND TOTAL 22,58,385 13 3 17,82,410 4 6 2,51,822 2 0		Total	19,77,783 6 11	15,01,848 9 7	2,46,052 O 6
		Provincial Grand Total	22,58,385 13 3	17,82,410 4 6	2,51,822 2 0

a Rs. 7-11-11, collected in advance,

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year ending (1295 Fasli)—(continued).

THE YEAR, 1887-88.	Coi	LECTIONS DURING	3 THE YEAR, 1887-	88.
Total.	Current.	Arrear.	Advance.	Total.
6	7	8	9	10
ESTATES.				
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
32,093 10 10	31,599 14 4	167 0 10		31,766 15 2
ESTATES.				
$\begin{array}{c cccc} 7,463 & 7 & 1 \\ 25,842 & 2 & 9 \\ 477 & 13 & 9 \\ 21,401 & 12 & 7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		7,028 11 10 $25,186$ 2 8 $455$ 5 9 $20,854$ 15 3
55,185 4 2	52,948 9 7	576 9 11		53,525 3 6
87,278 15 0	84,548 7 11	743 10 9	•••	85,292 2 8
7,21,879 3 10	6,48,930 0 9	10,601 5 6	4 2 10	6,59,535 9 1
STRACT. ESTATES. 54,813 1 1 24,668 15 8 1,74,756 0 10 32,093 TO 10	53,354 10 3 23,567 15 9 1,69,748 12 7 31,599 14 4	1,023 10 1 355 9 1 2,350 2 2 167 0 10	 4 2 10 	54,378 4 4 23,923 8 10 1,72,103 1 7 31,766 15 2
2,86,331 12 5	2,78,271 4 11	3,896 6 2	4 2 10	2,82,171 13 11
ESTATES.				
***				•••
5,00,661 1 3 34,534 8 5 93,268 15 6 4,35,610 14 7 1,05,121 5 11 88,343 3 11	3,69,802 1 7 80,631 1 6 89,696 13 7 3,66,811 5 0 1,02,763 15 7 76,985 9 0	13,783 5 4 704 14 1 1,107 5 3 13,334 6 7 1,235 8 2 2,635 4 10	460 5 10 10 13 0 364 0 0 15,648 9 9  829 10 8	3,83,545 12 9 31,346 12 7 91,168 2 10 3,95,294 5 4 1,03,999 7 8 80,450 8 6
3,60,797 4 7 74,377 15 9 55,185 4 2	$\begin{array}{c} 2,98,095 & 11 & 1 \\ 72,969 & 1 & 5 \\ 52,948 & 9 & 7 \end{array}$	6,915 15 11 235 15 7 576 9 11	•••	3,05,011 11 (73,205 1 (53,525 3 (
17,47,900 10 1	14,59,704 4 4	40,529 5 8	17,313 7 3	15,17,547 1
20,34,232 6 6	17,37,975 9 3	44,425 11 10	17,317 10 1	17,99,718 15

APPENDIX I.—Annual statement of rent demands, collections, and balances, 30th September, 1888,

+5		]	BALANCE.	
District,	Name of estate.	Current.	Arrear.	Total.
1	2	11	12	13
				ENCUMBERED
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Ħ	1. Kaithola	299 7 8	27 4 0	326 11 8
PARTABGARH.			cot	JRT OF WARDS'
TA.	2. Madhpur	387 9 9	47 1 6	434 11 3
AB	3. Bargaon 4. Pandwansi	239 8 11 22 8 0	416 7 2	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
4	4. Pandwansi 5. Dahyawan	168 14 8	377 14 8	546 13 4
`	Total	818 9 4	841 7 4	1,660 0 8
	GRAND TOTAL	1,118 1 0	868 11 4	1,986 12 4
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	8,050 14 6	54,296 15 1	62,347 13 7
				AB
1	TT a m d a d			ENCUMBERED
	Hardoi Rae Bareli	69 13 0	364 15 9	
1	Sultanpur	78 14 3	666 8 7	434 12 9 745 6 10
	Partabgarh	1,842 3 1	814 15 0	2,657 2 1
		299 7 8	27 4 0	326 11 8
	Total	2,290 6 0	1,873 11 4	4,164 1 4
- 1	Lucknow		cor	'RT OF WARDS'
ľ	Unao Bara Banki			1
1	Sitapur	14,159 13 10	1 00 417 10 0	
I	Hardoi	2,835 11 7	1,03,415 12 6 $362$ 13 3	1,17,575 10 4
1	Kheri	1,578 8 9	886 3 11	3,198 8 10 2,464 12 8
ŀ	Fyzabad	13,789 13 1	42,175 5 11	55,965 3 0
	Bahraich Gonda	684 8 1	437 6 1	1,121 14 2
	Rae Bareli	3,265 8 5	<b>5,4</b> 56 13 8	8,722 6 1
1	Sultanpur	4,438 11 11	51,346 13 8	55,785 9 7
1	Partabgarh	573 0 3	599 14 6	1,172 14 9
1	<b></b> -	818 9 4	841 7 4	1,660 0 8
1	. Total	42,144 5 3	2,05,522 10 10	2,47,667 0 1
	PROVINCIAL GRAND TOTAL	44,434 11 3	2,07,396 6 2	2,51,831 1 5

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year endin (1295 Fasli)— (concluded).

	Proportion to gross rental borne by current collection of the year (column 7).	Proportion to gross rental borne by the total collection (column 10).	REMARKS.
	14	15	·16
	ESTATES.	•	
	99•03	99.56	·
	ESTATES.		
	94·53 99·05 95·18 99·19	99.04 99.84 95.18 99.46	
	98:47	99.54	
	98.68	99.55	
	86.97	88.39	
	STRACT. ESTATES. 99.87	101-78	
	99·66 98·90 99·03	101·17 100·27 99·56	
	99:16	100.55	
•	ESTATES.		
	 48·17 91·49 97·71 95·59 99·33 95·75	 50·03 93·63 99·32 103·15 100·52 100·05	
	76·12 99·22	77:88 99:54	
	98:47	99.54	
-	73:80	76.72	
	76.95	79:69	^

#### APPENDIX II.—ANNUAL STATEMENT OF DEMANDS, COL LAND RENTS OF ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT IN 30TH SEPTEMBER,

					DEMANDS ON
District.	Name of estate.	Rent of houses.	Takávi advances recoverable.	Law expenses	Interest on invested capital.
1	2	3.	4	5	6
LUCK, NOW.	Blank Blank	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
BARA BANKI.	1. Pokra Unsari 2. Kharka 3. Togapur Yakutganj 4. Bhilwal Sikandarpur 5. Amirpur 6. Maila raiganj* 7. Ramnagar Dhameri* 8. Narauli* 9. Surajpur* Total DIVL, GRAND TOTAL	12 8 0 11 0 0  12 0 0 2,042 12 6 19 9 6  112 0 3 2,209 14 3 2,209 14 3	1,753 13 0 163 12 0  860 8 0  15 0 0  2,793 1 0	COURT (  239 8 5  38 11 6   19 7 0   20 9 0  1,787 7 11  41 6 0  37 9 0  2,184 10 10  2,184 10 10	506 6 4  153 1 10 659 8 2 659 8 2
SITA- PUR.	1. Bajehra 2. Deokalia (9 annas share) 3. Deokalia (7 annas share) Total	  	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	COURT (  153 13 0) 37 5 0 38 0 3  229 2 3	OF WARDS'
HARDOI,	1. Sarwan Baragoan 2. Durgaganj 3. Baghiari Total		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23 0 5 28 8 8 32 0 6 83 9 7	 
HAI	4. Mansurgarh 5. Marwan 6. Behti Chiragpur 7. Khajurahra	  	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 266 & 9 & 6 \\ 182 & 2 & 2 \\ 97 & 14 & 0 \\ 177 & 6 & 6 \end{array}$	COURT (  16 1 2) 29 7 3 47 2 3	0F WARDS' 805 10 4 1,468 9 8
	Total GRAND TOTAL		724 0 2 1,165 3 2	92 10 8	2,274 4 0 2,274 4 0

^{*} New estates.

LECTIONS, AND BALANCES FROM SOURCES OTHER THAN THE PROVINCE OF OUDH, FOR THE YEAR ENDING 1888, (1295 FASLI).

ACCOUNT OF:-	-	COLLECTIONS ON ACCOUNT OF:-							
Miscellaneous.	iscellaneous. Total.		Rent Takávi advances recoverable.		Interest on invested capital.				
7	8	9	10	11	12				
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.				
			•••	•••	•••				
ESTATES.									
$2,929  ext{ } 15  ext{ } 0 \\ 1,394  ext{ } 4  ext{ } 3 \\ 120  ext{ } 0  ext{ } 0$		10 8 0			506 6 4				
2,222 10 0	3,114 9 (	12 0 0	860 8 0	19 7 0					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				9 12 6	69 1 10				
<b>3</b> 3,452 9 9	3,5274 11 5	19 9 6		1,431 9 11					
52,717 13 11 1,296 12 3	52,759 3 11 1,446 5			$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
1,02,548 7 2	·		2,699 5 0	1,620 10 8	·				
1,02,548 7 2	1,10,395 9	779 15 6	2,699 5 0	1,620 10 8	575 8 2				
ESTATES.									
870 13 2			130 13 9 93 12 0	1					
10,793 12 5 536 12 10			99 3 0						
12,201 6 5	12,782 6 5		323 12 9	47 11 9					
ESTATES.									
$\begin{array}{cccc} 2,059 & 1 & 8 \\ 233 & 9 & 0 \end{array}$	2,326 5 1 336 15 11		$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
488 7 9	642 10 0		122 1 9	32 0 6					
2,781 2 5	3,305 15 (		441 3 0	83 9 7					
ESTATES.			1	ì	1				
12,824 8 0	13,912 13 0		266 9 6						
10,886 13 1	12,567 0 2 204 8 2		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1,468 9 8				
9,108 8 8	9,333 1 5		177 6 6						
32,926 7 11	36,017 6 9		724 0 2	92 10 8	2,274 4 0				
35,707 10 4	39,323 5 9		1,165 3 2	176 4 8	2,274 4 0				

APPENDIX II.—Annual statement of demands, collections and balances

Province of Oudh, for the year ending 30th

			Collec		NS )F:	ON ACCOU	INI	1		]	BALANCE	ıs
District.	Name of estate.	•	· Misce laneou			Total	•		Rent of houses.		Takávi advances recover- able.	
1	2		13			14			15	_	16	
٠. · (			Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p,	Rs. a. j	٥.	Rs. a.	p.
LUCK.	Blank	•••				•••			•••		***	
Unao.	Blank	•••				•••						
									COURT	0	F WARD	S'
BANKI,	1. Pokra Unsari 2. Kharka 3. Togapur Yakutganj 4. Bhilwal Sikandarpur		2,715 1,361 120 2,222	1 0 10	11 3 0 0	4,555 1,970 120 3,114	2 9 0 9	2 7 0 0	0 8 	0	78 12	0
BARA BANKI,	5. Amirpur 6. Mailaraiganj*; 7. Ramnagar Dhameri* 8. Narauli* 9. Surajpur*	•••	431 4,862 31,676 52,687 1,296		6 9 3 9 3	431 5,642 33,127 52,729 1,358	8 10 3 5 5	6 1 8 9 3	1,341 6  88 0	6	15 0	0
	Total		97,373	14	-8	1,03,049	6	0	1,429 14	9	93 12	0
	DIVL. GRAND TOTAL	•••	97,373	14	8	1,03,049	6	0	1,429 14	9	93 12	_0
									COURT	01	F WARD	s'
SITA. PUR.	1. Rajehra 2. Deokalia (9 annas sha 3. Deokalia (7 annas sha	re)	870 10,757 487	2	2 5 4	1,010 10,859 616	8	11 5 1			22 4 5 13	0
	Total	•••	12,115	7	11	12,487	0	5		_	28 1	_0
,									EN	στ	MBERE	D
1	1. Sarwan Baragaon 2. Durgaganj	•••	2,028 233	$\frac{15}{9}$	8	2,296 $336$	3 15	11	• • • •		•••	1
ای	3. Baghiari	•••	488	7	9	642		Ô	•••		•••	
Наврог.	Total	•••	2,751	0	5	3,275	13	0		_	•••	
HAI									COURT	0	F WARD	s',
- 1	4. Mansurgarh 5. Marwan	•••	12,824 10,886	8 13	0	13,912 $12,567$	13 0	0	•••		•••	
1	6. Behti Chiragpur	•••	106	10	2	204	8	2	•••		•••	
	7. Khajurahra	•••	8,748	4	5	8,972	13	2	•••		•••	
	Total	•••	32,566	3	8	35,657	2	 6 	•••	_	•••	
	GRAND TOTAL		35,317	4	1	38,932	15	6	•••		•••	

^{*} New estates.

( xvii )

from sources other than land rents of estates under management in the September, 1888—(1295 Fasli)—(continued).

ON ACCOUNT C	)F :			
Law expences recoverable.	Interest on invested capital.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	REMARKS.
17	18	19	20	21
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
•••	•••			
	•••			
ESTATES.				
166 4 2		214 6 1	380 10 3	<b>5</b> 1
31 1 6	•••	33 3 0		
•••	•••			
	•••	12 13 3	12 13 3	
10 12 6	84 0 0	3,107 10 6	4,543 13 6	i{
355 14 0	•••	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
***	•••		88 0 3	
564 0 2	84 0 0	5,174 8 6	7,546 3 5	
564 0 2	84 0 0			t .
ESTATES.				
144 12 0	•••	<b></b>	144 12 0	
28 11 0	•••	<b>36 10</b> 0	87 9 0	
7 15 6	•••	49 4 6		· 1
181 6 6	***	85 14 6	295 6 0	
ESTATES.				1
	•••	30 2 0	30 2 0	
•••	•••			1
		30 2 0	30 2 0	
ESTATES.		30 2 0	30 2 0	
•••				
•••	•••	300 4 3		
***	***	360 4 3	360 4 3	
***	•••	360 4 3	360 4 3	
140	<b>44</b> -	390 6 3	390 6 3	•

Appendix II.—Annual statement of demands, collections, and balances

Province of Oudh, for the year ending 30th

										3	DEMANI	os o	ON
District.	Name of estate.		Rent of houses.		Tak advar recove	nces	2. 1	La exper recove	nses		Inter on inve capit	est	
1	2		3		4	ŧ			5		6	;	
			Rs. a. p	•	Rs	, a. p	). 		. a. UR		Rs.		
KHEBI,	2. Khairigarh 3. Mullanpur 4. Kaimahra		38 12 (  38 12 (	-	1,573 199 325 2,098	5 14	368	9 435 274 72 792	11 7 7 8	0 10 0 3			
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	•••	38 12 (	5	3,615	13	4	1,197	8	7	2,274	4	0
								CO	UR	T	OF WA	RI	)S'
δb.	1. Khapradih (includir Sultanpur portion)	ıg	180		463	15 3	7	1,716	7	8	•••		
FYZABAD.	A 45 . * AT 71		 15 0 0		•••			***	,		•••		
FY	W T 11		22 4 0		•••	•		62	. 6	0	•••		
	m-4-1		38 12 0	-1-	463	15	7 -	1,778		-8	•••		
. н				-			- -	· CC	UF	RT	OF WA	RD	I S'
ВАН- ВАІОН, ^	O 77		30 0 0		1,786		8	669	5	7	1,964	0	9
	Motol .		30 0 0	5 -	1,786		<u>s</u>  -	669	5	7	1,964	0	9
GONDA.	Blank				•••		- -	•••		_			
	Divisional Grand Total	····	68 12 0	)	2,250	11 8	3	2,448	3	3	1,964	0	9
1		1							E	IN	CUMBE	RE	D
[]	1. Bahua	••	•••		558	2 3	3	205	10	6	•••		
i.							1	CO	URI	r (	OF WA	RD	s'
RAE BARELL	3. Korihar Sataon 4. Bhiragobindpur 5. Pandriganeshpur 6. Binohra 7. Garra Kagaiti		420 0 0 2,240 13 3		883 1,394 2,926 902 450	3 3 11 6 8 6 1 5	9		2 4 6 6 11	9960	860	0	o
il	Q Tidrobro		•••		3,390 146		0 .	$\begin{array}{c} 52 \\ 121 \end{array}$	5 0	9	•••		
	Total ,		2,660 13 3	3	10,093	12 (	<u>-</u>	1,888	5	<u>_</u> 0	860	0	-0
	GRAND TOTAL ,		2,660 13 3	3	10,651	14	3	2,093	15	6	860	0	0

^{*} New estate.

from sources other than land rents of estates under management in the September, 1888, (1295 Fasli)—(continued).

ACCOUNT OF :-	-		Collections o	N ACCOUNT OF :-	
Miscellaneous.	Total.	Rent of houses.	Takávi advances recoverable.	Law expenses recoverable.	Interest on invested capital.
7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs. a. p. ESTATES.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	<b>R</b> s. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	477 13 5 32,247 5 3 3,95,315 7 5 3,981 0 1	 12 8 0 	1,516 0 0 199 5 6 314 12 6	331 5 1 5 6 0 30 15 3	
4,29,091 15 8	4,32,021 10 2	12 8 0	2,030 2 0	367 10 4	
4,77,001 0 5	4,84,127 6 4	12 8 0	3,519 1 11	591 10 4	2,274 4 0
ESTATES. 4,821 14 0	7,003 13 3	180	419 3 6	1,694 7 6	
10.4°° × 71	10.00 - 11	12.00		•••	
13,458 5 11	13,473 5 11	15 0 0			
1,315 12 5	1,400 6 5	22 4 0		62 6 0	
19,596 0 4	21,877 9 7	38 12 (	419 3 6	1,756 13 6	
ESTATES.		l			
4,470 0 0 185 7 0			868 15 3	307 5 4	
4,655 7 0	9,105 9 0	30 0 0	868 15 3	307 5 4	650 1 6
•••					
24,251 7 4	30,983 2 7	68 12 (	1,288 2 9	2,064 2 10	650 1 6
ESTATES.					
1,367 13 (	2,131 9 9		481 10 0	46 9 3	
ESTATES.					
4,575 11 0 821 5 10 8,213 12 6 6,944 11 1,393 7 8 4,513 0 0	3,140 13 10 11,645 14 3 11,486 6 11	360 0 0 2,088 10 6		65 4 9 50 2 0 95 8 9 11 14 6 32 9 8	408 3 0
49,773 9	65,276 7 8	2,448 10	4,458 6 10	469 8 (	408 3 0
		2,448 10	6 4,940 0 10	516 1 8	408 3 0

APPENDIX II.—Annual statement of demands, collections, and balances

Province of Oudh, for the year ending 30th

			s on Account		BALANCES	
District.	Name of estate.	Miscel- laneous.	Total.	Rent of houses.	Takávi advances recover- able,	
1	2	13	14	15	16	
		Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	-	
KHERI.	1. Bansi 2. Khairigarh 3. Mullanpur 4. Kaimahra Total	3,88,273 15 1 3,582 9	26,815 8 7	26 4 0	57 8 3 11 2 2 68 10 5	
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL		1,71,098 14 9	$\frac{26}{26}  \frac{4}{4}  \frac{0}{0}$		
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	1,01,701	1,71,000 14 0			
ė (	1. Khapradih (including Sultanpur portion)	4,821 14	6,937 1 0	COURT OF	44 12 1	
FYZABAD.	2. Mamrezpur 3. Musipur Chandipur 4. Behra	13,458 5 1		•••		
۳ ر	5. Lakhanpur Birhar	1,315 12				
	Total	19,596 0	21,810 13 4		44 12 1	
H.				COURT OF	WARDS'	
BAH- RAICH.	1. Rehwa 2. Kunera*	A3,020 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 7 1			917 12 5	
	Total	3,240 8			917 12 5	
GONDA,	Blank	•••	•••			
[g. (	Divisional Grand Total	‡2 <b>2</b> ,8 <b>36</b> 8	‡26,907 11 7	•••	962 8 6	
				ENCU	MBERED	
ſ	1. Bahua	1,200 11	1,728 14 3		76 8 3	
اای				COURT OF	WARDS'	
RAE BARELI.	2. Kuri Sadauli 3. Korihar Sataon 4. Bhiragobindpur 5. Pandriganeshpur 6. Binohra 7. Gaura Kasaiti	6,084 0 5,438 15 1,012 6	5,263 13 4 631 11 1 8,200 15 6 8,521 10 8 1,386 5 7 1,862 5 6	60 0 0 152 2 9		
	8. Udrehra	20,468 0	20,639 14 9		$\begin{bmatrix} 2,770 & 9 & 0 \\ 94 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	
, ل	Total	38,722 0	46,506 12 5	212 2 9	5,635 5 2	
-	GRAND TOTAL	39,922 11	48,235 10 8	212 2 9	5,711 13 5	

^{*} New estate.

From sources other than land rents of estates under management in the September, 1888, (1295 Fasli)—(continued).

ON ACCOUNT (	OF :			
Law expenses recoverable.	Interest on invested capital.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Remarks.
17	18	19	20	21
Rs. a. p. ESTATES.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 	24 4 6 5,270 1 8 6,528 15 0	33 15 6 5,431 12 8 6,824 4 0 52 11 2	
424 7 9		11,823 5 2	12,342 11 4	
605 14 3	•••	12,299 9 11	13,028 7 7	
ESTATES. 22 0 2			66 12 3	,
•••			•••	
***	•••		•••	
•••	:::		•••	
22 0 2		•••	66 12 3	
ESTATES.				
362 0 3 	1,313 15 3	1,535 14 10 12 0 0		ARs. 86, collected for 1296 fasli. † Rs. 47, Ditto.
362 0 3	1,313 15 3	1,547 14 10	4,141 10 9	
•••	•••	•••	•••	
384 0 5	1,313 15 3	1,547 14 10	4,208 7 0	‡ Rs. 133, Ditto.
ESTATES.				·
159 1 3		167 2 0	402 11 6	
ESTATES.				
•••	451 13 (	215 1 0 673 2 6		
35 4 9		2,129 11 9		
1,802 13 8		1,505 11 9	2,964 12 3	
59 12 6 19 12 6		381 1 4 3,303 3 9		
1 1 1		2,843 9 8		
1,418 13 (		11,051 9 4	<u> </u>	3
1,577 14	451 13 (	11,218 11 4	19,172 6	*
	<del></del>	·		

APPENDIX II.—Annual statement of demands, collections, and balances

Province of Oudh, for the year ending 30th

	1		1						DEMANI	OS ON
District,	Name of estate.		Re of ho		adva	kávi ances		w enses	Inter on inv	rest
			02.20		recov	erable	. recove	erable.	capi	tal.
1	2			3	.	4	-	5	6	
			Rs.	a, p	. Rs.	a. p	Rs.	a. p.	$\mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{S}}$ .	a. p
-	1. Kurwar (including	Fy-	42	14	1,107	1 (	994	1 6		
	zabad portion). 2. Mahona	• •	5	6 8	804	. 3	1,590	1 7		
8	Total	•••	48	5 :	1,911	4 2		3 1	***************************************	
SULTANPUR.	3. Bhadayan			_	72	0 8		$\stackrel{\hbox{\scriptsize URT}}{8} \stackrel{\circ}{7}$		RDS'
LTA	4. Pali	•••		14 7	40	6 8	102	14 2	459	
Su	5. Unchgaon Bhadaur 6. Walipur	•••	1	12 10	230 *11			$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 2 \end{array}$		8 2
	Total		3	11 6	355	3 1	1,448	7 6	866	9 (
į	GRAND TOTAL		52	0 9	2,266	7 3	4,032	10 7	866	9 (
ſ	1. Kaithola				156	0 0		10 11		RED 2 3
H.	2. Madhpur		•••		132	5 3	100	URT 13 8	OF WA	RDS
A.R.	3. Bargaon		•••			1.3 8	1,689			
LBG.	4. Pandwansi 5. Dahyawan	:::	•••		::		65	8 3	6,508	15 4
Partabgarh,	Total				179	2 11	1,856	3 0	6,508	
PA	GRAND TOTAL				-335	2 11	3,351	13 11	6,738	1 7
U	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	L,	2,712	14 0	13,253	8 5	9,478	8 0	8,464	10 7
								EN	CUMBE	AB RED
	Hardoi Rae Bareli		•••		441	3 0	83	9 7		
į	Sultanpur		48	5 4	558 1,911	$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 2 \end{array}$	$205 \\ 2,584$	10 6 3 1	•••	
	Partabgarh		•••		156	0 0	1,495		229	2 3
	Total	•••	48	5 4	3,066	9 5	4,369	2 1		2 3
	Lucknow		•••		]			OKT	OF WA	KDS'
	Unao Bara Banki	•••	2,209	14 3	2,793	· 1 0	2,184	10 10	659	8 2
	Sitapur		-,-00	42 0	351	13 9	229	2 3	•••	0 4
- 1	Hardoi Kheri	:::	38	12 0	724 2,098	$\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 2 \\ 12 & 5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 92 \\ 792 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cc} 10 & 8 \\ 2 & 1 \end{array}$	2,274	4 0
1	Fyzabad Bahraich		38	12 0	463	15 7	1,778	13 8	1.00	•
	Gonda	:::	30	0 0	1,786	. 11 8	669	5 7	1,964	0 9
	Rae Bareli Sultanpur	:::	2,660 ( 3 (		10,093 355	$\begin{array}{cc} 12 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{array}$	1,888	5 0	860	0 0
	Partabgarh		•••	. 4. 5	179	2 11	1,448 1,856	7 6 3 0	866 6,508 1	9 0 15 4
İ	Total		4,981	4 11	18,846	8 7	10,939	12 7	13,133	5 3
1	PROVINCIAL GRAND TOTA	L	5,030	4 3	21,913	2 0	15,308	14 8	13,362	7 6

^{*} Rs. 41-5-0, transferred to Kurwar estate.

( xxiii )

from sources other than land rents of estates under management in the September, 1888, (1295 Fash)—(continued).

ACCOUNT OF:-		(	Collections or	ACCOUNT OF :-	<del></del>
Miscellaneous.	s. Total. Rent of hous		Takávi advances recoverable.	Law expenses. recoverable.	Interest on invested capital.
7	8	9	10	- 11	12
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
9,061 7 0	11,205 8 2	29 0 11	861 5 7	800 11 4	•••
3,277 14 1	5,677 9 6	<b>56</b> 8	589 11 6	336 3 9	•••
12,339 5 1	16,883 1 8	34 7 7	1,451 1 1	1,136 15 1	•••
ESTATES. 1,115 9 8 1,665 7 10 728 4 11 389 9 5 3,898 15 10	2,280 2 11 2 269 12 1 1,416 9 9 606 6 1 6,572 14 10		70 6 8 40 6 8 179 6 11 11 0 0 301 4 3	349 4 7 32 15 5 19 14 7 96 10 2 498 12 9	459 0 10 407 8 2  866 9 0
16,238 4 11	23,456 0 6	38 3 0	1,752 5 4	1,635 11 10	866 9 0
ESTATES. 2,329 8 4	4,210 5 6		156 0 0	272 2 5	229 2 3
ESTATES. 374 2 7 1,497 14 11 24 2 0 4,206 10 2	24 2 (		132 5 3 46 13 8 		  2,447 8 0
6,102 13 8			179 2 11	81 15 6	2,447 8 0
8,432 6 0			335 2 11	354 1 11	2,676 10 3
75,812 1 4	1,09,721 10	2,486 13 6	7,027 9 1	2,505 15 0	3,951 6 3
STRACT. ESTATES. 2,781 2 5 1,367 13 0 12,339 5 1 2,329 8 4 18,817 12 10	2,131 9 1 16,883 1 8 4,210 5	34 7 7	156 0 0	46 9 3 1,136 15 1 272 2 5	229 2 3
ESTATES.					
1,02,548 7 2 12,201 6 5 32,926 7 11	12,782 6	779 15 6 5		47 11 9	
4,29,091 15 8 19,596 0 4 4,655 7 0	4,32,021 10 21,877 9	12 8 0 7 38 12 0 0 30 0 0	419 3	367 10 4 1,756 13 6 307 5 4	3
49,773 9 5 3,898 15 10 6,102 13 8	6,572 14 1			498 12 81 15	408 3 866 9 2,447 8
6,60,795 3 5		9 3,313 9	12,004 4	5,243 2	7,222 1
	-	8 3,348 1	14,534 2	6,782 6 1	7,451 3 1

APPENDIX II.—Annual statement of demands, collections, and balances

Province of Oudh, for the year ending 30th

		COLLECTIONS		]	BALANCES
District.	Name of estate.	Miscel- laneous.	Total.	Rent of houses.	Takávi advances recover- able.
1	2	13	14	15	16
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
(	1. Kurwar (including Fyz- abad portion)	9,011 4 9	10,702 6 7	13 13 9	MBERED 245 11 5
- 1	2. Mahona	3,089 11 0	4,021 0 11	•••	214 7 8
ei l	Total	12,100 15 9	14,723 7 6	13 13 9	460 3 1
D.O.				COURT OF	WARDS'
SULTANPUR.	3. Bhadayan	<b>1,</b> 115 <b>9</b> 8	1,535 4 11	۱ ۰۰۰ ۱	1 10 0
5	4. Pali	1,622 0 4	2,156 5 10	•••	
Su	5. Unchgaon Bhadaur	716 13 6	1,325 8 0 $494$ 15 7	•••	$\begin{bmatrix} 51 & 8 & 4 \\ 0 & 12 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$
[	6. Walipur	387 5 5			
i	Total	3,841 12 11	5,512 2 4		53 14 10
`	GRAND TOTAL	15,942 12 8	20,235 9 10	13 13 9	514 1 11
•				ENCU	MBERED
(	1. Kaithola	2,241 2 11	2,898 7 7	••• 1	
. 1				COURT OF	WARDS'
뛾	2. Madhpur	321 11 7	• 465 5 4	··· i	•••
₹	3. Bargaon	1,457 2 9	1,526 10 2	•••	•••
Ä۲	4. Pandwansi	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		•••
¥	5. Dahyawan				
PARTABGARH	Total	<b>4,451 5</b> 8	7,160 0 1		
F	GRAND TOTAL	6,692 8 7	10,058 7 8		
- 1	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	62,558 0 4	78,529 12 2	226 0 6	6,225 15 4
	· ·				AB
				ENCU	JMBERED
	Hardoi	2,751 0 5	3,275 13 0		70 0 0
	Rae Bareli Sultanpur	$1,200 \ 11 \ 0$ $12,100 \ 15 \ 9$		13 13 9	76 8 3 460 3 1
	Partabgarh	2,241 2 11	2,898 7 7	.10 10 0	100 3 1
	moto?	18,293 14 1	22,626 10 4	13 13 9	536 11 4
	Total	10,200 11 1	22,020 10 1	COURT O	
	Lucknow				r waring
	Unao	l :::			1
	Bara Banki	97,373 14 8	1,03,049 6 0	1,429 14 9	93 12 0
	Sitapur	12,115 7 11			28 1 0
	Hardoi	32,566 3 8			
	Kheri Fyzabad	4,17,268 10 6			68 10 5
	Pohrajah	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			44 12 1 917 12 5
	Gonda	0,210 0 2	0,030 I# 3	"	37. 12 0
	Rae Bareli	38,722 0 1	46,506 12 5	212 2 9	5,635 5 2
	Sultanpur	3,841 12 11			53 14 10
	Partabgarh	4,451 5 8		l l	
	Total	†6,29,175 15 11	†6,56,959    2    2	1,668 5 6	6,842 3 11
	PROVINCEAL GRAND TOTAL	‡6,47,469 14   0	‡6,79,585 <b>12</b> 6	1,682 3 3	7,378 15 B
-	!			•	(

from sources other than land rents of estates under management in the September, 1888, (1295 Fasli)—(concluded).

ON ACCOUNT O	OF :			
Law expenses recoverable.	Interest on invested capital.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	REMARKS.
17	18	19	20	21
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
ESTATES. 193 6 2	•••	50 2 3	503 1 7	
1,253 13 10		188 3 1	1,656 8 7	
1,447 4 0	***	238 5 4	2,159 10 2	
ESTATES.  743 4 0  69 14 9  28 2 0	•••	43 7 6 11 7 5	744 14 0 113 6 3 91 1 9	
108 6 0	•••	2 4 0	91 1 9	
949 10 9	•••	57 2 11	1,060 12 6	
2,396 14 9	•••	295 8 3	3,220 6 8	
ESTATES. 1,223 8 6	•••	88 5 5	1,311 13 11	
ESTATES. 89 9 2 1,667 3 4	•••	52 7 0 40 12 2	142 0 2 1,707 15 6	
ii 7 0	4,061 7 4	1,558 4 10	5,637 3 2	
1,774 3 6	4,061 7. 4	I	7,487 2 10	
2,997 12 0	4,061 7 4	1,739 13 5	8,799 0 9	
6,972 9 0	4,513 4 4	13,254 1 0	31,191 14 2	
STRACT. ESTATES.				
 159 1 3		30 2 0 167 2 0	30 2 0 402 11 6	
1,447 4 0		238 5 4	2,159 10 2	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u> </u>	88 5 5 523 14 9	$\frac{1,311 \ 13 \ 11}{3,904 \ 5 \ 7}$	
2,829 13 9 ESTATES.	·	523 14 9	$\frac{3,904}{}$ 5 7	
ESTATES.				
564 0 2	84 0	5,174 8 6	7,346 3 5	
181 6 6		85 14 6	295 6 0	•
424 7 9		360 4 3 11,823 5 2	360 4 3 $12,342 11 4$	
22 0 2		11,023 5 2	66 12 3	
362 0 8	1,313 15	1,547 14 10	4,141 10 9	*Rs. 133, collected for 1296 fasli.
1,418 13		0 11,051 9 4	18,769 11 3	Tendri.
949 10 9 $1,774 3 9$		57 2 11 4 1,651 8 0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
		$\frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{1,051}{31,752}$ $\frac{0}{3}$ $\frac{0}{6}$	51,870 10 . 7	† Ditto Ditto.
8,526 7 10	-	7 32,276 2 3		

## APPENDIX III.—ANNUAL STATEMENT OF ASSETS, EXPENDI IN THE PROVINCE OF OUDH, FOR THE YEAR

	Name of estate.	Cash balance	As at commencement of	
District.			Invested.	Uninvested.
1	2		3	4
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
LUCK.	Blank			
Unao.	Blank			
BARA BANKI.	1. Pokra Unsari 2. Kharka 3. Togapur Yakutganj 4. Bhilwal Sikandarpur 5. Amirpur 6. Mailaraiganj* 7. Ramnagar Dhameri* 8. Narauli* 9. Surajpur*  Total DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL		12,198 10 4 12,198 10 4 12,198 10 4	OURT OF WARDS  1,967 14 4  1,672 10 6  39 8 9  754 15 10  697 3 4  1,19,681 12 8    1,24,814 1 5  1,24,814 1 5
SITA. PUR.	1. Bajehra 2. Deokalia (9 annas share) 3. Deokalia (7 annas share)		 	URT OF WARDS' 1,420 6 6 346 9 7 529 7 2
bor.	Total  1. Sarwan Baragaon 2. Durgaganj 3. Baghiari Total		   	2,296 7 3 ENCUMBERED 867 7 10 108 6 5 51 8 11 1,027 7 2
HARDOI.	4. Mansurgarh		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	URT OF WARDS'  6,339 9 1 8,377 9 7 45 6 10 5,524 7 10  20,287 1 4 21,314 8 6

^{*} New estates.

# TURE, AND BALANCES OF ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER, 1888, (1295 FASLI).

SETS.			
the year 1887-88.	Rent collections	Collections during the year from	
Total.	during the year.	sources other than land rents.	Total.
5	6	7	8
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
	•••		<b></b>
			***
ESTATES.  1,967 14 4 13,871 4 10 39 8 9 754 15 10 697 3 4 1,19,681 12 8 1,37,012 11 9  ESTATES.  1,420 6 6 346 9 7 529 7 2	59,947 8 0 25,580 4 1 5,700 0 0 68,286 2 4 22,961 3 9 67,790 15 9 65,254 7 4 29,995 10 0 38,029 9 6 3,83,545 12 9 3,83,545 12 9 12,027 11 4 11,579 15 7 7,739 1 8	4,555 2 2 1,970 9 7 120 0 0 3,114 9 0 431 8 6 5,642 10 1 33,127 3 8 52,729 5 9 1,358 5 1,03,049 6 0  1,010 11 11 10,859 8 5 616 12 1	66,470 8 6 41,422 2 6 5,859 8 9 72,155 11 2 24,089 15 7 1,93,115 6 6 98,381 11 0 82,724 15 9 39,387 14 9 6,23,607 14 6 6,23,607 14 6 14,458 13 9 22,786 1 7 8,885 4 11
2,296 7 3 ESTATES,	31,346 12 7	12,487 0 5	46,130 4 3
867 7 10 108 6 5 51 8 11	34,881 1 2 7,886 6 10 11,610 12 4 54,378 4 4	2,296 3 1 336 15 11 642 10 0 3,275 13 0	38,044 12 1 8,331 13 2 12,304 15 3 58,681 8 6
	54,378 4 4	3,275 13 0	50,001 6 0
ESTATES.  19,239 9 1 31,239 9 7 45 6 10 5,524 7 10	12,453 4 9 15,736 10 9 1,652 10 9 61,325 8 7	13,912 13 0 12,567 0 2 204 8 2 8,972 13 2	45,605 10 10 59,543 4 6 1,902 9 9 75,822 13 7
56,049 1 4 57,076 8 6	91,168 2 10 1,45,546 7 2	35,657 2 6 38,932 15 6	1,82,874 6 8 2,41,555 15 2

b Since revised.

Appendix III.—Annual statement of assets, expenditure, and balances 30th September, 1888,

				Cash Balance
District.	Name of estate.		Total expenditure.	Invested.
1.	2		9	10
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
LUCK.	Blank			
UNAO.	Blank			
BARA BANKI,	1. Pokra Unsari 2. Kharka 3. Togapur Yakutganj 4. Bhilwal Sikandarpur 5. Amirpur 6. Mailaraiganj* 7. Ramnagar Dhameri* 8. Narauli* 9. Surajpur* Tota Divisional Grand Total		51,701 10 4 21,878 4 10 5,764 11 5 70,238 10 7 23,627 14 6 61,558 15 10 85,976 10 8 82,062 7 0 23,013 10 11 4,25,823 0 1 4,25,823 0 1	12,300 0 0 12,300 0 0 50,000 0 0  62,300 0 0 62,300 0 0
SITA. PUB.	<ol> <li>Bajehra</li> <li>Deokalia (9 annas share)</li> <li>Deokalia (7 annas share)</li> <li>Total</li> </ol>	·	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	COURT OF WARDS'
HARDOI,	1. Sarwan Baragaon 2. Durgaganj 3. Baghiari Tota.	-	37,715 11 11 8,239 15 9 12,150 2 7 58,105 14 3	ENCUMBERED
HAR	4. Mansurgarh 5. Marwan 6. Behti Chiragpur 7. Khajurahra	  	25,442 5 4 30,187 12 10 1,742 12 5 74,984 14 2	OURT OF WARDS' 12,900 0 0 22,862 0 0
	Tota	ı	1,32,357 12 9	35,762 0 0
	GRAND TOTAL		1,90,463 11 0	35,762 0 0

^{*} New estates.

		Remarks.
Uninvested.	Total.	IVENIANAS,
11	12	18
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
ESTATES.  14,768 14 2 7,243 13 8 94 13 4 1,917 0 7 462 1 1 81,556 6 8 12,405 0 4 662 8 9 16,374 3 10 1,35,484 14 5 1,35,484 14 5 ESTATES.	14,768 14 2 19,543 13 8 94 13 4 1,917 0 7 462 1 1 1,31,556 6 8 12,405 0 4 662 8 9 16,374 3 10 1,97,784 14 5 1,97,784 14 5	
4,285 15 5 858 3 2 537 15 7	4,285 15 5 858 3 2 537 15 7	
5,682 2 2	5,682 2 2	
829 0 2 91 13 5 154 12 8	329 0 2 91 13 5 154 12 8	
575 10 3	575 10 3	
7,263 5 6 6,493 7 8 159 13 4 837 15 5	20,163 5 6 29,355 7 8 159 13 4 837 15 5	
14,754 9 11	50,516 9 11	
15,330 4 2	51,092 4 2	

APPENDIX III.—Annual statement of assets, expenditure, and balances 30th September, 1888,

			T		As
	Name of estate.			Cash balance	at commencement of
District.				Invested.	Uninvested.
. 1	2			3 .	4
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p. OURT OF WARDS'
Кневі.	1. Bansi 2. Khairigarh 3. Mullanpur 4. Kaimahra	   Total		3,000 0 0  3,000 0 0	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	Divisional Grand		-	38,762 0 0	47,244 12 5
	211201011111111111111111111111111111111			Ü	OURT OF WARDS'
ė [	1. Khapradih (includir tion)	ıg Sultánpı	ir por-	6,000 0 0	6,013 0 10
FYZABAD.	2. Mamrezpur	•••	•••		51 13 6
ZZ)	3. Musipur Chandipur 4. Behra	•••	•••	•••	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
£	5. Lakhanpur Birhar	•••	::: \		407 12 6
C	o. Managarpar Dirina	Total	-	6,000 0 0	7,562 6 7
					COURT OF WARDS'
ВАН- ВАІСН.	1. Rehwa	•••		18,951 0 0	24,742 15 10
₩₩.	2. Kunera*	•••			
		Total		18,951 0 0	24,742 15 10
GON- DA.	Blank	•••		•••	
	Divisional Grani	TOTAL		24,951 0 0	32,305 6 5
					ENCUMBERED
(	1. Bahua	•••			14 15 8 1
ř.	2. Kuri Sadauli			C	OURT OF WARDS, 2,819 14 1 1
RAE BARELI,	3. Korihar Sataon	•••	•••	41,000 0 0	†27,623 3 °0
A. A.	4. Bhiragobindpur	•••		•••	†4,143 0 10
8	5. Pandriganeshpur	•••			22 2 8
AE	6. Binohra	•••	••• \	••,	166 6 11
m l	7. Gaura Kasaiti 8. Udrehra	•••	\	• •••	†9,556 14 2
(	8. Udrenra	···	-	41.000 0 0	396 12 1
	1	Total	•••  _	41,000 0 0	44,728 5 9
	GRAN	D TOTAL		41,000 0 0	44,743 5 5
	* New esta	4.2	at amounts shown		

^{*} New estate.

[†] Correct amounts shown.

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year ending (1295 Fasli)—(continued).

SETS.			
the year, 1887-88.	Rent collections during the	Collections during the year from	Total.
Total.	year.	sources other than land rents.	20001,
5	6	7	8
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5,153 14 0 1,51,138 3 8 1,85,718 12 3 53,283 7 5 3,95,294 5 4 5,72,187 9 1	443 13 11 26,815 8 7 3,88,491 3 5 3,928 4 11 4,19,678 14 10 4,71,098 14 9	5,598 2 7 1,94,217 9 9 5,82,642 1 0 59,149 3 6 8,41,607 0 10
ESTATES.	3,1-,1-1	1,11,000 11 0	11,20,200 ± 0
12,013 0 10	75,988 11 1	6,937 1 0	94,938 12 11
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 1,876 & 15 & 6 \\ 11,631 & 3 & 8 \\ 1,946 & 0 & 9 \\ 12,556 & 8 & 9 \end{array}$	13,473 5 11 \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	1,928 13 0 26,164 14 0 1,975 8 1 14,364 11 8
13,562 6 7	1,03,999 7 9	21,810 13 4	1,39,372 11 8
ESTATES.			
43,693 15 10	76,277 13 9	4,876 7 3	1,24,848 4 10
40.000 77.00	4,172 10 9	220 7 0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
43,693 15 10	80,450 8 6	5,096 14 3	$\frac{1,29,241}{1}$
			•••
57,256 6 5	1,84,450 0 3	26,907 11 7	2,68,614 2 3
ESTATES.	'		
14 15 8	23,923 8 10	1,728 14 3	25,667 6 9
ESTATES.  2,819 14 1 68,623 3 0 4,143 0 10 22 2 8 166 6 11 9,556 14 2 396 12 1	65,854 2 5 24,724 11 9 51,832 9 0 64,971 6 5 13,497 1 3 44,231 0 9 40,400 11 5	5,263 13 4 631 11 1 8,200 15 6 8,521 10 8 1,386 5 7 1,862 5 6 20,639 14 9	73,437 13 10 93,979 9 10 64,176 9 4 73,515 3 9 15,049 13 9 55,650 4 5 61,437 6 3
85,728 5 9	3,05,011 11 0	46,506 12 5	4,37,246 13 2 4,62,914 3 11
85,743 5 5	3,28,935 3 10	48,235 10 8	4902,311 5 11

Appendix III.—Annual statement of assets, expenditure, and balances 30th September, 1888,

				Cash balance
District.	Name of estate.	Total expenditure.	Invested.	
1	2		9	10
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
			_	OURT OF WARDS'
KHERI.	1. Bansi 2. Khairigarh 3. Mullanpur 4. Kaimahra		5,473 3 7 1,89,339 11 7 5,46,817 11 8 56,764 8 8	3,000 0 0
	Total	•••	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3,000 0 0
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	•••	10,10,101	38,762 0 0
				OURT OF WARDS'
FYZABAD.	<ol> <li>Khapradih (including Sultanpur ption)</li> <li>Mamrezpur</li> <li>Musipur Chandipur</li> <li>Behra</li> <li>Lakhanpur Birhar</li> </ol>	or-	76,041 5 0 1,857 14 10 26,134 10 7 1,731 8 1 13,412 8 10	6,000 0 0
	Total	•••	1,19,177 15 4	6,000 0 0
			С	OURT OF WARDS'
₽ H	1. Rehwa	•••	73,618 10 4	26,875 1 0
BAH- RAICH.	2. Kunera*		4,385 6 5	
	Total	•••	78,004 6 9	26,875 1 0
GON-	Blank			
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	•••	1,97,182 0 1	32,875 1 0
				ENCUMBERED
(	1. Bahua	•••	25,610 15 1	l
占			c	OURT OF WARDS'
BARELI.	2. Kuri Sadauli	•••	73,437 13 10	1
₽P	3. Korihar Sataon 4. Bhiragobindpur	•••	93,979 9 10	•••
	5. Pandriganeshpur	•••	62,494 12 0 73,503 6 4	
RAE	6. Binohra	•••	14,954 10 6	•••
7	7. Gaura Kasaiti	•••	55,650 4 5	:::
Ĺ	8. Udrehra	•••	60,200 2 11	
	Total	•••	4,34,220 11 10	•••
	~ Grand Total	•••	4,59,831 10 11	
<del></del>	* Naw		1	<u> </u>

^{*} New estate.

#### ( xxxiii )

on 30th September, 1888.		
Uninvested.	Total.	REMARKS.
11	12	13
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	124 15 0 4,877 14 2 35,824 5 4 2,384 10 10	
40,211 13 4 61,224 3 8	99,986 3 8	
ESTATES.		
12,897 7 11	18,897 7 11	
70 14 2 30 3 5 244 0 0 952 2 10	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 70 & 14 & 2 \\ 30 & 3 & 5 \\ 244 & 0 & 0 \\ 952 & 2 & 10 \end{array}$	
14,194 12 4	20,194 12 4	
ESTATES.		
24,354 9 6	51,229 10 6	
7 11 4	7 11 4	
24,362 4 10	51,237 5 10	
	•••	
38,557 1 2	71,432 2 2	
ESTATES.		
56 7 8	56 7 8	! -{
ESTATES.		
•••	•••	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,681 13 4 11 13 5 95 3 3	
1,237 3 4	1,237 3 4	-
3,026 1 4 3,082 9 0	3,026 1 4 3,082 9 0	•
5,964 9 U	1 2,002 0	

APPENDIX III.—-Annual statement of assets, expenditure, and balances 30th September, 1888,

							As
				-	Cash ba	lance	at commencement of
District,	N	ame of e	state.		Invested.		Uninvested.
1		$\overline{2}$			3		4
					Rs. a.	p.	Rs. a. p.
3.	1. Kurwar (inc 2. Mahona	luding F	yzabad pori	tion)		-	ENCUMBERED 3,683 10 10 2,159 5 4
ID.			Total				5,843 0 2
SULTANPUR.				-	······································	CO	OURT OF WARDS'
LI	3. Bhadayan	•••	•••				333 11 7
Su	4. Pali 5. Unchgaon H	hodown	•••		$11,000  0 \\ 24.319  1$	6	8,020 3 5 3,385 1 5
4	6. Walipur	onadaur 		:::	24,319 1	U	3,385 1 5 1,604 6 4
,	o. wanpar	•••	Total	[-	35,319 1	6	13,343 6 9
		(T) 1 277		-		6	
		GRAND	TOTAL	•••	35,319 1	0	19,186 6 11
_	1. Kaithola			- 1			ENCUMBERED
ا) . ش	1. Kannora	•••	•••				2,487 9 6
GARH.	2. Madhpur			- 1		CC	OURT OF WARDS' 2,238 13 1
<b>₽</b> ₩	3. Bargaon	•••	•••		•••		261 4 0
	4. Pandwansi	•••	•••		•••		12 5 11
Ų	5. Dahyawan	•••	•••		18,500 0	0	5,905 7 1
			Total	-	18,500 0	0	8,417 14 1
		GRAND	TOTAL	-	18,500 0	0	10,905 7 7
	Divisiona	L GRAND	Тотат		94,819 1	6	74,835 3 11
				-		<u> </u>	AB
				į			ENCUMBERED
	Hardoi	•••	•••		•••		1,027 7 2
	Rae Bareli Sultánpur	•••	•••	•••	•••		14 15 8
	Partábgarh	•••	•••	••••	•••		5,843 0 2
	2 44 64 64 64 64	•••	m	] -	***		2,487 9 6
			Total	] .	•••		9,373 0 6
	Lucknow			Į.		CC	OURT OF WARDS'
	Unao	•••	•••		•••	- 1	
	Bara Banki		•••	:::	12,198 10	4	1,24,814 1 5
	Sitapur	•••	•••	1	-2,100 10	<b>T</b>	2,296 7 3
	Hardoi Kheri	•••	•••		35,762 0	0	20,287 1 4
	Fyzabad	•••	•••		3,000 0	0	23,633 12 8
	Bahraich	•••	•••		6,000 0	0	7,562 6 7
	Gonda	•••	•••		18,951 0	0	24,742 15 10
	Rae Bareli	•••	•••	::: 1	41,000 0	0	44,728 5 9
	Sultanpur	•••	•••		35,319 1	6	13,343 6 9
	Partábgarh	•••	•••		18,500 0	Ŏ	8,417 14 1
	-		Total		1,70,730 11	10	2,69,826 7 8
	PROVINCIA	l Grand	TOTAL	[-	1,70,730 11	10	2,79,199 8 2
							,.0,,,00 0 2

SETS.			
the year 1887-88.		Collections during	
Total.	Rent collections during the year.	the year from sources other than land rents.	Total.
,5	6	7	8
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ESTATES. 3,683 10 10	1,22,164 5 1	10,702 6 7	1,36,550 6 6
2,159 5 4	49,938 12 6	4,021 0 11	56,119 2 9
5,843 0 2	1,72,103 1 7	14,723 7 6	1,92,669 9 3
ESTATES.  333 11 7  19,020 3 5  27,704 2 11  1.604 6 4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,535 4 11 2,156 5 10 1,325 8 0 494 15 7	33,908 9 1 38,896 0 8 45,349 10 8 9,225 7 2
1,604 6 4 48,662 8 3	73,205 1 0	5,512 2 4	$\frac{9,225  7  2}{1,27,379  11  7}$
54,505 8 5	2,45,308 2 7	20,235 9 10	3.20,049 4 10
ESTATES.			3,20,000
2,487 9 6	31,766 15 2	2,898 7 7	37,153 0 3
2,238 13 1 261 4 0 12 5 11 24,405 7 1	7,028 11 10 25,186 2 8 455 5 9 20,854 15 3	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
26,917 14 1	53,525 3 6	7,160 0 1	87,603 1 8
29,405 7 7	85,292 2 8	10,058 7 8	1,24,756 1 11
1,69,654 5 5	6,59,535 9 1	78,529 12 2	9,07,719 10 8
STRACT. ESTATES. 1,027 7 2 14 15 8 5,843 0 2 2,487 9 6 9,373 0 6	$\begin{array}{r} 54,378 & 4 & 4 \\ 23,923 & 8 & 10 \\ 1,72,103 & 1 & 7 \\ 31,766 & 15 & 2 \\ \hline 2,82,171 & 13 & 11 \\ \end{array}$	3,275 13 0 1,728 14 3 14,723 7 6 2,898 7 7 22,626 10 4	58,681 8 6 25,667 6 9 1,92,669 9 3 37,153 0 3 3,14,171 8 9
ESTATES.			
•••	•••	•••	•••
1,37,012 11 9 2,296 7 3 56,049 1 4 26,633 12 8 13,562 6 7 43,693 15 10	3,83,545 12 9 31,346 12 7 91,168 2 10 3,95,294 5 4 1,03,999 7 9 80,450 8 6	1,03,049 6 0 12,487 0 5 35,657 2 6 4,19,678 14 10 21,810 13 4 5,096 14 3	6,23,607 14 6 46,130 4 3 1,82,874 6 8 8,41,607 0 10 1,39,372 11 8 1,29,241 6 7
85,728 5 9 48,662 8 3 26,917 14 1	3,05,011 11 0 73,205 1 0 53,525 3 6	$\begin{array}{c} \\ 46,506 \ 12 \ 5 \\ 5,512 \ 2 \ 4 \\ 7,160 \ 0 \ 1 \end{array}$	4,37,246 13 2 1,27,379 11 7 87,603 1 8
4,40,557 3 6	15,17,547 1 3	6,56,959 2 2	26,15,063 6 11
4,49,930 4 0	17,99,718 15 2	6,79,585 12 6	29,29,234 15 8

Appendix III.—Annual statement of assets, expenditure, and balances 30th September, 1888,

Name of estate.   Total expenditure.   Invested.	,										
Total		Name of estate.					Cash balance on				
Total   Rs. a. p.   Rs. a. p.   ENCUMBERED	District					expendi	iture.	Invest	ed.		
Total   1, Kaithola   1, 34, 649   0   2   2, 319   1   6	1		2			9		10			
Total						Rs.	a. p.		a.	p.	
Total	ſ	1. Kurwar (iz	cluding	Fyzabad	portion)	1,34,649	0 2	ı	ומם	עשג	
Court of Walipur   Court of Wards   Co	벼	2. Mahona		•••	-	-					
Court of Walipur   Court of Wards   Co	TP.O			Total	•••	1,89,351				ا	
Course   C	<b>4</b>	2 Bhadaran				99 507		COURT OF V	VAI	RDS	
Court of Walipur   Court of Wards   Co	O.L.		•••	•••				18,000	0	0	
Total   GRAND	ΣΩ	5. Unchgaon	Bhadaur			14,390	6 2				
Total   Saltanpur   Saltanpu	C	6. Walipur	•••	•••	•••	I ———				]	
Total   Saltanpur   Saltanpu					•••			.			
Total   Salphan   Salpha			GRAND	TOTAL	•••	2,62,877	14 10	·			
COURT OF WARDS'   Sargaon	٠ ر	1 Kaithola				27.042	= A	ENCUM	BEI	RED	
Total	Å.	1. Earthora	•••	•••	***	37,043		OTTOM ON N	T A 7	[	
Total	Į H	2. Madhpur	***			5.324		OOKT OF A	V A.F	ms.	
Total	GA	3. Bargaon	•••	•••							
Total 63,164 7 4 18,500 0 0  GRAND TOTAL 1,00,207 12 4 18,500 0 0  DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL 8,22,917 6 1 58,819 1 6  Hardoi 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,10 15 1 256,	7 1		•••	•••	•••				^		
Court of Wards   Cour		o. Danyawan	•••	M3 - 1 - 3	•••						
DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	1		C=		•••	·					
Hardoi   Rae Bareli   Sultánpur   Total   Sitapur   Hardoi   Hardoi   Total   Sitapur   Hardoi   Total   Hardoi   Total   Hardoi   Total   Hardoi   Total   Hardoi   Total   Total   Total   Total   Hardoi   Total	- 1	Deverage			•••						
Hardoi   Rae Bareli   Sultánpur   Partábgarh   Total   Sitapur   Hardoi   Sitapur   Hardoi   Sitapur   Hardoi   Sitapur   Hardoi   Sitapur   Hardoi   Sitapur   Sita		Division	L GRAND	TOTAL	•••	8,22,917	6 1	58,819	1		
Hardoi   Rae Bareli   Sultánpur   Total   Sitapur   Hardoi   Sitapur   Hardoi   Sitapur   Hardoi   Sitapur   Hardoi   Sitapur   Sitapur   Hardoi   Sitapur								ENCUM	RTETS		
Sultánpur Partábgarh	1		***	•••		58,105 1	14 3	i	ULL	יענעי.	
Partábgarh       Total       37,043 5 0          Lucknow       COURT OF WARDS'         Unao        COURT OF WARDS'         Bara Banki           Sitapur        40,448 2 1          Hardoi             Kheri <th co<="" td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td>•••</td><td>•••</td><td>•••</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td>1</td> <td></td> <td>•••</td> <td>•••</td> <td>•••</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	1		•••	•••	•••					
Total 3,10,111 11 1  Lucknow Unao Bara Banki 4,25,823 0 1 62,300 0 0 Sitapur 40,448 2 1 Hardoi 1,32,357 12 9 35,762 0 0 Kheri 7,98,395 3 6 3,000 0 0 Fyzabad 1,19,177 15 4 6,000 0 0 Bahraich 78,004 0 9 26,875 1 0 Gonda Rae Bareli 4,34,220 11 10 Sultánpur 4,34,220 11 10 Partábgarh 73,526 6 1 40,319 1 6 Total 21,65,117 11 9 1,92,756 2 6	1		•••	•••						1	
Lucknow Unao  Bara Banki Sitapur Hardoi Kheri Bahraich Gonda Rae Bareli Sultánpur Rardoi Rardoi Bahraich Gonda Rae Bareli Sultánpur Rardoi Rae Bareli Sultánpur Rardoi Rordo R		7 01 1 1 0 0 0 1 H	•••	m-+-7	•••						
Lucknow                                                                                                                <	I			Total	•••	5,10,111		OFT. 1.01			
Unao Bara Banki	1	Lucknow					C.	1	AR	DS'	
Sitapur        40,448 2 1         Hardoi        1,32,357 12 9       35,762 0 0         Kheri        7,98,395 3 6       3,000 0 0         Fyzabad        1,19,177 15 4       6,000 0 0         Bahraich        78,004 0 9       26,875 1 0         Gonda         4,34,220 11 10         Rae Bareli         73,526 6 1       40,319 1 6         Partábgarh        63,164 7 4       18,500 0 0         Total       21,65,117 11 9       1,92,756 2 6	ł		•••	•••	-			:::		1	
Hardoi 1,32,357 12 9 35,762 0 0 Kheri 7,98,395 3 6 3,000 0 0 0 Fyzabad 1,19,177 15 4 6,000 0 0 0 Bahraich 78,004 0 9 26,875 1 0 Gonda Rae Bareli 4,34,220 11 10 Sultánpur 73,526 6 1 40,319 1 6 Partábgarh 63,164 7 4 18,500 0 0 Total 21,65,117 11 9 1,92,756 2 6	1		•••	•••				62,300	0	0	
Kheri         7,98,395 3 6 3,000 0 0 0       3,000 0 0 0       6,000 0 0 0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0	I		•••	•••	•••					. 1	
Fyzabad         1,19,177 15 4       6,000 0 0       0 0         Bahraich         78,004 0 9       26,875 1 0         Gonda         4,34,220 11 10          Sultánpur         73,526 6 1       40,319 1 6         Partábgarh         63,164 7 4       18,500 0 0         Total        21,65,117 11 9       1,92,756 2 6	1		***	•••						- 1	
Bahraich         78,004 0 9       26,875 1 0         Gonda              Rae Bareli          4,34,220 11 10          Sultánpur          73,526 6 1       40,319 1 6       18,500 0 0         Partábgarh         63,164 7 4       18,500 0 0       0         Total        21,65,117 11 9       1,92,756 2 6       6	1	Fyzabad	***	•••							
Rae Bareli 4,34,220 11 10	1		•••	•••	- 1						
Sultánpur          73,526       6       1       40,319       1       6         Partábgarh          63,164       7       4       18,500       0       0         Total        21,65,117       11       .9       1,92,756       2       6	ĺ		•••	•••					_	Ĭ	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-		•••	•••	1				_		
Total $21,65,117$ 11 .9 $1,92,756$ 2 6	1		•••	•••	1						
2,00,11. 11:0 1,02,100 2 6		-		Total							
PROVINCIAL GRAND TOTAL 24,75,229 6 10 1.92.756 2 6		PROVINC	IAL GRAN								
PROVINCIAL GRAND TOTAL 24,75,229 6 10 1,92,756 2 6						#I,10,440	0.10	1,92,756	2	6	

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year endi (1295 Fasli)—(concluded).

30th September, 1888.		
		Remarks.
Uninvested.	Total.	
Oninvested.	Total.	
11	12	13
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
ESTATES. 1,901 6 4	1,901 6 4	
1,416 10 2	1,416 10 2	
3,318 0 6	3,318 0 6	
ESTATES. 401 2 2	401 9 9	
4,139 13 7	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
8,640 3 0	30,959 4 6	
353 1 3	353 1 3	
13,534 4 0	53,853 5 6	
16,852 4 6 ESTATES.	57,171 6 0	
109 11 3	109 11 3	
ESTATES.		
4,408 8 8	4,408 8 8	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
1,175 5 10	19,675 5 10	
5,938 10 4	24,438 10 4	
6,048 5 7	24,548 5 7	
25,983 3 1	84,802 4 7	
STRACT. ESTATES.		
575 10 3	575 10 3	
56 7 8	56 7 8	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$3,318  0  6 \\ 109  11  3$	
4,059 13 8	4,059 13 8	ì
ESTATES.		
	•••	
1,35,484 14 5	1,97,784 14 5	
5,682 2 2	5,682 2 2	
14,754 9 11	50,516 9 11	
40,211 13 4	43,211 13 4	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20,194 12 4 $51,237 5 10$	}
24,362 4 10	٠٠٠ الاسباد	
3,026 1 4	3,026 1 4	
13,534 4 0	53,853 5 6	
5,938 10 4	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	-
2,57,189 8 8		-
2,61,249 6 4	4,54,005 8 10	

#### APPENDIX IV.—ANNUAL STATEMENT SHOWING DETAILED PROVINCE OF OUDH, FOR THE YEAR

ct.		Ī			E, CESSES RATES.	AND	Co	OST (	OF
District.	Name of estate.		Arrea	r.	Curre	nt.	Sadı	:	
1	2		3		4		5		
٠.٠٢			Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. ;	p.
OVA NOW.	Blank	···	•••		•••		•••		
UNAO.	Blank	⋯ ].	•••						_
		- 1				CC	URT OF V	VAK:	DS'
	1. Fokra Unsari		·		27,050		996		2
	0 1711		•••		12,179	2 3	262		6
4K			•••		2,989		90	8	7
Bara Banki.			•••		31,120	$\begin{array}{ccc} 13 & 9 \\ 15 & 11 \end{array}$	1,108	8	2 3
<b>2</b> 41					21,003	1 5	363 1,190	0	ő
RA	7 D		•••		66,046		2,291	ŏ	ŏ
BA			•••		27,362	0 4	520	ŏ	ŏΙ
i	0 0				17,689	9 0	864	2	4
	m - t - 1				2,14,314	0 0	7,687	8	0
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	١.			2,14,314	0 0	7,687	8	0
	DIVISIONED GENERAL TOTAL						URT OF V		l
) ندنه			•••		4,543	0 0	144		1
SITA- PUB.			•••		$3,394 \\ 2,277$		123 82		3
∞ = (		-							
	Total .	•••  -	•••		10,215	1 3	351	4	4
		1					ENCUM	BER	ED
۲	1. Sarwan Baragaon .		•••		19,968	7 0	296		0
j			•••		3,548		58	9	6
	3. Baghiari	···  _			4,818	8 0	81	6	3
i, li	Total .	···  _			28,335	12 9	436	11	9
HARDOL.						CO	URT OF V	VAR	DS'
H	4. Mansurgarh				5,545	3 0	128	11	3
	5. Marwan				4,778	0 0	157	11	3
			•••		718	1 5	14	7	0
Ĺ	7. Khajurahra	···  _			29,356	12 10	533	0	5
	Total .				40,398	1 3	833	13 1	11
	GRAND TOTAL .		•••		68,733		1,270	9	8
		- 1					URT OF V		
냂	1. Bansi		•••		2,740	3 0	46	3	0
質人	2. Khairigarh	···	***	11 ^	57,186		2,241		0
KHERI.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	•••	56	11 0	74,319	9 10	1,175		0
~ (		···  -	***		28,266		805		0
	Total .	··· ]_	56		1,62,513	4 4	4,267		0
	Divisional Grand Total.		56	11 0	2,41,462	3 7	5,889	13	0
		<del></del>	New est						<u></u> }

^{*} New estates.

( XXXIX )
EXPENDITURE OF ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT IN THE ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER, 1888, (1295 FASLI).

MANAGEMENT.	PERSONAL E	XPENSES.	LAW EX	PENSES.
Mufassil.	Ordinary.	Extraordinary.	Recoverable.	Irrecoverable.
6	. 7	8	9	10
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
			•••	•••
	•••	•••	•••	•••
ESTATES.				
2,223 0 3 1,184 6 3	6,247 6 1 3,097 0 0	10 0 0 200 0 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	315 10 9 116 13 1 13 0 7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	435 0 0 100 0 0 1,922 4 10  100 0 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	369 8 10 52 9 7 110 0 0 200 1 8
15,889 9 10	23,993 5 8	2,767 4 10	$\frac{115  0  0}{7,036  0  0}$	1,177 12 6
15,889 9 10	23,993 5 8	$\frac{2,767 + 10}{2,767 + 10}$	7,036 0 0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ESTATES.		2,101 110		
317 3 8 301 4 8 197 15 0	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1,380 & 0 & 0 \\ 972 & 0 & 0 \\ 530 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$	1,166 8 0	147 1 3 31 3 0 15 7 0	176 12 11 57 6 5 35 15 7
816 7 4	2,882 0 0	1,166 8 0	193 11 3	270 2 11
ESTATES.				
$\begin{array}{cccc} 1,163 & 2 & 5 \\ 256 & 0 & 8 \\ 365 & 1 & 6 \end{array}$	792 8 0 811 8 0	400 0 0	27 1 6 37 12 0 25 3 3	283 3 10 53 2 5 96 0 2
1,784 4 7	1,604 0 0	400 0 0	90 0 9	432 6 5
ESTATES.				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1,800 & 0 & 0 \\ 1,420 & 0 & 0 \\ 360 & 0 & 0 \\ 7,941 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$	225 0 0 166 8 1 	57 1 6 56 8 9  520 1 6	231 14 5 154 0 0 15 12 11 501 11 3
3,582 9 9	11,521 0 0	391 8 1	633 11 9	903 6 7
5,366 14 4	13,125 0 0	791 8 1	723 12 6	1,335 13 0
ESTATES. 165 0 0 4,906 3 11 5,817 10 8 1,818 5 0	480 0 0 30,335 5 0 15,000 0 0 4,080 0 0	3,131 9 8 3,000 0 0	220 9 9 43 14 0 72 8 3	12 1 0 2,220 5 10 1,827 13 6 463 5 8
12,707 3 7	49,895 5 0	9,431 9 8	337 0 0	4,523 10 0
18,890 9 3	65,902 5 0	11,389 9 9	1,254 7 9	•6,129 9 11

## Appendix IV.—Annual statement showing detailed expenditure ending 30th September, 1888,

ĵţ.									IM	PRO	VE .
District.	Name of estate.		Subscrip	tio	n.	Educat	ion	•	Recover	able	
1	2		11			12			13		_
LUCK.	Blank	•••	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	р.
Unao.	Blank	•••							•••		
								CO	URT OF W	AR	DS?
IKI,	<ol> <li>Pokra Unsari</li> <li>Kharka</li> <li>Togapur Yakutganj</li> </ol>	•••	252 110	13 0	() 6	1,350	0	0	3,112 365	0	0
BARA BANKI,	4. Bhilwal Sikandarpur		288 72	1	6				1,700	0	0
[ ₹]	5. Amirpur 6. Mailaraiganj*		114	7	9	368	15	2			
BAJ	7. Ramnagar Dhameri* 8. Narauli*	•••	$624 \\ 142$	5 12	0						
ί	9. Surajpur*			12	Ū		13	6			
	Total		1,605	7	3	1,793	12	8	5,177	0	0
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL		1,605	7	3	1,793	12	8	5,177	0	0
								CO	URT OF W	AR	DS
SITA.	<ol> <li>Bajehra</li> <li>Deokalia (9 as. share</li> <li>Deokalia (7 as. share)</li> </ol>		12 30 26	14	6 9 2	68	14	6	190 100	0	0
`	Total		70	11	5	68	14	6	290	0	0
									ENCUMI	BER	ED.
	<ol> <li>Sarwan Báragaon</li> <li>Durgaganj</li> <li>Baghiari</li> </ol>		207 37 50	5	0 3 0	110	0	0	279 100 200	0 0	0 0
اان	Total	***		$\frac{12}{12}$	3	110	$\frac{0}{0}$	0	579	0	0
HARDOI.		•••			_				l		<u> </u>
IA1	4. Mansurgarh		56	9	3	120	0	0	URT OF W	0	יפע.
7	5. Marwan	•••	. 26	14	0	2,000	ŏ	0	199	ŏ	ŏ
{	<ul><li>6. Behti Chiragpur</li><li>7. Khajurahra</li></ul>		$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 281 \end{array}$	13 12	0	$\frac{6}{1,894}$	$\frac{0}{2}$	0	100 286	0	0
	Total		365		9	4,020	2	<del>-</del>	685	<del>_</del> 0	0
	GRAND TOTAL		661	12	0	4,130	2	0	1,264	0	0
	1. Bansi							CO	URT OF W		
Кнект	2. Khairigarh		721	6	0	830	15	6	175 6,610	0	01
KA )	3. Mullanpur 4. Kaimahra	•••	747 311	10	0		-3		•••		
`	Total		1,780		$\frac{0}{0}$	830	1 =	6	$\frac{1,000}{7,785}$	$\frac{0}{0}$	$\frac{0}{0}$
	Divisional Grand Total	ı	2,513	4	5	5,030	$\frac{15}{0}$	<del>0</del>	9,339	<del>-</del> 0	0
			* 37								

^{*} New estates.

( xli )

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year (1295 Fasli)—(continued).

MENTS.				
Irrecoverable.	Miscellaneous.	Debts.	Total.	Remarks.
14	15	16	17	18
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
•••	•••		***	
ESTATES.				,
1,631 0 4 402 0 0 592 6 0  477 9 9	5,292 7 6 1,525 10 3 167 10 0 4,413 0 8 1,291 11 4 25,231 13 11 10,024 11 8	2,400 0 0 2,500 0 0 22,110 0 0 10,000 0 0	51,701 10 4 21,878 4 10 5,764 11 5 70,238 10 7 23,627 14 6 61,558 15 10 85,976 10 8	
•••	2,433 1 10 2,084 12 1	a50,000 0 0	82,062 7 0	a Borrowed from the
3,103 0 1	52,464 15 3	88,813 4 0	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Mailaraiganj estate.
3,103 0 1	52,464 15 3	88,813 4 0	4,25,823 0 1	-
ESTATES.				
953 0 0 510 0 3 502 15 9	1,072 7 5 871 4 0 429 14 10	b15,535 2 1 4,248 8 9	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	b Rs. 10,000 bor- rowed from Reh- wa.
1,966 0 0	2,373 10 3	19,783 10 10	40,448 2 1	wa.
3,124 10 6 712 11 1 938 5 7	2,970 5 11 572 3 4 823 9 3	9,395 5 9 1,670 13 9 3,830 12 7	37,715 11 11 8,239 15 9 12,150 2 7	
4,775 11 2	4,365 2 6	14,897 0 1	58,105 14 3	
ESTATES.	1			
2,548 2 3 3,474 3 1	14,104 6 4 17,096 3 4 142 1 7	 316 0 0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
1,616 5 9	4,600 3 11	25,124 13 5	74.984 14 2	
7,638 11 1	35,942 15 2	25,440 13 5	1,32,357 12 9	
12,414 6 3 ESTATES.	40,308 1 8	40,337 13 6	1,90,463 11 0	
2,410 0 0 20 8 0 1,629 2 2	330 11 7 22,296 6 5 17,899 15 10 4,105 3 4	924 1 0 56,228 8 0 4,26,908 13 10 11,512 6 3	5,473 3 7 1,89,339 11 7 5,46,817 11 8 56,764 8 8	
4,059 10 2	44,632 5 2	4,95,573 13 1	7,98,395 3 6	•
18,440 0 5	87,314 1 1	5,55,695 5 5	10,29,307 0 7	

### Appendix IV.—Annual statement showing detailed expenditure ending 30th September, 1888,

Sultanpur portion   2. Mamrezpur	Sadr.  5  Rs. 2  OF WA 1,720 8  22 2  115 9  1,949  DF WA 1,160 65	8 7 7 2 5 6 8 11 9 5 1 7
Total   2   3   4	Rs. : OF WA 1,720 { 67 11 22 67 12 115 1 1,949 OF WA 1,160 ( 65 1 1,225	RDS 8 7 7 2 5 6 8 11 9 5 1 7 RDS 0 0 1 8
Rs. a. p.   Rs. a. p.   COURT COUR	Rs. 40 F WA 1,720 8 22 67 18 22 115 1 1,949 OF WA 1,160 65 1,225	RDS 8 7 7 2 5 6 8 11 9 5 1 7 RDS 0 0 1 8
COURT COUR	OF WA 1,720 8 22 67 18 22 115 1 1,949 OF WA 1,160 65 1 1,225	RDS 8 7 7 2 5 6 8 11 9 5 1 7 RDS 0 0 1 8
1. Khapradih (including Sultanpur portion)   2. Mamrezpur	1,720 8 22 67 18 22 115 1 1,949 DF WA 1,160 65 1 1,225	8 7 7 2 5 6 8 11 9 5 1 7 RDS 0 0 1 8
2. Mamrezpur	67 1 22 1 115 1 1,949 DF WA 1,160 65 1 1,225	5 6 8 11 9 5 1 7 RDS 0 0 1 8
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22 115 1,949 0F WA 1,160 65 1,225	8 11 9 5 1 7 RDS 0 0 1 8
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	115 9 1,949 OF WA 1,160 65 1 1,225	9 5 1 7 RDS 0 0 1 8
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,949 OF WA 1,160 65 1,225	1 7 RDS 0 0 1 8
COURT C 37,294 15 0 2,797 1 9 2	OF WA 1,160 65 1,225	RDS 0 0 1 8
H D { 1. Rehwa 37,294 15 0 2,797 1 9	1,160 65 1,225	0 0 1 8
4 7 { 2. Kunera*   2,797 1 9	65 1,225	1 8
m = 1 2. Kunera 40,092 0 9	1,225	
Total   10,032 0 3	<u> </u>	1 0
80 d {   Blank		
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3,174 8	3 3
ENC	UMBEI	RED
1. Bahua 11,106 8 0	711 1	3 2
COURT C	OF WA	RDS
	1,892	2 10
3. Korihar Sataon 18,303 6 0	2,341 1	
4. Bhiragobindpur 16,315 9 5		6 5
5. Pandriganeshpur 19,517 4 2 6,005 14 0	1,995 3 392 1	$\begin{array}{ccc} 8 & 7 \\ 4 & 6 \end{array}$
7 (6. Finohra 6,005 14 0 15,717 0 2		1 4
		7 11
		4 7
1000		
GRAND TOTAL 1,35,393 1 9 1	12,338	1 9
ENC	CUMBE	ERE
1. Kurwar (including Fyza 66,622 14 10	3,250	1 10
bad portion)		
5   2. Mahona   23,779 11 3		11 9
2. Mahona	4,954 13	3 7
COURT (	OF WA	RDS
B   3. Bhadayan   15,202 7 10		7 8
1,102 0 2	614 1	-
5. Unchgaon Bhadaur     7,176 8 7   6. Walipur     3.279 7 0	$554 1 \\ 242 1$	
6. Walipur 3,279 7 0	443 1	19 7
Total 34,810 10 7	2,477 1	11 2
	7,432	8 9

^{*} New estate.

( xliii )

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year (1295 Fasli)—(continued).

MANAGEMENT.	PERSONAL E	XPENSES.	LAW EX	PENSES.
Mufassil.	Ordinary.	Extraordinary.	Recoverable.	Irrecoverable.
6	7	8	9	10
Rs. a. p. ESTATES.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. å. p.
3,092 10 4	6,776 2 11	500 0 0	806 9 8	1,549 4 3
46 8 0 449 10 10	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20 0 0	 751 0 0	6 7 7
46 8 0	282 8 0	20 0 0	•••	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	540 0 0	$\frac{47 \ 4 \ 9}{1,604 \ 14 \ 5}$	717 7 5
ESTATES.	0,000 2 3		1,001 11 5	4,932 7 2
3,167 12 0 61 8 8	$5,040  0  0 \\ 438  14  0$	$1,689 \ 14 \ 1$ $50 \ 0 \ 0$	$\begin{array}{cccc} 771 & 9 & 3 \\ 2 & 8 & 0 \end{array}$	1,087 15 0 15 0 0
3,229 4 8	5,478 14 0	1,739 14 1	774 1 3	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
•••	***	•••	•••	
7,465 9 10	14,332 0 3	2,279 14 1	2,378 15 8	6,035 6 2
ESTATES. 1,206 3 7	2,162 11 0	525 0 0	49 9 3	161 12 0
ESTATES.		/		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3,394 2 6 1,096 10 8	3,761 5 0 40 0 0	154 4 6 24 8 0	626 2 5 274 1 4
1,826 15 5	2,100 0 0	4,800 0 0	113 5 0	692 15 9
3,608 15 8	2,400 0 0	4,513 8 0	222 7 1	894 11 10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1,800 0 0	4.124 15 0	99 6 0 177 5 4	167 13 2
1,834 13 0	$4,420 7 9 \\ 6,404 7 0$	1,000 0 0	246 11 9	458 12 4 347 0 8
13,850 0.0	21,615 11 11	18,239 12 0	. 1,037 15 8	3,461 9 6
15,056 3 7	23,778 6 11	18,764 12 0	1,087 8 11	3,623 5 6
ESTATES.			4.54	
4,402 7 5	1,807 12 0	260 0 0	1,371 3 2	2,787 0 1
1,627 5 0	3,813 6 0	2,850 0 0	296 7 10	1,426 5 7
6,029 12 5	5,621 2 0	3,110 0 0	1,667 11 0	4,213 5 8
ESTATES. 1,185 12 0	4,610 13 0	360 0 0	1,028 10 10	777 9 11
595 8 0	2,031 15 11	200 0 0	74 8 11	212 9 1
543 8 0	2,852 15 9	1,050 0 0	24 12 7	227 4 4
210 6 6	150 0 0	•••	100 2 8	193 0 6
2,535 2 6	9,645 12 8	1,610 0 0	1,228 3 0	1,410 7 10
8,564 14 11	15,266 14 8	4,720 0 0	2,895 14 0	5,623 13 6

## APPENDIX IV.—Annual statement showing detailed expenditure ending 30th September, 1888,

Name of estate,   Subscription.   Education.   Recoverable.	of:				IMPROVE
Rs. a. p.   Rs. a. p.   Rs. a. p.   COURT OF WARDS	District.	Name of estate.	Subscription.	Education.	Recoverable.
Total	1	. 2	11	12	13
Sultanpur portion   2   Mamrezpur	•		Rs. a. p.		
Total	P.			260 4 0	197 0 0
Total	ار 😭	2. Mamrezpur			
Total				1	•••
Total     330 10 7   466 12 0   197 0 0	F=			1	•••
Total   Sample   Sa	(	_			107 0 0
Total   Salar   Sala		Total	350 10 7		
Total	± i c	1 7) 7	248 0 0		
Total	¥ 2 1				
Blank	H W				
DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL   679 11 7   2,266 12 0   973 0 0	¥ 4 (				
Total	ළි බ {	Blank			
Total		Divisional Grand Total	679 11 7	2,266 12 0	973 0 0
COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF W					ENCUMBERED'
Second	(	1. Bahua	103 10 0	160 0 0	25 0 0
S. Udrehra     152 4 0     40 0 0 0   152 4 0     40 0 0 0   1,845 12 0     152 4 0     1,845 12 0     1,844 13 9   530 8 0   1,845 12 0     1,845 12 0     1,844 13 9   690 8 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0	41		•		
S. Udrehra     152 4 0     40 0 0 0   152 4 0     40 0 0 0   1,845 12 0     152 4 0     1,845 12 0     1,844 13 9   530 8 0   1,845 12 0     1,845 12 0     1,844 13 9   690 8 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0	EE				
S. Udrehra     152 4 0     40 0 0 0   152 4 0     40 0 0 0   1,845 12 0     152 4 0     1,845 12 0     1,844 13 9   530 8 0   1,845 12 0     1,845 12 0     1,844 13 9   690 8 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0	- ₹ /				
S. Udrehra     152 4 0     40 0 0 0   152 4 0     40 0 0 0   1,845 12 0     152 4 0     1,845 12 0     1,844 13 9   530 8 0   1,845 12 0     1,845 12 0     1,844 13 9   690 8 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0	<b>m</b>	5 Pandriganeshnur			
S. Udrehra     152 4 0     40 0 0 0   152 4 0     40 0 0 0   1,845 12 0     152 4 0     1,845 12 0     1,844 13 9   530 8 0   1,845 12 0     1,845 12 0     1,844 13 9   690 8 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,870 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0   1,845 12 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800 0 0     1,800	A II	6. Binohra		1	
Total 1,444 13 9 530 8 0 1,845 12 0  GRAND TOTAL 1,548 7 9 690 8 0 1,870 12 0  1. Kurwar (including Fyzabad portion) 2. Mahona 220 11 7  Total 842 10 8 1,800 0 0 123 0 0  3. Bhadayan 141 13 9 249 10 0 4. Pali 85 8 0 10 0 0  Total 298 6 7 296 5 6 10 0 0	PH				
GRAND TOTAL 1,548 7 9 690 8 0 1,870 12 0  1. Kurwar (including Fyzabad portion) 2. Mahona 220 11 7  Total 842 10 8 1,800 0 0 123 0 0  3. Bhadayan 141 13 9 249 10 0 4. Pali 85 8 0 5. Unchgaon Bhadaur 55 11 5 46 11 6	Ų	8. Udrehra	152 4 0		40 0 0
Total		Total	1,444 13 9	530 8 0	1,845 12 0
Total		GRAND TOTAL	1,548 7 9	690 8 0	1,870 12 0
1. Kurwar (including Fyzabad portion)   2. Mahona					
Bad portion   2. Mahona	c				ENCUMBERED
2. Mahona	i		621 15 1	1,800 0 0	123 0 0
5. Unchgaon Bhadaur 55 11 5 46 11 6 10 0 0  Total 298 6 7 296 5 6 10 0 0	ᡤ		990 11 77	)	
5. Unchgaon Bhadaur 55 11 5 46 11 6 10 0 0  Total 298 6 7 296 5 6 10 0 0	ρū				
5. Unchgaon Bhadaur 55 11 5 46 11 6 10 0 0  Total 298 6 7 296 5 6 10 0 0	<b>A</b>	10021	842 10 8		
5. Unchgaon Bhadaur 55 11 5 46 11 6 10 0 0  Total 298 6 7 296 5 6 10 0 0	됩	2 Phaderen	741 10 0		RT OF WARDS'
5. Unchgaon Bhadaur 55 11 5 46 11 6 10 0 0  Total 298 6 7 296 5 6 10 0 0	Su	A Doli		249 10 0	•••
6. Walipur 15 5 5 10 0 0  Total 298 6 7 296 5 6 10 0 0		E IInchason Phadenn		46 11 6	***
Total 298 6 7 296 5 6 10 0 0	į			1	10 0 0
C 200 0 0 10 0 0	_	Motol.			
GRAND TOTAL 1,141 1 3 2,096 5 6 133 0 0		Total	298 6 7	296 5 6	10 0 0
		GRAND TOTAL	1,141 1 3	2,096 5 6	133 0 0

^{*} New estate.

( xlv )

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year (1295 Fasli)—(continued).

MENTS.				
Irrecoverable.	Miscellaneous.	Debts.	Total.	REMARKS.
14	15	16	17	18
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
655 7 8	13,101 5 0	•••	76,041 5 0	
••• ••• •••	90 5 7 1,091 3 10 130 1 9 1,454 15 10	425 13 0 12,898 0 0 401 9 0 3,257 13 6	1,857 14 10 26,134 10 7 1,731 8 1 13,412 8 10	
655 7 8	15,868 0 0	16,983 3 6	1,19,177 15 4	
ESTATES. 10,231 0 0 28 0 0	10,251 0 0 137 5 4	789 7 0	73,618 10 4 4,385 6 5	
10,259 0 0	10,388 5 4	789 7 0	78,004 0 9	
10,914 7 8	26,256 5 4	17,772 10 6	1,97,182 0 1	
ESTATES.	2,063 15 0	7,334 13 1	25,610 15 1	
ESTATES. 285 13 9 600 8 0	27,306 14 0 70,383 5 9 3,776 15 11	  a29,369 12 1	73,437 13 10 93,979 9 10 62,494 12 0	a Rs. 1,060 paid by
148 7 6  14 0 0	7,189 3 4 1,479 10 10 26,368 0 0	32,542 8 11 4,140 0 0	73,503 6 4 14,954 10 6 55,650 4 5	Sirdar Autar Singh.
1,048 13 3	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	b Rs.16,500 deposit- ed by the Taluk-
1,048 13 3	1,41,827 12 9	1,02,803 12 9	4,59,831 10 11	dar.
ESTATES. 3,001 14 11	10,949 14 10	37,650 12 0	1,34,649 0 2	
1,785 14 6	3,337 14 2	13,860 0 11	54,702 8 7	
4,787 13 5	14,287 13 0	51,510 12 11	1,89,351 8 9	
ESTATES.  1,203 3 9 831 3 11 215 1 9	2,957 15 7 1,643 0 10	5,349 3 7	33,507 6 11 16,756 3 1 14,390 6 2 8.872 5 11	
304 0 9	_	7 240 2 7	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	
2,553 10 2	11,300 12 6	5,349 3 7		
7,341 7 7	25,588 9 6	56,860 0 6	2,62,877 14 10	•

( xlvi )

## APPENDIX IV.—Annual statement showing detailed expenditure ending 30th September, 1888,

ot.		LAND-REVENUE LOCAL I		Cost of
District.	Name of estate.	Arrear.	Current.	Sadr.
1	2	3	4	5
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
(	1. Kaithola	•••	17,225 15 4	942 14 11
			cou	RT OF WARDS'
!	2. Madhpur		2,998 4 7 1	208 1 7
E	3. Bargaon		10,169 13 6	794 9 10
₹!	4. Pandwansi		157 6 6	13 14 0
ABG 	5. Dahyawan		8,825 15 10	699 5 11
Partabgare.	Total	•••	22,151 8 5	1,715 15 4
7	GRAND TOTAL	•••	29,377 7 9	2,658 14 3
l	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	•••	2,99,983 14 2	22,429 8 9
	į			AB
				ENCUMBERED
	Hardoi		28,335 12 9	436 11 9
	Des Dessit			
			11,106 8 0	
	Sultanpur	•••	90,402 10 1	
	Partabgarh		17,225 15 4	942 14 11
	Total		1,47,070 14 2	7,046 5 5
			cou	RT OF WARDS'
	Lucknow	J		
	Unao			
	Bara Banki	•••	2,14,314 0 0	7,687 8 0
	Sitapur		10,215 1 3	351 4 4
	Hardoi		40,398 1 3	833 13 11
	Kheri	56 11 0	1,62,513 4 4	4,267 15 0
	Fyzabad		62,560 15 0	1,949 1 7
	Bahraich		40,092 0 9	1,225 1 8
	Gonda			
	Rae Bareli		1,24,286 9 9	11,626 4 7
	Sultanpur		34,810 10 7	2,477 11 2
	Partabgarh		22,151 8 5	1,715 15 4
ŧ	Total	56 11 0	7,11,342 3 4	32,134 11 7
-	PROVINCIAL GRAND TOTAL	56 11 0	8,58,413 1 6	39,181 1 0

( xlvii )

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year (1295 Fasli)—(continued).

MANAGEMENT.	PERSONAL E	XPENSES.	LAW EX	PENSES.
Mufassil.	Ordinary.	Extraordinary.	Recoverable.	Irrecoverable.
6	7	8	9	10
Rs. a. p. ESTATES.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
847 8 0	3,730 0 0		1,240 9 3	366 7 3
ESTATES.				
370 0 0 906 3 3 15 15 8	581 12 0 1,560 0 0 89 8 0	•••	$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
803 5 6	5,100 0 0	11,043 11 9	178 2 6	245 3 5
2,095 8 5	7,331 4 0	11,043 11 9	645 6 2	667 10 6
2,943 0 5	11,061 4 0	11,043 11 9	1,885 15 5	1,034 1 9
26,564 2 11	50,106 9 7	34,528 7 9	5,869 6 4	10,281 4 9
STRACT.				
ESTATES.				
1,784 4 7	$1,604  0  0 \\ 2,162  11  0$	400 0 0 525 0 0	90 0 9 49 9 3	432 6 5 161 12 0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 2,162 & 11 & 0 \\ 5,621 & 2 & 0 \\ 3,730 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$	3,110 0 0	1,667 11 0 1,240 9 3	4,213 5 8 366 7 3
9,867 12 7	13,117 13 0	4,035 0 0	3,047 14 3	5,173 15 4
ESTATES.				
15,889 9 10 816 7 4 3,582 9 9 12,707 3 7 4,236 5 2 3,229 4 8	28,993 5 8 2,882 0 0 11,521 0 0 49,895 5 0 8,853 2 3 5,478 14 0	2,767 4 10 1,166 8 0 391 8 1 9,431 9 8 540 0 0 1,739 14 1	7,036 0 0 193 11 3 633 11 9 337 0 0 1,604 14 5 774 1 3	1,177 12 6 270 2 11 903 6 7 4,523 10 0 4,932 7 2 1,102 15 0
13,850 0 0 2,535 2 6 2,095 8 5	21,615 11 11 9,645 12 8 7,331 4 0	18,239 12 0 1,610 0 0 11,043 11 9	1,037 15 8 1,228 3 0 645 6 2	3,461 9 6 1,410 7 10 667 10 6
58,942 3 3	1,41,216 7 6	46,930 4 5	13,490 15 6	18,450 2 0
68,809 15 10	1,54,334 4 6	50,965 4 5	16,538 13 9	• 23,624 1 4

( xlviii )

# APPENDIX IV.—Annual statement showing detailed expenditure ending 30th September, 1888,

ict.										Імн	PRO	VE.
District.	Name of estate.		Subscrip	tion.		Educati	on.		Recovers	able		
1	2		11	·		12			13		_	
				Rs.	a. p		Rs.	a.	p.	Rs. ENCUMB		p.
(	1. Kaithola	•••		161	0 (					225		ريم [ ٥
PARTABGARH.						-		C	oυ	RT OF W.	AR	1 Ds'
¥B¢	2. Madhpur 3. Bargaon	•••	•••	31 104	6 8	3	11	0	0	105	0	0
TH.	4. Pandwansi		•••									
PA	5. Dahyawan		•••	95	7 5	2	•••			50	0	0
C	1	Total	•••	231	2 7	7	11	0	0	155	0	0
	GRAND T	OTAL	•••	392	2 '	7	11	0	0	380	0	0
	DIVISIONAL (	GRAND TO	OTAL	3,081	11 7	7	2,797	13	6	2,383	12	0
		ć.										AB
										ENCUME	BER	ΕD
	Hardoi Rae Bareli	•••	•••	295		3	110	0	0	579	0	0 1
	Sultanpur	•••	•••	103		0	160	0	0	25	Ō	ŏ
	Partabgarh	•••	•••	842 161		3	1,800	0	0	123	0	0
				201	•	٠	•••			225	0	0
		Total	•••	1,403	0 11	<u> </u>	2,070	0	0	952	0	0
								C	ου	RT OF W.	AR)	os,
	Lucknow Unao	•••	•••							١		1
	Bara Banki	•••	•••	1,605	7 :	3	1.700	10				
	Sitapur	•••	•••			თ ნ	1,793	12 14	8 6	5,177	0	0
	Hardoi	•••	•••	365		9	4,020	2	0	290 685	0	0
	Kheri Fyzabad	•••	•••	1,780		0	830		6	7,785	0	ŏ
	Bahraich	•••	•••	330		7	466	12	Ō	197	ŏ	ŏ
	Gonda	•••	***	349	1 (	0	1,800	. 0	0	776	0	o l
	Rae Bareli	•••	•••	1,444	13 (	9	530	8	Δ.	7	• •	
	Sultanpur	•••	•••	298		7	296	5	0 6	1,845	12	0
	Partabgarh	***	***	231	2 7		11	ŏ	ŏ	10 155	0	0
		Total	•••	6,477	1 11	L.	9,818	6	2	16,920	12	0
	DIVISIONAL C	FRAND TO	TAL	7,880	2 10	)	11,888	6	2	17,872	12	0

( xlix )

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year (1295 Fasli)—(concluded).

MENTS.				
Irrecoverable.	Miscellaneous.	Debts.	Total.	REMARKS.
14	15	16	17	18
Rs. a. p. ESTATES.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
***	3,014 4 5	9,289 9 10	37,043 5 0	
ESTATES.				
50 0 0  2,067 0 0	$\begin{bmatrix} 749 & 2 & 9 \\ 2,616 & 8 & 6 \\ 58 & 9 & 0 \\ 1,620 & 11 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	9,810 6 0 143 14 11	5,324 5 7 26,622 8 9 488 9 11	
		•••	30,728 15 1	
2,117 0 0	5,044 15 3	9,954 4 11	63,164 7 4	
2,117 0 0	8,059 3 8	19,243 14 9	1,00,207 12 4	
10,507 4 10	1,75,475 9 11	1,78,907 12 0	8,22,917 6 1	
STRACT.				
ESTATES.				
4,775 11 2	4,365 2 6	14,897 0 1	58,105 14 3	
	2,063 15 0	7,334 13 1 51,510 12 11	25,610 15 1 1,89,351 8 9	ļ
4,787 13 5	14,287 13 0, 3,014 4 5	9,289 9 10	37,043 5 0	
9,563 8 7	23,731 2 11	83,032 3 11	3,10,111 11 1	
ESTATUS.				
mornaria.				
	1			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		88,813 4 0 19,783 10 10	4,25,823 0 1 40,448 2 1	
7,638 11 1	35,942 15 2	25,440 13 5	1,32,357 12 9	
4,059 10 2		4,95,573 13 1 16,983 3 6	7,98,395 3 6 1,19,177 15 4	
655 7 8 10,259 0 0	1	789 7 0	78,004 0 9	
1,048 13 3	1,39,763 13 9	95,468 15 8	4,34,220 11 10	
2,553 10 2		5,349 3 7	73,526 6 1	
2,117 0 0		9,954 4 11	63,164 7 4	_
33,401 4 5	3,17,779 12 8	7,58,156 12 0	21,65,117 11 9	
42,964 13 (	3,41,510 15 7	8,41,188 15 11	24,75,229 6 10	• '

#### APPENDIX V.—ANNUAL STATEMENT SHOWING DEMANDS, NUE OF ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT IN THE 30TH SEPTEMBER,

Total	ot.			Demands.		Pay
Blank	Distri	Name of estate.	Current.	Arrear.	Total.	Current.
Blank	1.	2	3	4	5	6
UNAO.    Blank	3 . (		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Total	LUCE	Blank			•••	
Pokra Unsari	Unao.	Blank				
Total   1. Sarwan Baragaon   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18,661 0   18		2. Kharka 3. Togapur Yakutganj 4. Bhilwal Sikandarpur 5. Amirpur 6. Mailaraiganj* 7. Ramnagar Dhameri* 8. Narauli* 9. Surajpur* Total DIVL. GRAND TOTAL 1. Bajehra 2. Deokalia (9 annas share). 3. Deokalia (7 annas share).	11,402 15 3 2,794 0 0 29,087 11 0 8,372 7 5 20,265 3 3 63,294 0 6 26,302 11 7 17,430 14 3 2,04,234 11 3 2,04,234 11 3 4,246 1 0 3,172 4 1 1,994 13 0		25,284 12 0 11,402 15 3 2,794 0 0 29,087 11 0 8,372 7 5 20,265 3 3 63,294 0 6 26,302 11 7 17,430 14 3 2,04,234 11 3 2,04,234 11 3 COUR 4,246 1 0 3,172 4 1 1,994 13 0	25,284 12 0 11,402 15 3 2,794 0 0 29,087 11 0 8,372 7 5 20,265 3 3 63,294 0 6 26,302 11 7 17,430 14 3 2,04,234 11 3 2,04,234 11 3 TOF WARDS' 4,246 1 0 3,172 4 1 1,994 13 0
S. Marwan	01.	1. Sarwan Baragaon 2. Durgaganj 3. Baghiari	18,661 0 0 3,317 3 3 4,507 0 0		18,661 0 0 3,317 3 3 4,507 0 0	NCUMBERED 18,661 0 0 3,317 3 3 4,507 0 0
S. Marwan	EH \					
GRAND TOTAL 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 69,471 7 6 6 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 0 0 64,291 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	H	5. Marwan 6. Behti Chiragpur 7. Khajurahra	4,462 0 0 674 6 9 27,486 10 0	 	4,462 0 0 674 6 9 27,486 10 0	4,462 0 0 674 6 9 27,486 10 0
1. Bansi		GRAND TOTAL				
2,25,691 3 7	KHERI.	2. Khairigarh 3. Mullanpur 4. Kaimahra Total	53,445 10 (69,471 ·7 626,408 12 (1,51,890 13 6	*56 11 (	COUR 2,565 0 0 53,445 10 0 69,528 2 6 26,408 12 0 1,51,947 8 6	AT OF WARDS' 0 2,561 0 0 0 53,445 10 0 6 69,471 7 6 0 26,408 12 0 1,51,886 13 6
		DIVIL CRAND TOTAL	2,25,595 3	56 11 (	0 2,25,651 14 7	7 2,25,591 3 7

^{*} New estates.

#### PAYMENTS, REMISSIONS, AND BALANCES OF LAND REVE-PROVINCE OF OUDH, FOR THE YEAR ENDING 1888, (1295 FASLI).

ments.			$R\epsilon$	mission	s.	j	Balance	3.	
Arrear.	Total.		Current.	Ar- rear.	Total.	Cur- rent.	Ar- rear.	Total.	REMARKS.
7	8		9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Rs. a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
• •••	•••				•••				
	•••								
ESTATES.	25,284 I 11,402 I								
	2,794	0 (		:::	1 :::		***		
		11 (		:::	:::	:::		:::	
	8,372	7 8	5						
]	20,265	3 3							
	63,294	0 (							
	26,302		( ···				•••		
	17,430		3			•••	•••		
	2,04,234	11	3						
	2,04,234	11	3	·		·			
ESTATES.			-	-		-	-	-	
	4,246	1	o		<b> </b>	1		<b></b>	
***	3,172		i						
•••	1,994	13	0						
	0.412	6)	i	-	-	-	-	-	
	9,413	2				_		.	
ESTATES.	10.001	^		1	1		1		
•••	18,661		0 3				•••		
•••	3,317 4,507		Δ.					] :::	
	<u> </u>		_	-\		-		-	
•••	26,485	3	3					_	1
ESTATES.		^		1		1			
•••	5,183		0			•••		***	
•••	4,462		0		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••	•••	
•••	674 27,486	6 10	9					1	}
	-		-			_	-	-	•
	37,806	0	9	_	_	-		-	
	64,291	4	0	_ _::-		_			
ESTATES.				1		_		İ	
•••	2,561	0	0 4 0	0	4 0	0			
	53,445	10	0			•••		•••	* "
56 11 C		2	6			""	}	:::	* Since found du
	26,408		0	_	- <del> </del>	_		-	
56 11 0	-	_8_	6 4 0	0	4 0	0	-	-	-
56 11 (	2,25,647	14	7 4 0	0	4 0	0	٠		•

Appendix V.—Annual Statement showing demands, payments, remissions, of Oudh, for the year ending 30th

+;			Demands.		Pay
District.	Name of estate.	Current.	Arrear.	Total.	Current.
1	.2	3	4	5	6
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ĤYZAΒΑΒ,	1. Khapradih (including Sultanpar portion) 2. Mamrezpur 3. Musipur Chandipur 4. Behra 5. Lakhanpur Birhar Total	46,115 0 0 787 0 0 6,956 0 0 782 0 0 6,247 0 0 60,887 0 0		COUF 46,115 0 0 787 0 0 6,956 0 0 782 0 0 6,247 0 0 60,887 0 0 COUR	
BAH. RAICH	1. Rehwa	34,856 0 0		34,856 0 0	34,856 0 0
m ¼ (	2. Kunera* Total	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{ c cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
GONDA.	Blank				37,472 10 4
	DIVL. GRAND TOTAL	98,359 10 4		98,359 10 4	98,359 10 4
(	1. Bahua	10,374 0 0		10,374 0 0	NOUMBERED 10,374 O 0
RAE BARELI.	<b>~</b> . <b>—</b> 1	29,983 0 0 17,049 8 0 15,014 11 5 18,019 1 3 5,615 0 0 14,499 8 0 15,259 0 0		29,983 0 0 17,049 8 0 15,014 11 5 18,019 1 3 5.615 0 0 14,499 8 0 15,259 0 0	T OF WARDS' 29,983 0 0 17.049 8 0 15,014 11 5 18,019 1 3 5,615 0 0 14,499 8 0 ‡ 15,265 0 0 1,15,445 12 8
	GRAND TOTAL	1,25,813 12 8			1,25,819 12 8
ا	1. Kurwar (including Fyzabad portion) 2. Mahona	63,323 6 6 22,216 11 0		63,323 6 6	63,323 6 6
[P.O.]	Total	85,540 1 6		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
SULTANPUR.	3. Bhadayan 4. Pali 5. Unchgaon Bhadaur 6. Walipur Total	14,199 11 4 8,549 10 0 6,703 1 0 3,065 8 0 32,517 14 4			T OF WARDS 14,199 11 4 8,549 10 0 6,703 1 0 § 3,066 9 0 32,518 15 4
	GRAND TOTAL	1,18,057 15 10		1,18,057 15 10	1,18,059 O 10

^{*} New estate.

and balances, of land revenue of estates under management in the Province September, 1888, (1295 Fasli)—(continued).

ments.			_ ]	Re	mission	s.	$\mathcal{B}$	alances.		
Arrear.	Tota	il.		Current.	Ar- rear.	Total.	Cur- rent.	Ar- rear.	Total.	REMARKS.
7	8			9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Rs. a. p.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
ESTATES.			ļ							
	46,115		0			•••				
••• ]	787		0			•••				
•••	6,956		0			•••				
•••	782		0			•••				ļ
•••	6,247	0	0			•••				Ì
•••	60,887	0	0			•••				
ESTATES.									-	
	34,856	0	0			•••				
•••	2,616	10	4			•••				† For Rabi only.
	37,472	10	4							,
							1	1		
•••						•••				
	98,359	10	4	•••						
ESTATES.	<del></del>		_				·[·——			
	10,374	0	0		<b> </b> .	•••				
ESTATES.		<u> </u>	<u> </u>							
ESTAILS.	29,983	0	0							
	17,049	8	ő		:::	•••		1		
	15,014		5		i			1	1	1
}	18,019	1	3			•••				
	5,615	õ	ŏ							
•••	14,499	8	ŏ	•••						
•••	15,265	Ō	Ŏ							‡ Rs. 6 paid in e
***	1,15,445	12	8				· · · · · ·			cess.
	1,25,819		8					-	···	
	1,20,010		_				-		-	
ESTATES	63,323	6	6							
***	22,216	11	0							
•••	85,540	1	6			•••	-			•
ESTATES.							1	1	-	1
ESTATES.	14,199	11	4							1
•••	8,549		õ							İ
***	6,703	1	Õ			•••		•••		1
	3,066	9	0			•••	_			§ Re. 1-1-0 dit
•••	32,518		4						•••	]
•••	1,18,059	0	10					•		•

Appendix V.—Annual statement showing demands, payments, remissions, of Oudh, for the year ending 30th

Name of estate.   Current.   Arrear.   Total.   Current.	ję.						Demand	ds.					P	ay
Hardoi	District,	Name of estate.		Curre	ent.		Arrea	r.	Tot	al.		Currer	ıt.	
Hardoi	1	2		3			4		5			6		
Hardoi				Rs.	a.	р.	Rs. a.	p.	Rs.	a.				
COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF WARDS'   COURT OF W				10,000	^	^			16 099	۸				
Total 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7	HH.	1. Kaithola	•••	16,099					10,000			·		-1
Total 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7	3G.A			0.000		,			2 802					
Total 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7	31						1							
Total 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7	8				-		1			Õ	0	,-		
Total 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9 37,806 0 9	P.								8,247	15	4	8,247	15	4
GRAND TOTAL 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 36,800 9 7 DIVL, GRAND TOTAL 2,80,672 6 1 2,80,672 6 1 22,80,672 6 1 22,80,679 7 1  Hardoi 26,485 3 3 26,485 3 3 26,485 3 3 Rae Bareli 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 Sultanpur 85,540 1 6 85,540 1 6 85,540 1 6 Partabgarh 16,099 0 0 16,099 0 0 16,099 0 0 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1,38,498 4 9 1	_	,												
Divl. Grand Total		Total		20,701	9	7			20,701	9	7	20,701	9	7
Hardoi		GRAND TOTAL		36,800	9	7			36,800	9	7	36,800	9	7
Hardoi 26,485 3 3 26,485 3 3 26,485 3 3 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 16,099 0 0 16,099 0 0 16,099 0 0 16,099 0 0 16,099 0 0 16,099 0 0 16,099 0 0 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,3		DIVL. GRAND TOTAL		2,80,672	6	1			2,80,672	6	1	a2,80,679	7	1
Hardoi 26,485 3 3 26,485 3 3 26,485 3 3 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 10,374 0 0 16,099 0 0 16,099 0 0 16,099 0 0 16,099 0 0 16,099 0 0 16,099 0 0 16,099 0 0 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,38,498 4 9 13,3													<u>,</u>	B
Rae Bareli   10,374   0   0     35,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6			,								Ε	ENCUMBI		
Rae Bareli   10,374   0   0     35,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6   85,540   1   6		Hardoi		96 495	2	9			26,485	8	3 1	26 485	3	3,
Sultanpur   COURT OF WARDS   COURT OF WARDS														
Partabgarh     16,099   0   0     16,099   0   0   16,099   0   0   0   1,38,498   4   9       1,38,498   4   9         1,38,498   4   9         1,38,498   4   9         1,38,498   4   9									85,540	1	6		1	6
Lucknow		Partabgarh			0				16,099	0	0	16,099	0	0
Lucknow Unao Bara Banki Sitapur Sitapu		Total		1,38,498	4	9	•••		1,38,498	4	9	1,38,498	4	9
Unao  Bara Banki  Sitapur  9,413 2 1  Hardoi  37,806 0 9  Kheri  1,51,890 13 6  Fyzabad  Bahraich  Gonda  Rae Bareli  Rae Bareli  Sultanpur  Partabgarh  Total   2,04,234 11 3  2,04,234 11 3  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,413 2 1  9,4						_			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	CO.	UR	T OF WA	ARD	- I
Bara Banki 2,04,234 11 3		Lucknow										٠		1
Sitapur        9,413       2       1        9,413       2       1       9,413       2       1       9,413       2       1       9,413       2       1       9,413       2       1       9,413       2       1       9,413       2       1       9,413       2       1       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       9       37,806       0       0       60,887       0       0       60,887       0       0       60,887       0       0       60,887       0       0       37,472       10       4                      <							•••			٠	_			
Hardoi 37,806 0 9			•••				1							
Kheri        1,51,890 13 6 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 37,472 10 4       1,51,947 8 6 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 37,472 10 4 60,887 0 0 37,472 10 4 60,887 0 0 37,472 10 4 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 60,8							ŧ .							
Fyzabad 60,887 0 0 60,887 0 0 87,472 10 4 37,472 10 4 1,15,489 12 8 Sultanpur 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7  Total 6,70,363 10 6 56 11 0 6,70,420 5 6 6,70,366 11 6								0						
Bahraich 37,472 10 4 37,472 10 4 37,472 10 4 37,472 10 4 1,15,439 12 8 1,15,439 12 8 32,517 14 4 320,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7		Fyzabad						•		-	-			
Rae Bareli   1,15,439   12 8     1,15,439   12 8   32,517   14 4   20,701 9 7     20,701 9 7     32,517   14 4   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9 7   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20,701 9   20									37,472	10	4		10	
Sultanpur 32,517 14 4 32,517 14 4 c32,518 15 4 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 6,70,363 10 6 56 11 0 6,70,420 5 6 6,70,366 11 6			•••		•	_	•••		1 1 2 4 10		_	7		
Partabgarh 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 20,701 9 7 7 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10							J							
Total 6,70,363 10 6 56 11 0 6,70,420 5 6 6,70,366 11 6							l .							
D = 0 0,10,500 11 0			•••			<u>.</u>						20,.01		
PROVL. GRAND TOTAL 8,08,861 15 3 56 11 0 8,08,918 10 3 d8,08,865 0 3		Total.	•••	6,70,363	10	6	56 11	0	6,70,420	5	6 	6,70,366	11	6
		PROVL. GRAND TOTAL	·	8,08,861	15	3	56 11	0	8,08,918	10	3	d8,08,865	0	3

and balances of land revenue of estates under management in the Province September, 1888, (1295 Fasli)—(concluded).

ments.		Re	mission	8.	I	Balance:	s.	
Arrear.	Total.	Current.	Ar- rear.	Total.	Cur- rent.	Ar- rear.	Total.	REMARKS.
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Rs. a. p. ESTATES.	Rs. a. p	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
	16,099 0					<u></u>		
ESTATES.								
***		?						
•••	1	· · · ·						
4 9 9	20,701 9	7		•••				
	36,800 9	7				•••		
•••	2,80,679 7	1						a Rs. 7-1-0 paid in excess.
STRACT. ESTATES.								
•••		3			·			
•••	10,374 0 85,540 1	0	•••				:::	
•••	16,099 0	0		•••				
***	1,38,498 4	9						
ESTATES	•							
•••			) :::					
•••	2,04,234 11	3		•••				
•••	9,413 2 37,806 0	1	:::			:::		
56 11 0	1,51,943 8	6 4 0 0		4 0 0				
•••	60,887 0	0		***		1		
•••	37,472 10	4		- :::	1 :::	:::		b Rs. 6-0-0 paid
•••	1,15,445 12	8						in excess.
•••	32,518 15 20,701 9	4		•••	:::			c Re. 1-1-0 ditto.
56 11 0	-	6 4 0 0		4 0 0	-	-		-
56 11 (	8,08,921 11	3 4 0 0	)	4 0 0		- 		d Rs. 7-1-0 ditto.

## APPENDIX VI.—ANNUAL STATEMENT OF TAKAVI AD MANAGEMENT IN THE PROVINCE OF OUDH, FOR THE

	1		OUTSTAND	ING ADVANCES	WITH INTEREST
				Previous year.	1
District.	Name of estate.		Principal.	Interest which has accrued at the beginning of the year.	Interest accruing within the year.
1	2		3	4	5
LUCK- NOW.	Blank	•••	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Unao.	Blank	•••		•••	
BARA BANKI.	1. Pokra Unsari 2. Kharka 3. Togapur Yakutganj 4. Bhilwal Sikandarpur 5. Amirpur 6. Mailaraiganj* 7. Ramnagar Dhameri* 8. Narauli* 9. Surajpur* Total DIVL. GRAND TOTAL		5,307 13 3 377 0 0 3,290 0 0  12 0 0  8,986 13 3 8,986 13 3	3 O O O O O	T OF WARDS*
SITA.	1. Bajehra 2. Deokalia (9 annas share) 3. Deokalia (7 annas share) Total		$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	COURT	OF WARDS' 14 13 9 1 14 13 9
HARDOL.	1. Sarwan Baragaon 2. Durgaganj 3. Baghiari Total	  	162 0 0 (a)100 0 0 192 2 0 454 2 0	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NCUMBERED 6 9 3 3 12 3 7 15 9 18 5 3
HA	4. Mansurgarh 5. Marwan 6. Behti Chiragpur 7. Khajurahra Total		$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 8 9 8 10 1 1 2 9 5 1 0	OF WARDS'  4 11 6 9 0 1 4 11 3 7 10 9  26 1 7
KHBRI.	GRAND TOTAL  1. Bansi 2. Khairigarh 3. Mullanpur 4. Kaimahra Total		$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25 6 7 45 I 10 COURT	44 6 10
	Divl. Grand Total		8,308 5 9	45 <b>1</b> 10	59 4 7

^{*} New estates.

[†] No interest charged.

## VANCES MADE FROM THE FUNDS OF ESTATES UNDER YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER, 1888, (1295 FASLI).

Advances within the ye	ar under report.	Total.	
Principal.	Interest.	Principal.	Interest.
6	7	8	9
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
	•••		***
3,112 0 0 365 0 0  1,700 0 0		8,419 13 3 742 0 0	
		12 0 0	  3 0 0
5,177 0 0 5,177 0 0		14,163 13 3 14,163 13 3	3 0 0
190 0 0 100 0 0  290 0 0		546 0 0 332 0 0 210 0 0	14 13 9   14 13 9
ESTATES. 279 0 0 100 0 0 200 0 0	6 5 6 1 8 0 7 7 6	441 0 0   200 0 0   392 2 0   1,033 2 0	26 8 6 8 6 3 23 7 3
579 0 0 ESTATES. 100 0 0 199 0 0 100 0 0 286 0 0 685 0 0	15 5 0 1 8 9 1 9 6 1 4 0 13 15 3 18 5 6 33 10 6	264 7 0 376 0 0 192 0 0 445 0 0 1,277 7 0 2,310 9 0	11 13 19 3 7 2 26 11 64 13 123 3
ESTATES.  175 0 0 6,610 0 0  1,000 0 0  7,785 0 0		175 0 0 11,762 0 3 311 12 6 2,000 0 0 14,248 12 9	
9,339 0 0	33 10 6	17,647 5 9	138 0

APPENDIX VI.—Annual statement of takávi advances made from the funds 30th September, 1888,

			1	Lacornim r	TACTED A DI D	DIDING BITS
			Sums adve		Sums adounce	
			previous		year unde	
District.	Name of estate.		Principal.	Interest.	Principal.	Interest.
1	2	_	10	11	12	13
LUCK- NOW.	Blank	•••	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Unao.	Blank	•••				
NKI.	1. Pokra Unsari 2. Kharka 3. Togapur Yakutganj	•••	1,753 13 0 163 12 0  860 8 0		COURT	OF WARDS'
Bara Bankı.	4. Bhilwal Sikandarpur 5. Amirpur 6. Mailaraiganj* 7. Ramnagar Dhameri*	•••	 12 0 0	3 0 0		
_ [	8. Narauli* 9. Surajpur*	•••	2.790 1 0	3 0 0		···
	Total DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	•••	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{3 \ 0 \ 0}{3 \ 0 \ 0}$		
					COURT	OF WARDS'
SITA.	1. Bajehra     2. Deokalia (9 annas share)   3. Deokalia (7 annas share)		116 0 0 116 0 0 105 0 0	14 13 9	 	•••
	Total	•••	337 0 0	14 13 9		
001.	Sarwan Baragoan     Durgaganj     Baghiari  Total		162 0 0 68 0 0 106 2 0 336 2 0	20 2 6 6 14 3 15 15 9 43 0 6	60 0 0 	CUMBERED 2 0 6 2 0 6
HARDOL.	4. Mansurgarh 5. Marwan 6. Behti Chiragpur 7. Khajurahra Total	•••	154 15 0 164 8 0 92 0 0 66 0 0 477 7 0	10 1 9 17 10 2 5 14 0 12 11 9 46 5 8	GOURT (100 0 0 0 91 0 0 191 0 0	OF WARDS'  1 8 9   7 10 9  9 3 6
	GRAND TOTAL	•••	813 9 0	89 6 2	251 0 0	11 4 0
KHERI.	1. Bansi 2. Khairigarh 3. Mullanpur 4. Kaimahra		1,573 8 3 199 5 6 325 14 8		COURT	OF WARDS'
	Total Divisional Grand Total	•••	2,098 12 5 3,249 5 5	104 3 11	251 0 0	11 4 0

( lix )

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year ending (1295 Fash)—(continued).

Tot		Realized during	the weam
100		Troubleson were trey	one year.
Principal.	Interest.	Principal.	Interest.
14	15	16	17
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
			***
•••			4**
ESTATES.			
$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1,753 & 13 & 0 \\ 163 & 12 & 0 \end{array}$		1,753 13 0	•••
163 12 0		85 0 0	•••
860 8 0	"	860 8 0	•••
•••			•••
10 0 0			***
12 0 0	3 0 0	•••	•••
•••	1		•••
2,790 1 0	3 0 0	2,699 5 0	
2,790 1 0	3 0 0	2,699 5 0	
ESTATES.			***
116 0 0	14 13 9	116 0 0	14 13 9
116 0 0		93 12 0	***
105 0 0		99 3 0	•••
337 0 0	14 13 9	308 15 0	14 13 9
ESTATES.		222 2 2	22 3 0
222 0 0	22 3 0 6 14 3	222 0 0 68 0 0	22 3 0 6 14 3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15 15 9	106 2 0	15 15 9
396 2 0	45 1 0	396 2 0	45 1 0
ESTATES.	1		
254 15 0	11 10 6	254 15 0	11 10 6
164 8 0	17 10 2	164 8 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
92 0 0	5 14 0	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
157 0 0	20 6 6	157 0 0 668 7 0	55 9 2
668 7 0	55 9 2		100 10 2
1,064 9 0	100 10 2	1,064 9 0	100 10 -
ESTATES.			
1 500 0 0	•••	1,516 0 0	•••
1,573 8 3 199 5 6	***	199 5 6	•••
325 14 8		314 12 6	***
2,098 12 5	•••	2,030 2 U	<u>,</u>
3,500 5 5	115 7 11	3,403 10 0	115 7 1

Appendix VI.—Annual statement of takávi advances made from the funds 30th September, 1888

ict.				Balance outstandin	
ict.					g on amount recover- g the year.
District.	Name of estat	e.		Principal.	Interest.
1	2			18	19
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Blank	•••	•••	•••		•••
UNAO. Blank	•••	•••			URT OF WARDS'
1. Pokra		•••		•••	OURT OF WARDS'
i 2. Kharl		•••		78 12 0	
3. Togar 4. Bhilw 5. Amirr 7. Ramn 9   8. Narau	ur Yakutganj al Sikandarpur	•••	•••	•••	•••
戶 ~ 5. Amir	our	•••		•••	•••
6. Maila		•••		 12 0 0	
# 8. Narau	agar Dhameri* li*	•••	:::	12 0 0	3 0 0
9. Suraj		•••			
	3	Cotal		90 12 0	3 0 0
I	IVISIONAL GRAND	TOTAL		90 12 0	3 0 0
1				CC	URT OF WARDS'
1. Bajeh		•••	••• [	•••	
VEL 2. Deoka	lia (9 annas share) lia (7 annas share)	)	•••	$\begin{array}{cccc} 22 & 4 & 0 \\ 5 & 13 & 0 \end{array}$	•••
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	otal	•••	28 1 0	
	•	Our	***	20 1 0	
( 1. Sarwa	n Baragaon	•••			ENCUMBERED
2. Durga	ganj	•••			•••
3. Baghi	arı	•••	•••		•••
HANDOUNT 4. Mans	n	Fotal		•••	•••
4. Mans		LUCAL	•••	. 00	URT OF WARDS'
5. Marw		•••	•••	•••	•••
6. Behti	Chiragpur	•••			
7. Khajr	rahra	•••	•••	•••	•••
1	1	Cotal		•••	
	GRAND TO	TAL		•••	•••
				CC	URT OF WARDS'
1. Bansi 2. Khair		•••	•••		
2. Khair 3. Mulla	npur	•••		57 8 3	•••
☐ 4. Kaim	aĥra	•••	•••	11 2 2	•••
	7	[otal		68 10 5	
Div	ISIONAL GRAND TO	OTAL	•••	96 11 5	•••
					•••

^{*} New estates,

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year ending (1295 Fasil)—(continued).

		Total out:	standing.	
Principal. Int	erest.	Principal.	Interest.	Remarks.
20	21	22	23	24
Rs. a. p. R	s. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
		•••		
•••		***	•••	
ESTATES.				
6,666 0 3 578 4 0		$6,666  0  3 \\ 657  0  0$		
		4,129 8 0		
4,129 8 0		****		•
	•••	12 0 0	3 0 0	
	•••			
		•••		
11,373 12 3		11,464 8 3	3 0 0	
11,373 12 3		11,464 8 3	3 0 0	
ESTATES.		120 0 0	1	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	•••	430 0 0 238 4 0		•
105 0 0	•••	110 13 0		
751 0 0	•••	779 1 0		
ESTATES.		010 0 0		
219 0 0 132 0 0	4 5 0 1 8 0	219 0 0 132 0 0	4 5 0 1 8 0	
286 0 0	7 7 6	286 0 0	7 7 6	
637 0 0	13 4 6	637 0 0	13 4 6	
ESTATES.		0.00	2 2 2	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 8 0	0 2 6 1 9 6	
100 0 0	1 4 0	100 0 0	1 4 0	
288 0 0	6 4 6	288 0 0	6 4 6	
609 0 0	9 4 6	609 0 0	9 4 6	
1,246 0 0	22 9 0	1,246 0 0	22 9 0	
ESTATES.		175 0 0		
175 0 0 1 10,188 8 0	•••	10,246 0 3		
112 7 0	•••	112 7 0		
1,674 1 4		1,685 3 6	_	
12,150 0 4		12,218 10 9		•
14,147 0 4	22 9 0	14,243 11 9	zz 9 0	

Appendix VI.—Annual statement of takávi advances made from the funds 30th September, 1888,

·i	<u> </u>	1	OUTSTAND	ING ADVANCES	WITH INTEREST
				Previous year.	
District.	Name of estate.		Principal.	Interest which has accrued at the beginning of the year.	Interest accruing within the year.
1	2		3	4	5
7			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
	er.	ļ	-	-	r of wards'
FYZABAD.	1. Khaprdih (including Sultanp portion)	our	1,033 12 0	12 1 6	11 13 7
AB.	2. Mamrezpur 3. Musipur Chandipur	[	•••	•••	•••
ZA	4. Behra		•••		
Η (	5. Lakhanpur Birhar		•••	•••	
	Total		1,033 12 0	12 1 6	11 13 7
, #				COUR	OF WARDS'
ВАН- ВАІСН,	0. 17		a2,213   7   8	•••	
E Z	Model 1		0.010 7 0		
Gon- DA.			2,213 7 8		
€ ⊖ (	Blank	•••		•••	
Ì	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	•••	3,247 3 8	12 1 6	11 13 7
را	1. Bahua		<i>b</i> 1,365 0 11	c0 10 0	NCUMBERED
5			01,300 0 11	COUR	U OT WADDO
RAE BARELI.			2,524 11 0	COUR.	r of Wards'
			4,762 2 0	•••	
A	5 Pandriganachnya		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	400 12 9	356 9 0
- F	6. Binohra		641 15 9	12 2 0	•••
il	0 773	•••	5,677 14 0	•••	
7		•••	146 0 0		
1		•••	18,792 3 3	412 14 9	356 9 0
1	GRAND TOTAL	•••	20,157 4 2	413 8 9	356 9 0
ç	1. Kurwar (including Fyzab:	ad	e1,581 0 0	27 12 0	NCUMBERED 32 4 4
př 📗	2 Mahona		1,094 9 2	102 5 2	62 3 8
SULTANPUR.	Total		2,675 9 2	$\frac{130}{1}$ $\frac{1}{2}$	94 8 0
₹{		- 1			0 0 0 l
dr.	3. Bhadayan	- 1		COUR	OF WARDS'
<b>Σ</b> Ω	4. Pali	•••	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10 0 4	601
	5. Unchgaon Bhadaur		312 2 0	$\begin{array}{cccc} 7 & 3 & 7 \\ 31 & 2 & 0 \end{array}$	3 3 1 13 11 3
. 4			f32 8 0	2 6 3	0 9 7
l	Total	···   <u>-</u>	535 10 0	50 12 2	23 8 0
	GRAND TOTAL		3,211 3 2	180 13 4	118 0 0
7	* New estate.   a Rs. 13 str	ruck	off.   b Rs.	98-1-11 remitt	

(lxiii)

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year ending (1295 Fasti)—(continued).

Advances within the ye	car under report.	Total.		
Principal Interest.		Principal.	Interest.	
6	7	8	9	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
ESTATES.	-		•	
197 0 0	•••	1,230 12 0	23 15 1	
· •••	•••		•••	
	•••		•••	
	•••	•••	•••	
107 0 0		1,000,10,0		
197 0 0		1,230 12 0	23 15 1	
ESTATES. 776 0 0		2,989 7 8	•••	
776 0 0		2,989 7 8	***	
	•••		***	
973 0 0		4,220 3 8	23 15 1	
ESTATES.				
25 0 0		1,390 0 11	0 10 0	
ESTATES.	1	0.010.17.0		
125 0 0		2,649 11 0	***	
64 12 0		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	762 10 6	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 4 9	2,981 0 0	102 10 0	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		783 15 9	12 2 0	
40 0 0	• •••	5,717 14 0	12 2 (	
40 0 0		186 0 0	•••	
	5 4 9	20,637 15 3	774 12 6	
1,845 12 0	$\frac{5 \ 4 \ 9}{5 \ 4 \ 9}$	$\frac{20,037 + 13 + 3}{22,028 + 0 + 2}$	775 6 6	
1,870 12 0	- 0 ± 0  -	22,020 0 2	110 0 0	
ESTATES. 123 0 0	8 7 4	1,704 0 0	68 7 8	
		1,094 9 2	164 8 10	
123 0 0	8 7 4	2,798 9 2	233 0 (	
ESTATES.			10.0	
		121 0 0	16 0 8 10 6 8	
	•••	70 0 0	10 6 8 44 13 8	
	,	312 2 0	3 3	
10 0 0	0 3 4	42 8 0		
10 0 0**	0 3 4	545 10 0		
133 0 0	8 10 8	3,344 3 2	307 8	

c Rs. 9-6-7 remitted | d Rs. 12-0-0 remitted. | c. f Correct amounts shown this year.

APPENDIX VI.—Annual statement of takāvi advances made from the funds 30th September, 1888,

	1		A MOTINT R	ECOVERABLE	DURING THE
		Sums adv	anced in	Sums advance	ed within the er report.
District,	Name of estate.	Principal,	Interest.	Principal.	Interest.
1	3	10	11	12	13
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
		-			OF WARDS'
FYZABAD.	I. Khapradih (including Sultanpur portion)	445 4 0	18 11 7	***	
ZZ	2. Mamrezpur 3. Musipur Chandipur			•••	
F	4. Fehra		•••	•••	
•	m	445 4 0	18 11 7		
	Total	445 4 0	10 11 1	COTTRT	OF WARDS'
BAH- RAICH,	1. Rehwa	1,714 7 8		72 4 0	··· [
H 2 (	2. Kunera*			•••	
	Total	1,714 7 8		72 4 0	
GON- DA.	Blank				
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	2,159 11 8	18 11 7	72 4 0	
	1. Bahua			ENG	UMBERED '
	1. Danua	542 8 3	0 10 0	15 0 0 1	<u>1</u>
BARELI.	2. Kuri Sadauli	883 11 0		COURT	OF WARDS'
₩)	3. Korihar Sataon 4. Bhiragobindpur	1,394 3 3			:::
関し	5. Pandriganeshpur	$\begin{vmatrix} 2,110 & 8 & 6 \\ 902 & 8 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$	757 5 9	53 8 0	5 4 9
RAE	6. Binohra	437 15 9	12 2 0		
	7. Gaura Kasaiti 8. Udrehra	3,390 9 0 146 0 0	•••		
`	Total	$\begin{array}{ c cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	700 7 0		
	GRAND TOTAL		$\begin{bmatrix} 769 & 7 & 9 \\ 770 & 1 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$	53 8 0	5 4 9
		2,001 13 3	110 1 9	68 8 0 1	5 4 9
. [	1. Kurwar (including Fyzabad portion)	1,049 0 0	44 15 5	6 0 0	7 1 7
Ď.	2. Mahona	648 1 8	156 1 6		
SULTANPUR.	Total	1,697 1 8	201 0 11	6 0 0	7 1 7
LT	3. Bhadayan	57 6 10	14 9 10	COURT	F WARDS'
PS	4. Pali	30 0 0	10 6 8	:::	1
. []	5. Unchgaon Bhadaur 6. Walipur	191 8 0	39 7 3		:::
. 1	Total	288 14 10	$\frac{1 \ 12 \ 6}{66 \ 4}$	-#-	
I	GRAND TOTAL	1,986 0 6	66 4 3		
		2,000 0 6	267 5 2	6 0 0	7 1 7
	*	New estate.	<u> </u>	<del></del>	

^{*} New estate.

( lxv )

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year ending (1295 Fasli)—(continued).

Tota	il.	Realized during the year.			
Principal.	Interest.	Principal.	Interest.		
14	15	16	17		
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
ESTATES. 445 4 0	18 11 7	408 8 0	10 11 6		
		. •••	•••		
**	•••	•••	•••		
***	•••		•••		
445 4 0	10 11 7	408 8 0	10 11 6		
ESTATES.	18 11 7	408 8 0	10 11 6		
1,786 11 8		868 15 3	•••		
			•••		
1,786 11 8		868 15 3	•••		
			•••		
2,231 15 8	18 11 7	1,277 7 3	10 11 6		
ESTATES.					
557 8 3	0 10 0	481 0 0	0 10 0		
ESTATES. 883 11 0		. 800 1 4			
1,394 3 3	***	809 1 4	•••		
2,164 0 6	762 10 6	1,302 9 0	404 3 9		
902 8 0	•••	898 8 0	101 0 1		
437 15 9	12 2 0	352 15 9	9 1 (		
3,390 9 0		620 0 0	•••		
146 0 0	***	52 0 0	***		
9,318 15 6	774 12 6	4,045 2 1	413 4 9		
9,876 7 9	775 6 6	4,526 2 1	413 14 9		
ESTATES. 1,055 0 0	52 1 0	838 0 0	23 5 7		
648 1 8	156 1 6	501 14 0	87 13		
1,703 1 8	208 2 6	1,339 14 0	. 111 3 1		
ESTATES.					
57 6 10	14 9 10	55 12 10	14 9 10		
30 0 0	10 6 8	80 0 0	10 6 8		
191 8 0	39 7 3	165 8 0	13 14 11		
10 0 0	1 12 6	10 0 0	1 0 (		
288 14 10	66 4 3	261 4 10	<b>3</b> 9 15 8		
1,992 0 6	274 6 9	1,601 2 10	151 2		

APPENDIX VI.—Annual statement of takávi advances made from the funds 30th September, 1888,

			Balance outstanding able during	on amount recover- g the year.
District,	Name of estate.		Principal.	Interest.
. 1	2		18	19
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
٩ĺ	1. Khapradih (including Sultation)	anpur por-	36 12 0	8 0 1
FYZABAD,	2. Mamrezpur			
Z	3. Musipur Chandipur	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
£	4. Behra	• •••		•••
	5. Lakhanpur Birhar			
	Total	· · ·	36 12 0	8 0 1
вагон. ————————————————————————————————————	1. Rehwa		917 12 5 C	OURT OF WARDS'
BA I	2. Kunera*			
	Tota		917 12 5	
GONDA.	Blank	• •••		
•	DIVL. GRAND TOTAL	·	954 8 5	8 0 1
ָר	1. Bahua	• •••	76 8 3	ENCUMBERED
17	9 Emi Codomii		CC	OURT OF WARDS'
RAE BARELI.	2. Kuri Sadauli 3. Korihar Sataon	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	74 9 8	
[AS]	4. Bhiragobindpur	•	1,384 3 3 861 7 6	358 6 9
E	5. Pandriganeshpur	• •••	4 0 0	Joo U J
RA	6. Binohra	•	85 0 0	3 1 0
	7. Gaura Kasaiti	• ,	2,770 9 0	
(	Total		94 0 0	
			5,273 13 5	361 7 9
	GRAND TOTAL	• •••	5,350 5 8	361 7 9
	1 Kurwar (including Essahad)	·		ENCUMBERED
. []	1. Kurwar (including Fyzabad 2. Mahona		217 0 0	28 11 5
UR	Total	•	146 3 8	68 4 0
NP	1008.	• •••	363 3 8	96 15 8
ΨŢ	3. Bhadayan			OURT OF WARDS'
SULTANPUR	4. Pali	•	1 10 0	
<i>62</i>	5. Unchgaon Bhadaur	•	26 0 0	25 8 4
(	6. Walipur	•	•••	0 13 6
	Total		. 27 10 0	26 4 10
	GRAND TOTAL	•	390 13 8	123 4 3
		* New estati	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

^{*} New estate.

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year ending (1295 Fasli)—(continued).

<b>Am</b> ount that n hereaf		Total outs	tanding.	
Principal.	Interest.	Principal.	Interest.	REMARKS.
20	21	22	23	24
Rs. a. p ESTATES	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
785 8 0	5 3 6	822 4 0	13 3 7	
	  	 		·
785 8 0	5 3 6	823 4 0	13 3 7	
ESTATES. 1,202 12 0		2,120 8 5		
		0.100 0 7		
1,202 12 0		2,120 8 5		
···		***	***	
1,988 4 0	5 8 6	2,942 12 5	13 3 7	
ESTATES. 832 8 8	•••	909 0 11		
ESTATES. 1,766 0 0 3,432 10 9 1,328 8 0 2,078 8 0 346 0 0 2,327 5 0 40 0 0	  	1,840 9 8 4,816 14 0 2,189 15 6 2,082 8 0 481 0 0 5,097 14 0 134 0 0	 358 6 9  3 1 0 	
11,318 15 9	•••	16,592 13 2	361 7 9	
12,151 8 5		17,501 14 1	361 7 9	
ESTATES. 649 0 0 446 7 6	16 6 8 8 7 4	866 0 0 592 11 2	45 2 1 76 11 4	
1,095 7 6	24 14 0	1,458 11 2	121 13 5	
ESTATES. 63 9 2 40 0 0 120 10 0 32 8 0	1 6 7  5 6 0 1 6 8	65 3 2 40 0 0 146 10 0 32 8 0	1 6 7  30 14 4 2 3 2	
256 11 2	8 3 3	284 5 2	34 8 1	
1,352 2 8	33 1 3	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	156 5 6	

APPENDIX VI.—Annual statement of takávi advances made from the funds 30th September, 1888,

			OUTSTAND	ING ADVANCES	WITH INTEREST
l				Previous year.	
District.	Name of estate.		Principal.	Interest which has accrued at the begin- ning of the year.	Interestaceru- ing within the year.
$-\frac{1}{1}$	2	-	3	4	5
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
				1	ENCUMBERED
	1. Kaithola		131 0 0		ll
Рактавеави.				COUL	RT OF WARDS'
PG.	2. Madhpur		<b>1</b> 45 0 0		7 5 3 1
Ψ.	3. Bargaon		91 13 8		•••
145	4. Pandwansi 5. Dahyawan	•••	•••		
- (	5. Danyawan	•••			
	Total	•••	236 13 8		7 5 3
	GRAND TOTAL		367 13 8	•••	7 5 3
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL		23,736 5 0	594 6 1	481 14 3
					AB
				E	NCUMBERED
	Hardoi		454 2 0	24 11 3	18 5 3 ]
	Rae Bareli	•••	1,365 0 11 2,675 9 2	0 10 0	94 8 0
	Sultanpur Partabgarh	•••	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	150 1 2	0
	G				
	Total	•••	4,625 12 1	155 6 5	112 13 3
				COUR	T OF WARDS'
	Lucknow	•••			
	Unao Bara Banki	•••	8.986 13 3	3 0 0	•••
	Sitapur	•••	798 0 0		14 13 9
	Hardoi	•••	592 7 0	20 6 7	26 1 7
	Kheri Fyzabad	•••	6,463 12 9 1,033 12 0	12 1 6	11 13 7
	Bahraich	•••	2,213 7 8		
	Gonda Rae Bareli	•••	18.792 3 3	412 14 9	256 0 0
	Sultanpur	•••	18,792 3 3 535 10 0	50 12 2	356 9 0 23 8 0
	Partabgarh	•••	236 13 8		7 5 3
	Total	•••	39,652 15 7	499 3 0	440 3 2
	PROVINCIAL GRAND TOTAL	• •••	44,278 11 8	654 9 5	553 0 5

(lxix)

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year ending (1295 Fasli).—(continued).

Advances within the	year under report.	Total.			
Principal.	Interest.	Principal.	Interest.		
6	7	8	9		
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
ESTATES.					
225 0 0		356 0 0	•••		
ESTATES.					
105 0 0		250 0 0	7 5 3		
		91 13 8	•••		
50 0 0	•••	50 0 0	•••		
155 0 0	•••	391 13 8	7 5 3		
380 0 0		747 13 8	7 5 3		
2,383 12 0	13 15 5	26,120 1 0	1,090 3 9		
STRACT.					
579 0 0	15 5 0	1,033 2 0	58 5 <b>6</b>		
25 0 0	•••	1,390 0 11	0 10 0 233 0 6		
$\begin{array}{cccc} {\bf 123} & 0 & 0 \\ {\bf 225} & 0 & 0 \end{array}$	8 7 4	2,798 9 2 356 0 0	233 0 6		
952 0 0	23 12 4	5,577 12 1	292 0 0		
ESTATES.					
<b></b>		•••	***		
5,177 0 0		14,163 13 3	3 0 0		
290 0 0	18 5 6	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14 13 9 64 13 8		
$\begin{array}{cccc} 685 & 0 & 0 \\ 7,785 & 0 & 0 \end{array}$	18 5 6	14,248 12 9	•••		
197 0 0		1,230 12 0	23 15 1		
<b>776</b> 0 0		2,989 7 8	•••		
1,845 12 0	5 4 9	20,637 15 3	774 12 6 74 7 6		
10 0 0 155 0 0	0 3 4	545 10 0 391 13 8	74 7 6 7 5 3		
16,920 12 0	23 13 7	56,573 11 7	963 3 9		
17,872 12 0	47 9 11	62,151 7 8	1,255 3 9		

Appendix VI.—Annual statement of takári advances made from the funds 30th September, 1888,

						1	DECOTTED	ADYT	DYYDTN	mrrr
-	1			- Clause		AMOUNT				
				Sums	vious	ced in pre- uear.	Sums advanced within the year under report.			
						1	<del></del>		1	
بند	Name	of estate.		l			ĺ			
tric		-		Princ	cipal.	Interest.	Princi	pal.	Intere	st.
District.										
				1	^	11	12		13	
1		2					12			
				Rs.	a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. 2	. p.	Rs. a	ı. p.
		•		1			1	El	CUMRE	RED
) ت	1. Kaithola	•••		131	0 0		25 (	0	١	ı
							CC	TIRT	OF WA	RDS'
Рактараавн,	0 Madha			125	0 0	7 5 3	\$	O 11.1	1	داخدهد
<u> </u>	2. Madhpur 3. Bargaon	•••	•••	46			:::			
ΛR	4. Pandwans	i	•••			<b></b>				
ا تا	5. Dahyawan	•••	•••		•					
		Total		171	13 8	7 5 3				
		Iotal	•••	1/1	19 0	1 5 5				
	GRAND	TOTAL	•••	302	13 8	7 5 3	25 (	0 (		
	DIVISIONAL	GRAND TOT	AT.	12.096	12 11	1,044 12 2	99 8	3 0	10 (	
	221120101122	OMMIND TOT		12,030	10 11	1,044 12 2			12 6	4
1				1						AB
								E	NCUMBI	
	Hardoi	•••	•••	336	2 0	43 0 6	60 (		1 2 (	
	Rae Bareli	•••	•••	542	8 3	0 10 0	15 (		· · · · ·	, ,
- 1	Sultanpur Partabgarh	•••	•••	1,697	1 8	201 0 11	6 (		7 1	7
- 1	Tartangarn	•••	•••	131	0 0	***	25 (	0		
- 1		Total	•••	2,706	11 11	244 11 5	106 (	0	9 2	1
· .							100 (		9 2	1
- 1	_						co	URT	OF WA	RDS'
1	Lucknow Unao	•••	•••		•				1	1
	Bara Banki	•••	•••	2 700						
l	Sitapur	•••	•••	2,790 337	1 0	3 0 0 14 13 9	•••			
1	Hardoi	•••	•••	477	7 0	46 5 8	191 (	0 (	9 3	6
1	Kheri	•••	•••	2,098	12 5	l ~ °	101	, 0	ا ا	0
	Fyzabad Bahraich	•••	•••	445	4 0	18 11 7			:::	1
]	Gonda	•••	•••	1,714	7 8		72 4	0	•••	
	Rae Bareli	•••	•••	9,265	7 6	769 7 9	٠		_····	
l	Sultanpur	•••	•••	288		66 4 3	53 8	0	5 4	9
. 1	Partabgarh	•••	•••	171		7 5 3				
l		Total	•••	17,589	4 1	926 0 3	316 12	0	14 8	3
.	Provincial	CD LYD #							+= 0	
	* BOVINGIAL	TOT GRAND	AL	20,296	0 0	1,170118	422 12	0	23 10	4
,				•						

(lxxi)

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year ending (1295 Fasli).—(continued).

Total.		Realized during the year.			
Principal.	Interest.	Principal.	Interest.		
14	15	16	17		
Rs. a. p. ESTATES.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
156 0 0		156 0 0			
ESTATES.  125 0 0 46 13 8	7 5 3	125 0 0 46 13 8 	7 5 3  		
171 13 8	7 5 3	171 13 8	7 5 3		
327 13 8	7 5 3	327 13 8	7 5 3		
12,196 5 11	1,057 2 6	6,455 2 7	572 6 6		
STRACT.  ESTATES.  396 2 0 557 8 3 1,703 1 8 156 0 0	45 1 0 0 10 0 208 2 6	396 2 0 481 0 0 1,339 14 0 156 0 0	45 1 0 0 10 0 111 3 1		
2,812 11 11	253 13 6	2,373 0 0	156 14 1		
ESTATES.					
2,790 1 0 337 0 0 668 7 0 2,098 12 5 445 4 0 1,786 11 8 9,318 15 6	3 0 0 14 13 9 55 9 2 18 11 7 774 12 6	2,699 5 0 308 15 0 668 7 0 2,030 2 0 408 8 0 868 15 3 	  14 13 9 55 9 2  10 11 6  413 4 9		
288 14 10 171 13 8	66 4 3 7 5 3	261 4 10 171 13 8	39 15 5 7 5 3		
17,906 0 1	940 8 6	11,462 8 10	541 11 10		
20,718 12 0	1,194 6 0	13,835 8 10	698 9 11		

(lxxii)

APPENDIX VI.—Annual statement of takávi advances made from the funds 30th September, 1888,

						g on amount recover- ig the year.
District.	N	ame of es	tate.		Principal.	Interest.
1		2			18	19
					Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p. ENCUMBERED
Ħ	1. Kaithola	***	***	•••	•••	<u> </u>
Partabgarh.					(	COURT OF WARDS
<b>₹</b> ₹	2. Madhpur	•••	•••	•••	•••	} ]
E	3. Bargaon	•••	•••	•••	•••	
PΑ	4. Pandwansi	•••	•••	•••	•••	
٠.٠ ر	5. Dahyawan	•••	***	•••	•••	•••
			Total	•••		
		GRAND	TOTAL		••	•••
	Divis	IONAL GR.	AND TOTAL		5,741 3 4	484 12 0
						AB ENCUMBERED
	Hardoi	•••	•••			1
1	Rae Bareli	•••	•••		76 8 3	1
]	Sultanpur	***	•••		363 3 8	96 15 5
	Partabgarh	•••	•••	•••	•••	•••
			Total		439 11 11	96 15 5
	_				C	OURT OF WARDS'
İ	Lucknow	•••	•••		1	1
	Unao Bara Banki	•••	•••		l	
- 1	Sitapur	•••	•••	•••	90 12 0	3 0 0
	Hardoi	•••	•••		28 1 0	
I	Kheri	•••	•••	•••	***	
	Fyzabad	•••	***	•••	68 10 5	
1	Bahraich	•••	•••	•••	36 12 0	8 0 1
	Gonda	•••	•••	•••	917 12 5	•••
İ	Rae Bareli	•••	***	:::	5,273 13 5	201 -
l	Sultanpur	•••	•••	:::	27 10 0	361 7 9
l	Partabgarh	•••	•••		2	26 4 10
]						•••
	e		Total	•••	6,443 7 3	398 12 8
	Provin	CIAL GRA	ND TOTAL		6,883 3 2	495 12 1

( lxxiii )

of estates under management in the Province of Oudh, for the year ending (1295 Fasli)—(concluded).

Amount that will fall due Total outstanding.	
Principal. Interest. Principal. Interest.	ARKS.
20 21 22 23	24
Rs. a. p. Rs. a. p. Rs. a. p. Rs. a. p.	
ESTATES.	
200 0 0 200 0 0	
ESTATES.	
$egin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
50 0 0 50 0 0	
220 0 0 220 0 0	
420 0 0 420 0 0	
13,923 11 1 33 1 3 19,664 14 5 517 13 3	
STRACT.	
ESTATES.	
637 0 0 13 4 6 637 0 0 13 4 6 832 8 8 909 0 11	
1,095 7 6 24 14 0 1,458 11 2 121 13 5	
200 0 0 200 0 0	
2,765 0 2 38 2 6 3,204 12 1 135 1 11	
ESTATES.	
11,373 12 3 11,464 8 3 3 0 0	
$egin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
12,150 0 4   12,218 10 9	
$egin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
11,318 15 9 16,592 13 2 361 7 9	
256 11 2 8 3 3 284 5 2 34 8 1	
220 0 0 220 0 0	
38,667 11 6 22 11 3 45,111 2 9 421 7 11	
41,432 11 8 60 13 9 48,315 14 10 556 9 10	

### (lxxiv)

### APPENDIX VII.—ANNUAL STATEMENT OF DEBTS OF OUDH, FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEP

		Amount of debts on introduction	AMOUNT DUE AT OF THE S	
District.	Name of estate.	of Government management.	Principal.	Interest.
1	2	3	4	5
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
LUCKNOW.	***			
UNAO	•••			
			cou	RT OF WARDS'
	1. Pokra Unsari	1,38,546 0 0		
	2. Kharka	51,247 10 6 59,615 3 6	40,000 0 0 43,080 0 0	•••
BARA	3. Togapur Yakutganj, 4. Bhilwal Sikandarpur,	1,72,280 5 8	1,22,400 7 3	1,968 7 0
BANKI,	5. Amirpur	71,962 8 0	74,998 8 7	1,512 5 0
	6. Mailaraiganj* 7. Ramnagar Dhameri,*	6,83,835 11 7	5,82,964 11 7	1,00,871 0 0
<u> </u>	8. Narauli*	2,71,339 6 9	2,57,853 5 9	63,486 1 0
· ·	9. Surajpur*	7,94,478 14 11	6,74,159 8 10	$\frac{1,20,319}{2.88,157}$ 6 1
	Total	22,43,305 12 11	17,95,456 10 0	
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	22,43,305 12 11	17,95,456 10 0	2,88,157 3 1
			COU	RT OF WARDS'
SITA- (	1. Bajehra	57,362 14 11	49 0 0	1 .22
PUR.	2. Deokalia (9 as. share) 3. Do. (7 do.)	95,523 5 2 1,00,868 11 9	a 37,763 12 8 33,015 0 0	175 0 0
•	Total	2,53,754 15 10	70,827 12 8	175 0 0
	10021	2,00,101 10 10	10,621 12 0	
				ENCUMBERED
(	1. Sarwan Baragaon	1,50,468 0 0 26,206 8 6	72,550 0 0	 0 4 7
}	2. Durgaganj 3. Baghiari	26,206 8 6 37,876 5 2	6,937 3 6 6,668 12 10	
	Total	2,14,550 13 8	86,156 0 4	0 4 7
HARDOI,			COU	RT OF WARDS'
	4. Mansurgarh			
. }	5. Marwan 6. Behti Chiragpur	1,043 0 0	300 0 0	
Į	7. Khajurahra	00,000 00 0	<i>b</i> 1,04,566 6 0	2,365 0 0
•				
	Total	84,565 7 0	1,04,866 6 0	2,365 0 0
	GRAND TOTAL	2,99,116 4 8	1,91,022 6 4	2,365 4 7

^{*} New estates. a Includes Rs. 10,000 borrowed from Bahraich.

(lxxv)

# ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT IN THE PROVINCE OF TEMBER, 1888, (1295 FASLI).

Interest accru-		PAID DURING TH	E YEAR FROM SURPI OF THE MANAGER.	
ing during the year.	Total.	Principal.	Interest.	Total.
6	7	8	9	10
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
				•••
•••			•••	•••
ESTATES.				
2,400 0 0 8,431 8 4	42,400 0 0 43,080 0 0 1,32,800 6 7	 2,500 0 0 13,477 14 7	2,400 0 0  8.632 1 5	2,400 0 0 2,500 0 0 22,110 0 0
5,919 6 8	82,430 4 3	4,029 8 10	5,970 7 2	10,000 0 0
•••	6,83,835 11 7 3,21,339 6 9 7,94,478 14 11	1,803 4 0	 	1,803 <b>4</b> 0
16,750 15 0	21,00,364 12 1	21,810 11 5	17,002 8 7	38,813 4 0
16,750 15 0	21,00,364 12 1	21,810 11 5	17,002 8 7	38,813 4 0
ESTATES.				
1,360 2 1 2,248 8 9	49 0 0 39,298 14 9 35,263 8 9	4,000 0 0 2,000 0 0	1,535 2 1 2,248 8 9	5,535 2 1 4,248 8 9
3,608 10 10	74,611 7 6	6,000 0 0	3,783 10 10	9,783 10 10
ESTATES.				
3,545 5 9 333 5 8	76,095 5 9 7,270 13 9	5,850 0 0 1,337 3 6	3,545 5 9 333 10 3	9,395 5 9 1,670 13 9
$\frac{280 \ 12}{4,159 \ 8} \frac{7}{0}$	$\begin{array}{ c cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3,830 12 7
ESTATES.	90,510 12 11		±,100 12 1	11,001 0 1
•••	•••			***
 16 0 0	316 0 0	300 0 0	16 0 0	316 0 0
5,975 4 9	1,12,906 10 9	19,313 7 0	5,811 6 5	25,124 13 5
5,991 4 9	1,13,222 10 9	19,613 7 0	5,827 6 5	25,440 13 5
10,150 12 9	2,03,538 7 8	30,350 10 6	9,987 3 0	• 40,337 13 6

b Since readjusted.

### APPENDIX VII .- Annual statement of debts of estates under manage (1295 Fasli)—

District	Name of subst		PA	AID DU	RING THE YEAR	R FROM
District.	Name of estate.		Principa	al.	Interes	t.
1	2		11		12	
			Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	а. р.
LUCKNOW						
Unao	***					
,	1. Pokra Unsari				COURT OF	WARDS
İ	2. Kharka	***	•••		•••	
	3. Togapur Yakutgani					
BARA	4. Bhilwal Sikandarpur	***				
BANKI,	5. Amirpur 6. Mailaraiganj*	•••				
1.	7. Ramnagar Dhameri*	•••	·			
	8. Narauli*				a 50,000	0 0
Ĺ	9. Surajpur*	•••				
	Total	•••			50,000	0 0
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	•••			50,000	0 0
			·		COURT OF V	VARDS
SITA-	1. Bajehra	•••				
PUR.	2. Deokalia (9 annas share) 3. Do. (7 do.)	•••	ð <b>1</b> 0,000	0 0		
`1	Total	•••	10.000			
İ	Iotai	•••	10,000	0 0		
					ENCUME	ERED
	1. Sarwan Baragaon		•••			
- 11	<ul><li>2. Durgaganj</li><li>3. Baghiari</li></ul>	•••	•••		:::	
	o. Dagmari	•••	•••			
HARDOI.	Total		•••			
i l						
	4. Mansurgarh	I			COURT OF W	'ARDS'
	5. Marwan	:::	•••		•••	1
Ī	6. Behti Chiragpur		•••		•••	į
4	7. Khajurahra	•••	21,664	0 0	1,704	2 10
	Total		21,664	0 0	1,704	2 10
	GRAND TOTAL		21,664	0 0	1,704	2 10

^{*} New estates. a Borrowed from the Mailaraiganj estate.

( lxxvii )

OTHER SOURCES.	TOTAL :	PAID DURING THE YEA	AR.
Total.	Principal.	Interest.	Total.
13	14	15	16
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ESTATES.			
	•••	2,400 0 0	2,400 0 0
	2,500 0 0		2,500 0 0
•••	13,477 14 7	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22,110 0 0
:::	4,029 8 10	5,970 7 2	10,000 0 0
	1,803 4 0		1,803 4 0
50,000 0 0	•••	50,000 0 0	50,000 0 0
50,000 0 0	21,810 11 5	67,002 8 7	88,813 4 0
50,000 0 0	21,810 11 5	67,002 8 7	88,813 4 0
ESTATES.			
10,000 0 0	14,000 0 0 2,000 0 0	1,535 2 1 2,248 8 9	15,535 2 1 4,248 8 9
10,000 0 0	16,000 0 0	3,783 10 10	19,783 10 10
ESTATES.			
·	5,850 0 0	3,545 5 9	9,395 5 9
•••	1,337 3 6	333 10 3	1,670 13 9
	3,550 0 0	280 12 7	3,830 12 7
	10,737 3 6	4,159 12 7	14,897 0 1
ESTATES.			
	*		•••
	300 0 0	16 0 0	 916 A A
23,368 2 10	40,977 7 0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	316 0 0 48,493 0 3
23,368 2 10	41,277 7 0	7,531 9 3	48,809 0 3
23,368 2 10	52,014 10 6	11,691 5 10	≈63,706 0 <b>4</b>

b Since borrowed from Bahraich.

### ( lxxviii )

# Appendix VII.—Annual statement of debts of estates under manage (1295 Fasli)—

		Remi	TTED BY CREDIT	ORS.
District.	Name of estate.	Principal.	Interest.	Total.
1	2	17	18	19
	·	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
LCUKNOW.	<b></b>			•••
UNAO				
			COU	RT OF WARDS'
(	1. Pokra Unsari			•••
1.1	2. Kharka		•••	
_ 1	3. Togapur Yakutganj,	•••	•••	•••
BARA	4. Bhilwal Sikandarpur, 5. Amirpur	•••		
BANKI.	6. Mailaraiganj*			
1.	7. Ramnagar Dhameri,*			
	8. Narauli*	••		•••
Ĺ	9. Surajpur*	•••	<u></u>	•••
	Total			•••
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	•••		
SITA- {	1. Bajehra 2. Deokalia (9 as. share),		cou 	RT OF WARDS'
PUR.	3. Do. (7 do.),		•••	•••
	Total		•••	***
<b>S</b>				ENCUMBERED
{	1. Sarwan Baragaon		. •••	. •••
1	2. Durgaganj	•••	•••	•••
	3. Baghiari		•••	•••
TT	Total	•••	•••	
HARDOI.			COU	RT OF WARDS'
į	4. Mansurgarh		···	
i	5. Marwan	•••	•••	•••
1	6. Behti Chiragpur 7. Khajurahra		•••	•••
. {	7. Knajuranra		•••	•••
	Total	•••	•••	•••
•	≈ Grand Total	•••		•••

^{*} New e states,

( Ixxix )

Principal.	Interest.	Total.	REMARKS.
20	21	22	23
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
***			
7/11/11/11	•••		
ESTATES.			
40,000 0 0 40,580 0 0 1,08,922 8 8 70,968 15 9	 1,767 13 11 1,461 4 6	40,000 0 0 40,580 0 0 1,10,690 6 7 72,430 4 3	Due to Government.
5,81,161 7 7 2,57,853 5 9 6,74,159 8 10	1,00,871 0 0 13,486 1 0 1,20,319 6 1	6,82,032 7 7 2,71,339 6 9 7,94,478 14 11	
17,73,645 14 7	2,37,905 9 6	20,11,551 8 1	
17,73,645 14 7	2,37,905 9 6	20,11,551 8 1	
ESTATES.	_		
49 0 0 23,763 12 8 31,015 0 0	•••	49 0 0 23,763 12 8 31,015 0 0	
54,827 12 8	***	54,827 12 8	
ESTATES.			
66,700 0 0 5,600 0 0 3,118 12 10	•••	66,700 0 0 5,600 0 0 3,118 12 10	
75,418 12 10	***	75,418 12 10	
ESTATES,			
•••	•••		
•••	•••		
63,588 15 0	824 11 6	64,413 10 6	
63,588 15 0	824 11 6	64,413 10 6	
1,39,007 11 10	824 11 6	1,39,832 7 4	^

# APPENDIX VII.—Annual statement of debts of estates under manage (1295 Fasli)—

			AMOUNT DUE AT	COMMENCEMENT
	4	Amount of debts on introduction	OF THE	YEAR.
District.	Name of estate.	of Government management.	Principal.	Interest.
1	2	3	4	5
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
		•	- ,	RT OF WARBS'
(	1. Bansi	a68,238 1 3	a3,674 10 2	729 2 6
KHERI.	2. Khairigarh	b2,10,266 13 7 $c7,23,923$ 12 5	b1,52,199 13 7 $c10,41,018 0 0$	2,560 12 6 $54,609 3 11$
	3. Mullanpur 4. Kaimahra	d62,803 11 9	d52,385 12 1	
Ì	Total	10,65,232 7 0	12,49,278 3 10	57,899 2 11
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	16,18,103 11 6	15,11,128 6 10	60,439 7 6
			COU	RT OF WARDS'
ſ	1. Khapradih (including			
Fyz-	Sultanpar portion) 2. Mamrezpur	1,133 2 9	425 13 0	54 7 4
ABAD.	3. Musipur Chandipur	A37,885 5 11	A31,668 10 6 401 9 0	•••
	4. Behra 5. Lakhanpur Birhar	1,747 15 6 73,313 1 6	401 9 0 73,313 1 6	
	Total	1,14,079 9 8	1,05,809 2 0	54 7 4
			. COU	RT OF WARDS'
Ван- ∫	1. Rehwa	73,086 8 2		
RAICH. (	2. Kunera*	44,092 15 6	44,092 15 6	
	Total Blank	11,7,179 7 8	44,092 15 6	
GONDA.		•••		
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	2,31,259 1 4	1,49,902 1 6	54 7 4
				ENCUMBERED
	1 D-7:			EKOO MDEKED
(	1. Bahua	1,00,381 1 6	e52,349 15 10	<u></u> [
Ei.			COL	RT OF WARDS'
RAE BARELI.	2. Kuri Sadauli 3. Korihar Sataon	1,54,317 12 2 68,741 12 0	•••	
m Y	4. Bhiragobindpur	2,89,375 6 3	1,67,097 9 10	::
KAE	5. Pandriganeshpur 6. Binohra	4,14,416 9 1	g1,55,498 14 0	
7	7. Gaura Kasaiti	40,258 0 4 78,184 8 0	23,076 8 3	461 2 7
Ĺ	8. Udrehra	67,546 7 3	28,000 0 0	
	Total	11,12,840 7 1	3,73,673 0 1	461 2 7
			-	401 2 7
	GRAND TOTAL	12,13,221 8 7	4,26,022 15 11	461 2 7
8 37				1

^{*} New estate. | a, b, c, & d Since readjusted. | A Correct amounts shown this year.

( lxxxi )

Interest accru-		PAID DURING TH	E YEAR FROM SURPI OF THE MANAGER.	
ing during the year.	Total.	Principal.	Interest.	Total.
6	7	8	9	10
Rs. a. p. ESTATES.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
450 2 0 5,628 10 10 89,987 4 9 3,715 10 6	4,853 14 8 1,60,389 4 11 11,85,614 8 8 56,101 6 7	505 13 6 49,797 0 10 63,329 5 6 9,616 11 9	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	924 1 0 56.228 8 0 83,705 2 9 11,512 6 3
99,781 12 1	14,06,359 2 10	1,23,148 15 7	29,221 2 5	1,52,370 2 0
1,13,541 3 8	16,85,109 2 0	1,59,499 10 1	42,992 0 3	2,02,491 10 4
ESTATES.				
•••	•••			•••
14 4 0	494 8 4 31,668 10 6 401 9 0 73,313 1 6	425 13 0 12,98 0 0 401 9 0 3,257 13 6		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
14 4 0	1,05,877 13 4	16,983 3 6		16,983 3 6
ESTATES.				
•••	44,092 15 6	789 7 0		 789 7 0
•••	44,092 15 6	789 7 0	•••	789 7 O
			•••	
14 4 0	1,49,970 12 10	17,772 10 6	•••	17,772 10 6
ESTATES.				
2,493 0 1	54,842 15 11	4,841 13 0	2,493 0 1	7,334 13 1
ESTATES.				
•••				
8,249 12 1 $7,232$ 8 11 $1,302$ 11 6	1,75,347 5 11 1,62,781 6 11 24,840 6 4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28,309 12 1 $32,542$ 8 11 $4,140$ 0 0
1,416 10 8	29,416 10 8	11,500 0 0	1,416 10 8	12,916 10 8
18,201 11 2	3,92,335 13 10	60,612 0,7	17,296 15 1	77,908 15 8
20,694 11 3	4,47,178 13 9	65,453 13 7	19,789 15 2	85,243 12 9

e Rs. 3-10-10 deducted.

f Rs. 10-2-11 deducted.

g Rs. 11-3-10 deducted.

# APPENDIX VII.—Annual statement of debts of estates under manage (1295 Fasil).—

			PAID DUR	ING THE YEAR FROM
District.	Name of estate.		Principal.	Interest.
1	2		11	12
		• .	Rs. a p.	Rs. a. p.
Кневі.	1, Bansi 2. Khairigarh 3. Mullanpur 4. Kaimahra Total	•••	3,08,697 7 4 3,08,697 7 4	34,506 3 9 
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	••	3,40,361 7 4	36,210 6 7 COURT OF WARDS'
ë.	1. Khapradih (including tanpur portion)	Sul-	•••	
FYZABAD.	2. Mamrezpur 3. Musipur Chandipur 4. Behra 5. Lakhanpur Birhar Total	•••	2,000 0 0  2,000 0 0	
		•••		COURT OF WARDS'
BAH- {	1. Rehwa 2. Kunera* Total	•••		
Gonda.	Blank DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL	•••	2,000 0 0	
				ENCUMBERED
i (	1. Bahua	•••		
RAE BAREIG.	2. Kuri Sadauli 3. Korihar Sataon 4. Bhiragobindpur 5. Pandriganeshpur 6. Binohra 7. Gaura Kasaiti 8. Udrehra		16,500 0 0	1,060 0 0
	Total	•••	16,500 0 0	1,060 0 0
	GRAND TOTAL	***	16,500 0 0	1,060 0 0

^{*} New estate.

( lxxxiii )

OTHER SOURCES.	TOTAL :	PAID DURING THE YE	AR.
Total.	Principal.	Interest.	Total.
. 13	14	<b>1</b> 5	16
Rs. a. p. ESTATES.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
3,43,203 11 1 	505 13 6 49,797 0 10 8,71,926 12 10 9,616 11 9	418 3 6 6,431 7 2 54,982 1 0 1,895 10 6	924 1 0 56,228 8 0 4,26,908 13 10 11,512 6 3
3,43,203 11 1	4,31,846 6 11	63,727 6 2	4,95,573 13 1
3,76,571 13 11	4,99,861 1 5	79,202 6 10	5,79,063 8 3
ESTATES.		`	
	•••	٠	•••
2,000 0 0	425 13 0		425 13 0
2,000 0 0	14,898 0 0 401 9 0		14,898 0 0 401 9 0
	3,257 13 6		3,257 13 6
2,000 0 0	18,983 3 6		18.983 3 6
ESTATES.			
	789 7 0		
***	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
2,000 0 0	19,772 10 6		19,772 10 6
ESTATES.	10,112 10 0	::1	13,772 10 0
	4,841 13 0	2,493 0 1	7,334 13 1
ESTATES.		·	
"			•••
1,060 0 0	21,120 0 0	8,249 12 1	29,369 12 1
,	25,310 0 0	7,232 8 11	32,542 8 11
	2,682 0 7	1,457 15 5	4,140 0 0
<i>b</i> 16,500 0 0	28,000 0 0	1,416 10 8	29,416 10 8
17,560 0 0	77,112 0 7	18,356 15 1	95,468 15 8
17,560 0 0	81,953 13 7	20;849 15 2	1,02,803 12 9

a Paid by Sirdar Autar Singh.

b Deposited by the Talukdár.

### ( lxxxiv )

# APPENDIX VII.—Annual statement of debts of estates under manage (1295 Fash)—

		REM	ITTED BY CREDIT	rors.
District.	Name of estate.	Principal.	Interest.	Total.
1	2	17	18	19
•		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
KHERI.	1. Bansi 2. Khairigarh 3. Mullanpur 4. Kaimahra	10,547 2 0	500 0 0 64,267 5 4	500 0 0 74,814 7 4
	Total Divisional Grand Total	10,547 2 0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Fyz- {	1. Khapradih (including Sultanpur portion) 2. Mamrezpur 3. Musipur Chandipur		COU 68 11 4	RT OF WARDS' 68 11 4
L	4. Behra 5. Lakhanpur Birhar Total		68 11 4	68 11 4 RT OF WARDS
BAH- RAICH. {	I. Rehwa 2. Kunera*	 		
Gonda.	Total Blank DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL,		68 11 4	68 11 4
ſ	1. Bahna		00 11 4	ENCUMBERED
ı.	•••	•••	COU	RT OF WARDS'
RAB BARBLI.	2. Kuri Sadauli 3. Korihar Sataon 4. Bhiragobindpur 5. Pandriganeshpur 6. Binohra 7. Gaura Kasaiti 8. Udrehra	  	   	: ::: :::
	Total	•••	•••	•••
	GRAND TOTAL		•••	•••

^{*} New estate.

1	AT THE CLOSE OF		REMARKS.
Principal.	Interest.	Total.	
20	21	22	23
Rs. a. p. ESTATES.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
3,168 12 8 1,02,402 12 9 6,58,544 1 2 42,769 0 4 8,06,884 10 11	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3,929 13 8 1,03,660 12 11 6,83,891 3 6 44,589 0 4	
10,00,720 3 5	30,010 15 0	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
ESTATES.			
•••	•••		
16,770 10 6	•••	16,770 10 6	
70,055 4 0	•••	70,055 4 0	·
86,825 14 6 ESTATES.		86,825 14 6	
ESTATES.			
43,303 8 6	† <del></del>	43,303 8 6	† Debts not settled yet.
43,303 8 6	•••	43,3,3 8 6	
1,30,129 7 (	•••	1.30,129 7 0	
ESTATES.	•••	1,30,129 7 0	
47,508 2 10	•••	47,508 2 10	
ESTATES.			
	•••		
1,45,977 9 10 1,30,188 14 0 20,394 7 8	305 14 8	1,45,977 9 10 1,30,188 14 0 20,700 6 4	Due to Government.
20,33± 1 0	305 14 6	20,700 6 ±	
2,96,560 15 6	305 14 8		-
3,44,069 2 4	305 14 8	3,44,375 1 0	

### (lxxxvi)

APPENDIX VII.—Annual statement of debts of estates under manage (1295 Fash)—

				<del></del>
District.	Name of estate.	Amount of debts on introduction	AMOUNT DUE AT OF THE	
District.	Name of estate.	of Government management.	Principal.	Interest.
1	2	3	4	5
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
į				ENCUMBERED
(	1. Kurwar (including Fyzabad portion)	2,34,005 1 2	43,200 4 11	•••
	2. Mahona	1,32,361 8 4	42,095 9 8	•••
SULTANPUR.	Total	3,66,366 9 6	85,295 14 7	
SULT			COU	RT OF WARDS'
	3. Bhadayan	47,424 10 6	7,900 0 0	a 0, 8 0
	4. Pali	4,677 4 9	600 0 0	
1	<ul><li>5. Unchgaon Bhadaur</li><li>6. Walipur</li></ul>	9,504 7 0	***	•••
	·		***	
	Total	61,606 6 3	8,500 0 0	0 8 0
	GRAND TOTAL	4,27,972 15 9	93,795 14 7	0 8 0
				ENCUMBERED
	1. Kaithola	58,390 15 7	b 8,999 15 5	
PARTAB- GARH.			cou	RT OF WARDS'
PA	2. Madhpur	49,257 7 5	15,000 0 0	
. ]	3. Bargaon	1,01,060 3 6	66,509 14 2	
!	4. Pandwansi 5. Dahyawan	1,882 8 0 $229$ 6 0	891 4 0	•••
	b. Danyawan		•••	
	Total	1,52,429 8 11	82,401 2 2	•••
	GRAND TOTAL	2,10,820 8 6	91,401 1 7	•••
	● Divisional Grand Total.	18,52,015 0 10	6,11,220 0 1	461 10 7

⁽a) Correct amount shown this year.

⁽b) Re. 0-9-7 deducted.

( lxxxvii )

Interest accru-		PAID DURING TH	E YEAR FROM SURPI OF THE MANAGER.	US CASH IN HAND
year.	g during the year.		Interest.	Total.
6	7	8	9	10
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1,883 7 1	45,083 12 0	35,767 4 11	1,883 7 1	37,650 12 0
2,097 7 3	44,193 0 11	11,762 9 8	2,097 7 3	13,860 0 11
3,980 14 4	89,276 12 11	47,529 14 7	3,980 14 4	51,510 12 11
ESTATES.				
348 11 7	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5,000 0 0	349 3 7	5,349 <b>3 7</b>
	•••	:::		***
•••			••••	•••
348 11 7	8,849 3 7	5,000 0 0	349 3 7	5,349 3 7
4,329 9 11	98,126 0 6	52,529 14 7	4,330 1 11	56,860 0 6
ESTATES.				
289 10 5	9,289 9 10	8,999 15 5	289 10 5	9,289 9 10
ESTATES.				
3,800 7 10 52 10 11 	15,000 0 0 70,310 6 0 943 14 11 	6,009 14 2 91 4 0	3,800 7 10 52 10 11	9,810 6 9 143 14 11
3,853 2 9	86,254 4 11	6,101 2 2	3,853 2 9	9,954 4 11
4,142 13 2	95,543 14 9	15,101 1 7	4,142 13 2	19,243 14 9
29,167 2 4	6,40,848 13 0	1,33,084 13 9	28,262 14 3	1,61,347 12 O

( lxxxviii )

# APPENDIX VII.—Annual statement of debts of estates under manage (1295 Fasti)—

			PAID DUR	ING THE YEAR FROM
District.	Name of estate.		Principal.	Interest.
1	2		11	12
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
(	I. Kurwar (including Fyza portion)	abad	•••	
	2. Mahona		•••	
SULTANPUR.	Total		•••	
SULTA				COURT OF WARDS'
i	3. Bhadayan			1
	4. Pali	•••	***	
ļ	5. Unchgaon Bhadaur	•••	•••	
Ĺ	6. Walipur	•••	•••	
	Total	•••	•••	
	GRAND TOTAL	• •••	•••	
			The control of the co	ENCUMBERED
. []	1. Kaithola	•••	•••	
РАКТАВ- GARH.		l	:	COURT OF WARDS'
GA G	2. Madhpur			1
1	3. Bargaon 4. Pandwansi		•••	
1	5. Dahyawan	•••	•••	l l
	o. Danjawan	•••	•••	•••
	Total	•••	•••	•••
	GRAND TOTAL		•••	
	DIVISIONAL GRAND TOTAL		16,500 0 0	1,060 0 0

( lxxxix )

OTHER SOURCES.	TOTAL F	AID DURING THE YE	AR.
Total.	Principal.	Interest.	Total.
13	14	15	16
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ESTATES.			
	35,767 4 11	1,883 7 1	37,650 12 0
•••	11,762 9 8	2,097 7 3	13,860 0 11
	47,529 14 7	3,980 14 4	51,510 12 11
ESTATES.		·	
•••	5,000 0 0	349 3 7	5,349 3 7
		•••	***
•••		:::	•••
•••	5,000 0 0	349 3 7	5,349 3 7
	52,529 14 7	4,330 1 11	56,860 0 6
ESTATES.			·
	8,999 15 5	289 10 5	9,289 9 10
ESTATES.		-	
	•••		***
	6,009 14 2 91 4 0	3,800 7 10	9,810 6 0 143 14 11
	SI 4 U	52 10 11	149 14 11
•••	6,101 2 2	3,853 2 9	9,954 4 11
•••	15,101 1 7	4,142 13 2	19,243 14 9
17,560 0 0	1,49,584 13 9	29,322 14 3	• 1,78,907 12 0

# APPENDIX VII.—Annual statement of debts of estates under manage (1295 Fasti)—

			Rem	ITTED BY	CREDI	TORS.	
District.	Name of estate.	Princip	al.	Intere	st.	Total	
1	2	17		18	•	19	
		Rs.	a, p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.
ſ	1. Kurwar (including Fyzabad portion)	···		•••		•••	l
1	2. Mahona			***		. ***	
SULTANPUR,	Total			***		•••	
SULE					cou	RT OF WA	RDS'
	3. Bhadayan		٠. ]	***	1	•••	1
ļ	4. Pali 5. Unchgaon Bhadaur	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	I	***		•••	
1	6. Walipur	1	1	•••		•••	1
	o, nampas	`		•••		•••	
	Total					•••	
	GRAND TOTAL					•••	
						ENCUMBE	RED
Partabgarh,	1. Kaithola	•••	1	•••		•••	1
BTABC	2. Madhpur				cou.	RT OF WA	RDS'
PA.	3. Bargaon	***	j	***	1	•••	1
7	4. Pandwansi	1	1	•••	1	• • •••	
Ĺ	5. Dahyawan			•••		•••	
	Total	•••		•••		•••	
	GRAND TOTAL			•••		•••	
	Divisional Grand Total						

ment in the Province of Oudh, for the year ending 30th September, 1888, (continued).

BALAN	CE	DŪ	EAT	THE C	LOSE	OF THE YE.	AR.		
Princip	al.		Interest.		Tota	Total.		REMARKS.	
20				21		22			23
Rs.	a.	p.		Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a.	p.	
ESTATES	3.								
7,433	0	0		•••		7,433	0	0	
30,333	0	0		•••		30,333	0	0	
37,766	0	0				37,766	θ	0	
ESTATES	S.								
2,900	0	0		***		2,900			
600	0	0-	l			a 600	0	0	a Due on account of takávi advances under Act XIX of 1883.
***				•••					10000
3,500	0	0				3,500	0	0	
41,266	0	0:		•••		41,266	0	0	- '
ESTATES	S.								
***			-	•••					
ESTATE	s.								
15,000				•••		15,000	0	0	
60,500 800		0.	1	•••		60,500	0	0	
•••	v	Ū.	No. Sec. of	•••		800	0	0	
76,300	0	0		100		76,300	0	0	
76,300	0	0		•••		76,300	0	0	
4,61,635	2	4		305	14 8	4,61,941	1	0	•

(xcii)

Appendix VII.—Annual statement of debts of estates under manage (1295 Fasli)—

District.	Name of estate.	Amount of on introdu	ction			COMMENC E YEAR.	ЕМЕ	ENT
DIS01100.	1,000 01 055000	of Governmen management.		Princi	pal.	Interest.		
1	2	3		4	***************************************	5		
		Rs.	a. p.	· Rs.	a. p.	Rs.		p. AB
				ĺ		ENCUMB		
	Hardoi	2,14,550 1	3 8	86,156	0 4	0	4	7
	Rae Bareli	1,00,381	1 6	52,349	15 10			
	Sultanpur	3,66,366	9 6	85,295	14 7			
	Partabgarh	58,390 1	5 7	8,999	15 5			
	Total	7,39,689	8 3	2,32,801	14 2	0	4	7
					COU	RT OF W.	ARI	os'
	Lucknow			•••				1
	Unao							
	Bara Banki	22,43,305 1	2 11	17,95,456	10 0	2,88,157	3	1
	Sitapur	2,53,754 1	5 10	70,827	12 8	175	0	0
	Hardoi	84,565	7 0	1,04,866	6 0	2,365	0	0
	Kheri	10,65,232	7 0	12,49,278	3 10	57,899	2	11
	Fyzabad	1,14,079	98	1,05,809	2 0	54	7	4
	Bahraich	1,17,179	78	44,092	15 6	•••		
	Gonda		.					
	Rae Bareli	11,12,840	7 1	3,73,673	0	461	2	7
	Sultanpur	61,606	6 3	8,500	0 0	0	8	٥
	Partabgarh	1,52,429	3 11	82,401	2 2			
	Total	52,04,994	2 4	38,34,905	4 3	3,49,112	7 1	11
	PROVINGIAL GRAND TOTAL	59,44,683 10	7	40,67,707	2 5	3,49,112	12	6

( xciii )

Interest accru-		PAID DURING TE	HE YEAR FROM SURP OF THE MANAGER.		
ing during the year.	Total.	Principal.	Interest.	Total.	
6	7	8	9	10	
Rs. a. p. STRACT. ESTATES.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
4,159 8 0	90,315 12 11	10,737 3 6	4,159 12 7	14,897 0 1	
2,493 0 1	54,842 15 11	4,841 13 0	2,493 0 1	7,334 13 1	
3,980 14 4	89,276 12 11	47,529 14 7	3,980 14 4	51,510 12 11	
289.10 5	9,289 9 10	8,999 15 5	289 10 5	9,289 9 10	
10,923 0 10	2,43,725 3 7	72,108 14 6	10,923 5 5	83,032 3 11	
ESTATES.					
•••		•••		•••	
•••			. •••	•••	
16,750 15 0	21,00,364 12 1	21,810 11 5	17,002 8 7	38,813 4 0	
3,608 10 10	74,611 7 6	6,000 0 0	3,783 10 10	9,783 10 10	
5,991 4 9	1,13,222 10 9	19,613 7 0	5,827 6 5	25,440 13 5	
99,781 12 1	14,06,959 2 10	1,23,148 15 7	29,221 2 5	1,52,370 2 0	
14 4 0	1,05,877 13 4	16,983 3 6		16,983 3 6	
***	44,092 15 6	789 7 0		789 <b>7</b> 0	
•••				•••	
18,201 11 2	3,92,335 13 10	60,612 0 7	17,296 15 1	77,908 15 8	
348 11 7	8,849 3 7	5,000 0 0	349 3 7	5,349 3 <b>7</b>	
3,853 2 9	86,254 4 11	6,101 2 2	3,853 2 9	9,954 4 11	
1,48,550 8 2	43,32,568 4 4	2,60,058 15 3	77,334 1 8	3,37,393 0 11	
1,59,473 9 0	45,76,293 7 11	3,32,167 13 9	88,257 7 1	9,20,425 4 10	

( xciv )

# APPENDIX VII.—Annual statement of debts of estates under manage (1295 Fasti)—

				PA	ID	DUR	ING THE YEAR	R F	BOM
District.	Name	of estat	e. 	Principa	al.		Intere	st.	
1		2		11			12		
				Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
							ENCUM	BE	
	Hardoi	•••							
	Bae Bareli	•••							
	Sultanpur	•••							
	Partabgarh	•••	• •••						
		Total				***************************************	•••		
	Lucknow						COURT OF V	V. A	RDS
	Unao	•••	• •••						
	Bara Banki	••	•						
	Sitapur	•••	•••				50,000	0:	0
	Hardoi	•••	•••	10,000		0:			
		•••	•••	21,664		0	1,704	2	10
	Kheri	***	•••	3,08,697			34,506	3.	9
	Fyzabad	•••	•••	2,000	0	0			
	Bahraich	•••	•••						
	Gonda	•••	•••						
	Rae Bareli	•••	•••	` 16,500	0	0	1,060	<b>0</b> :	0
	Sultanpur	•••	•••	•••					
	Partabgarh	•••	•••						
		Total	<b></b>	3,58,861	7	4	87,270	6	7
	Provincial	GRAND	TOTAL	3,58,861	7	4	87,270	6	7

( xev )

OTHER SOURCES.	TOTAL 1	PAID DURING THE YEA	AR.
Total.	Principal.	Interest.	Total.
13	14	15	16
Rs. a. p. STRACT. ESTATES.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
	10,737 3 6	4,159 12 7	14,897 0 1
	4,841 13 0	2,493 0 1	7,334 13 1
	47,529 14 7	3,980 14 4	51,510 12 11
	8,999 15 5	289 10 5	9,289 9 10
•••	72,108 14 6	10,923 5 5	83,032 3 11
ESTATES.			
			***
			•••
50,000 0 0	21,810 11 5	67,002 8 7	88,813 4 0
10,000 0 0	16.000 0 0	3,783 10 10	19,783 10 10
23,368 2 10	41,277 7 0	7,531 9 3	25,440 13 5
3,43,203 11 1	4,31,846 6 11	63,727 6 2	4,95,573 13 1
2,000 0 0	18,983 3 6		16,983 3 6
	789 7 0		789 7 0
•••	•••		•••
17,560 0 0	77,112 0 7	18,356 15 1	95,468 15 8
•••	5,000 0 0	349 3 7	5,349 3 7
***	6,101 2 2	3,853 2 9	9,954 4 11
4,46,131 13 11	6,18,920 6 7	1,64,604 8 3	7,83,524 14 10
4,46,131 13 11	6,91,029 5 1	1,75,527 13 8	8,66,557 2 9

( xevi )

APPENDIX VII.—Annual statement of debts of estates under manage (1295 Fasti)—

		Rem	ITTED BY CREDI	TORS.
District.	Name of estate.	Principal.	Interest.	Total.
1	2	17	18	19
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
				AB ENCUMBERED
	Hardoi	•••		
	Rae Bareli			
	Sultanpur	•••	. •••	
	Partabgarh	***		•••
	m. i. t			
	Total	•••	•••	•••
			cou	RT OF WARDS'
	Lucknow	•••	•••	· ···
	Unao	•••	•••	
	Bara Banki	•••	•••	<b>.</b>
	Sitapur	•••	•••	***
	Hardoi	•••	···	
	Kheri	10,547 2 0	64,767 5 4	75,314 7 4
	Fyzabad	•••	68 11 4	68 11 4
,	Bahraich		•••	••• •
	Gonda			•••
-	Rae Bareli		•••	•••
	Sultanpur			• •••
	Partabgarh	•••		
	Total	10,547 2 0	64,836 O 8	75,383 2 8
	PROVINCIAL GRAND TOTAL	10,547 2 0	64,836 O 8	75,383 2 8

·	<u> </u>	<del></del>	·
BALANCE DU	E AT THE CLOSE O	F THE YEAR.	
Principal.	Interest.	Total.	REMARKS.
20	21	22	23
Rs. a. p. STRACT. ESTATES.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
75,418 12 10	•••	75,418 12 10	
47,508 2 10		47,508 2 10	
<b>3</b> 7,766 0 0		37,766 0 0	
•••			
· ·			
1,60,692 15 8	•••	1,60,692 15 8	
ESTATES.			
•••	٠		
***			
17,73,645 14 7	2,37,905 9 6	20,11,551 8 1	
54,827 12 8		54,827 12 8	
63,588 15 0	824 11 6	64,413 10 6	
8,06,884 10 11	29,186 3 6	8,36,070 14 5	
86,825 14 6		86,825 14 6	
43,303 8 6		43,303 8 6	4
· · · ·	•••		
2,96,560 15 6	305 14 8	2,96,866 14 2	
3,500 0 0	•••	3,500 0 0	
76,300 0 0	•••	76,300 0 0	
32,05,437 11 8	2,68,222 7 2	34,73,660 2 10	
33,66,130 11 4	2,68,222 7 2	36,34,353 2 6	•

APPENDIX VIII.—STATEMENT SHOWING AMOUNT OF GOVERNMENT LOANS SANCTIONED TO THE ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT IN THE PROVINCE OF OUDH, FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER, 1888, (1295 FASLI).

District.	Name of estate.	Amount sanctioned.		Amount actually drawn.	REMARKS.
Bara Banki	Togapur Yakutganj	Rs. 76,525	a. p.	-	
HARDOI {	Sarwan Baragaon Durgaganj Baghiari	1,28,485 22,751 28,349	6 7	22,751 6 7	
RAE BARELI	Bahua  Ehiragobindpur  Pandriganeshpur		0 0	2,25,000 0 0	
SULTANPUR {	Kurwar Mahona			_,,	
PARTABGARH	Kaithola •	58,696	1 6	58,69 <b>6 1</b> 6	Estate released.
-	4				
	^ Total	12,69,024	10 1	12,62,278 6 6	

<del>Ы</del> .	
USTS II	FASLI)
S TR.	(1295)
NEOU	1888,
MISCELLA	PTEMBER,
AND	TH SE
APPENDIX IX,—LIST OF BENEVOLENT ENDOWMENTS AND MISCELLANEOUS TRUSTS IN	THE PROVINCE OF OUDH FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER, 1888, (1295 FASLI).
7OLENT	THE YE
ENE	FOR
0F B	OUDH.
LISI	OF.
IX.	INCE
ENDIX	PROVI
APPI	THE

REMARKS.	100			
SAGYNAH	∞			
Detail of property comprised in the endowment or trust.	<u>l</u>	Encknow King's Charity Nasir-ud-din Haidar, 2nd Charity to the leprous, bearing interest at 4 per cent. Ring of Oudh, 1st May, and all such as may not have the proper use of their limbs or may their limbs or may at 4 per cent. per annum. A have become helpless further sum of Rs. 2,000, inhous the year or otherwise.  Issue the proper use of the leprous, bearing interest annum. A have the proper use of the per annum. A have become helpless further sum of the year or otherwise.  Issue the proper use of the lame, bearing interest annum. Government paper annum. A have the proper use of the Rs. 36,100, bearing interest from age or otherwise.  Issue the proper use of the lame, bearing interest annum. Government paper annum. A have the proper use of the samual returns for the year ending 30th September, list. 2,000 has been invested (wide annual return for the year ending 30th September, list).	King of Oudh, in 1833 the sick poor. The for Rs. 3,63,600 bearing interest and endowed by Mu-hospital consists of two hammad Ali Shah, 3rd distinct branches King of Oudh, on 26th and Yunani hospitals.	Government promissory notes for Ils. 21,100, bearing interest at 4 per cent., excepting one for Ils. 500, bearing interest at 4½ per cent. per annum.
Object of endowment or trust as expressed by donor or otherwise ascertained.	9	Charity to the leprons, the blind, the lame, and all such as may not have the proper use of their limbs or may have become helpless from age or otherwise.	Medicines and food for the sick poor. The hospital consists of two distinct branches known as the English and Yunani hospitals.	Charity to poor Christians.
Name of donor and date of endowment or trust.	õ	Nasir-ud-din Haidar, 2nd King of Oudh, 1st May, 1853.	Nasir-ud-din Haidar, 2nd King of Oudh, in 1833 and endowed by Mu- hammad Ali Shah, 3rd King of Oudh, on 26th January, 1840.	Ditto Baillie Charity King of Oudh, 3rd Janu-Charity to poor Chris-tians.
Name of trust or endowment.	4	King's Charity	Ditto (City Hospital	Baillie Charity
District,	3	Lucknow		
Division.	2	Ln¢know	Ditto	Ditto
Number.	-	m	C4	ന

APPENDIX IX.—List of benevolent endowments and miscellaneous trusts in the Province of Oudh, for the year ending 30th September, 1888—(1295 Fasti)—(concluded).

,-		1 1		
-	REMARKS.	∞		Known as new Charity Fund.
	Detail of property comprised in the endowment or trust,	7	Famine Relief Fund of The relief of the poor Government promissory notes 1860 and Bongal and Orissa Fund of 1866.	per annum; also Rs. 7,000 received from the Gonda district, being balance of Calcutta Relief Fund (G. O. No. 344, Financial Department, dated 27th February, 1880).  Government promissory notes for Rs. 6,000, bearing interest at 4 per cent, per annum. The fund is also aided by a grant-in-aid from Government. An additional sum of Rs. 1,000 has been invested in the purchase of a Government promissory note, bearing interest at 4 per cent. p. a. (wide annual return for the year ending 30th September, 1885); also Rs. 5,000 has been invested in the purchase of a Government promissory note, (wide annual return for the year ending 30th September, 1885); also Rs. 5,000 has been invested in the purchase of a Government promissory note (wide annual return for the year ending 30th September, 1887).
	Object of endowment or trust as expressed by donor or otherwise ascertained.	9	The relief of the poor	Ditto
	Name of donor and date of endowment or trust.	20	Famine Relief Fund of 1860 and Bengal and Orissa Fund of 1866.	The public in 1866 and the Caloutta Relief Fund in 1879.
	Name of trust or endowment.	4	Lucknow Famine Relief	Ditto New Charity
	Distríct.	3	Lucknow	Ditto
	Division. District.	2	Lucknow	Ditto
	Number,		−et.	NO.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C1 )		
Ditto   Mayo Memorial   The public in Oudh about   For the relief of certain   Non-transferable Government   1874-75.   good family.   a., ing interest at 4 per cent.   p.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 1,13,000, bearing interest at 4 per cent. p. a.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 1,500, bearing interest at 4 per cent. p. a.	the Government promissory notes for Rs. 30,000, bearing interest at 4 per cent. p. a.	Ditto Saiyad Salar wakf King of Delhi in 979 Hijri 'Maintenance of the Dar- Revenue-free lands, rents from (1664 A.D.) and Khoja Aim-ud-din, Nazim, in 1207 Hijri (1792 A.D.).	the Government promissory notes for Rs. 4,800, bearing interest at 4 per cent. p. a.; also monthly contributions of Rs. 100 and Rs. 25 from Local and Municipal Funds respectively.	Sada. Maháráni of Balrampur, For distribution of alms Government promissory notes 2nd July, 1885. at Ajudhia, at 4 per cent. p. a.
For the relief of certain destitute natives of good family.	Sir Digbijai Medicines and food to Government (C.S.I., of Bal-sick poor.		For the benefit of the public.	Maintenance of the Dargan of Salyar.	For the benefit of the public.	For distribution of alms at Ajudhia,
The public in Oudh about 1874-75.	Mahárája Sir Digbijai Singh, K.C.S.I., of Bal- rampur, in 1872.	Rája Hardeo Baksh and Belief of the poor Chaudhri Khaslat Hu- sain,	Dispen-Rúja Udey Partab Singh, For the benefit of of Bhinga, in 1878.	King of Delhi in 979 Hijri (1564 A.D.) and Khoja Aim-ud-din, Nazim, in 1207 Hijri (1792 A.D.).	Poor-By donations and sub-For the benefit of scriptions in 1873, public.	Maháráni of Balrampur, 2nd July, 1885,
Mayo Memorial	Ditto Balrampur Hospi-Mahárája Singh, R rampur,	Sitapur Hardoi Charity Fund	Bhinga Dispen- sary	Saiyad Salar wakf	Ditto , Bahraich Poor- house	DittoFyzabad Balrampur Sada- bart Fund
Ditto	Ditto	Hardoi	Bahraich			Fyzabad
Ditto	Ditto	Sitapur	Fyzabad Bahraich	Ditto	Ditto	Ditto
ç	7	ss.	G	0	<b>a</b>	12

## APPENDIX X.—STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND EXPEN ENDING 30TH

								Luci	KN0	W
Particulars.	E	Tin:	g's I	Hospital.			King	s F	oor	
		Previous	ye	ar.	Year u		er	Previous	3 ye	ear.
RECEIPTS.		Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
I.—Balance in hand on 1st October		6,020	5	8	3,751	6	3	2,363	0	7
II.—Receipts during the year.										
(a) Land rents						_				
(b) House rents	•••				••	•				
(c) Interest on Government securities		14,784	0	0	161	11	6	13,633	1	10
(d) Debts recovered	•••				••	•			•	
(e) Government contributions	•••				••	•		•••	•	
(f) Other contributions (g) Other Miscellaneous income	•••	•••			••	•				
(h) Refund of excess amount drawn		7	8	0		:				
account of establishment	-									
(i) Cash offerings at the shrine	•••					•			•	
Total	•••	20,811	13	8	3,913	1	9	15,996	2	5
EXPENDITURE.										
IBalance paid on account of previous y	ear.					•		"	•	
(a) For trust purposes. 1. Dispensary establishment		8,398	7	9	8,148	2	6			
2. Poorhouse ditto	•••		_			·•			•	
3. Medicines and contingencies	•••	4,005	2	6	3,816	14	3	689		0
4. Allowances to relatives of donors a	ina				١	•		4,899	14	0
out-pensioners 5. Dieting and clothing		2,269	12	4	1,700	1	3	4,484	14	6
6. Out-door charity to Christians	•••							'	•	
(b) For other purposes.		1						ĺ		
1. Land revenue								1		
2. Cesses 3. Local rates	•••	""				••		1	•	
4. Management	•••				286	4	5	807	0	0
5. Miscellaneous	•••	106	11	1				259	12	3
6. Burial of paupers	•••					••		"	•	
7. Public works relief	•••	2,280	=	9	100		6	790	. 5	0
8. Repairs and constructions 9. Purchase of promissory notes	•••	2,200	5	Ð	100	. 11	Ü	1 '90	. 3	U
10. Allowance to Assistant Surgeon a		:::			1 :	••		1 ::		
establishment from the funds					1			1		
11. Unforeseen charges	•••					••		"	•	
12. School expenses	•••					••			•	
13. Religious ceremonies 14. Garden expenses	•••	""				••		1	•	
12. Garden expenses	•••	<u> </u>						-		
Total		17,060	7	5	14,138	1	11	11,931	0	9
•										
Balance of 30th September	•••	3,751	6	3	*10,225	0	2	4,065	1	8

^{*} Debit balance.

# DITURE ON ACCOUNT OF TRUSTS, DURING THE YEAR SEPTEMBER, 1888—(1295 FASLI).

ouse.			Raillia	Fund.	1	New Charity E	und, comprising
			Danne	Euna.		(1) Famine Reise and (3) Ma	f, (2) New Charity yo Memorial.
Year und report		Previous	year.	Year under report.		Previous year.	Year under report
Rs.	<b>a</b> .p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs. a.	p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
4,065	1 8	539	12 2	607 11	0	15,239 12 8	9,017 6 10
•••						•••	
12,604	0 0	916	2 10	40 0	0	1,900 9 4	1,293 0 0
•••				•••		14,000 0 0	
•••				•••		2,594 5 10	14,000 0 0 2,427 11 8
	0 0			•••		•••	300 0 0
•••				•••		•••	•••
16 601	1 0		12 0		_		
16,681	1 8	1,455	15 0	647 11	<u> </u>	33,734 11 10	27,038 2 6
•••				•••		3,566 0 0	2,149 0 0
•••				•••		•••	
	7 9			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- 1	•••	
<b>4</b> ,609 1	.2 0			•••	ı	15,534 8 0	14,869 0 0
5,188 1	10 6	848	4 0	848 4	0	•••	
		l				•••	
820	0 0						
372 1				•••		484 0 0 132 13 0	506 0 0 450 10 0
•••				•••	ı	•••	
<b>4</b> 03	7 0			•••	- 1	•••	
•••				•••	1	5,000 0 0	":
. •••		***		•••		•••	
•••				•••		•••	
•••						•••	
					_	•••	
12,070	2 7	848	4 0	848 4	0	24,717 5 0	17,974 10 0
4,610 1	15 1	607	11 0	*200 9	0	9,017 6 10	9,063 8 6

APPENDIX X .- Statement of receipts and expenditure on account of trusts,

	Lucknow	DISTRICT.	Ван		
Particulars.	Balrámpus	Balrámpur Hospital.			
	Previous year.	Year under report.	Previous year.		
RECEIPTS.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
1.—Balance in hand on 1st October	2,166 3 6		7.099 11 7		
II.—Receipts during the year. (a) Land rents	16 0 0	16 0 0	486 15 0		
(b) House rents	1	1	2,903 15 9		
(c) Interest on Government securities	4,592 4 8.	4,535 0 0			
(d) Debts recovered					
(e) Government contributions	2,593 8 9	2,400 0 0	•••		
(f) Other contributions	90 0 0	120 0 0			
(g) Other Miscellaneous income	61 14 0	174 15 3	105 6 9		
(h) Refund of excess amount drawn on account of establishment	•••	•••	•••		
(i) Cash offerings at the shrine			6,729 14 9		
- · · ·	9,519 14 11	7,245 15 3	17,325 15 10		
	3,515 14 11	1,245 15 5	17,525 15 10		
EXPENDITURE.  1.—Balance paid on account of previous year		184 15 4	7 0 0		
II.—PAID DURING THE YEAR. (a) For trust purposes.					
1. Dispensary establishment	4,266 0 9	4,234 9 0	84 0 0		
2. Poorhouse Ditto	2,250	-,			
3. Medicines and contingencies	1,818 4 7	1,537 9 5	172 1 6		
4. Allowances to relatives of donors and			1,879 7 10		
out-pensioners.					
5. Dieting and clothing	2,184 2 5	1,144 1 5	23 1 6		
6. Out-door charity to Christians (b) For other purposes.		***	"		
1. Land revenue		1			
2. Cesses		1	30 5 6		
3. Local rates )					
4. Management	***	***	687 9 1		
5. Miscellaneous			266 4 8		
6. Burial of paupers 7. Public works relief	63 4 0	43 0 6	•••		
8. Repairs and contractions	28 14 0	•••	2,630 6 1		
9. Purchase of promissory notes	20 14 0		2,050 0 1		
10. Allowance to Assistant Surgeon and					
establishment from the funds					
11. Unforeseen charges	66 0 0	78 0 0	943 1 11		
12. School expenses			208 8 0		
13. Religious ceremonies 14. Garden expenses	***		170 14 6 257 13 1		
14. Garden expenses	•••	•••	201 15 1		
Total	8,426 9 9	7,222 3 8	7,360 9 8		
Balance on Sith September	1,093 5 2	23 11 7	9,965 6 2		

⁽a) Inclusive of Rs. 230-3-1 on account of alms. (b) Inclusive of Rs. 194-0-3 on Masjid expenses, Rs. 20, rewards to establishment and Rs. 413-10-0 on account of expenses account of tomb expenses.

cv )

during the year ending 30th September, 1888, (1295 Fasli)—(continued).

### RAICH DISTRICT.

Saiyad Salar.	Bhinga Di	spensary.	Bahraich Poor house.			
Year under report.	Previous year.	Year under report.	Previous year.	Year under report.		
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
9,965 6 2	4,814 15 2	5,004 0 2	871 7 10	1,079 10 2		
742 10 6 1,515 15 9						
108 14 9	1,215 10 0	1,200 0 0	299 6 2	296 0 0		
 172 1 0	240 0 0 	240 0 0 	1,100 0 0 300 0 0 381 11 2	1,010 0 0 300 0 0 484 8 0		
•••	***	•••	•••	•••		
4,359 6 2				2.150 2.2		
16,864 6 4	6,270 9 2	6,444 0 2	_ 2,952 9 2	3,170 2 2		
***	• •••					
220 6 10	376 7 6	409 15 11				
225 11 9 (a) 1,171 6 7	555 2 0	352 12 2 	390 4 0 151 5 6 			
90 1 6	269 2 6 65 13 0		1,156 13 6	751 7 0		
36 13 10						
902 9 9 (b) 1,577 8 5		77 11	102 6	44 9 0		
•••			72 2	28 5 0		
(e) 6,301 4 6						
•••		•••		***		
321 8 6			•••	•••		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		•••				
412 13			•••	•••		
11,686 1	3 1,266 9	971 12	1 1,872 15	0 1,263 10 0		
5,178 5	1 5,004 0	5,472 4	1,079 10	2 1,906 8 2		

account of Police guards, Rs. 459-14-8 on account of Kadam Rasul expenses, Rs. 37-8-8, of fair. (c). Inclusive of Rs. 698-13-9 on account of decoration and Rs. 361-13-7 on

APPENDIX X .- Statement of receipts and expenditure on account of trusts,

	Hardoi D	ISTRICT.	FYZABAD
Particulars.	Charitable I	Refuge Fund.	Balrámpur
	Previous year.	Year under report.	Previous year
RECEIPTS.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
I.—Balance in hand on 1st October	567 11 9	589 6 3	1,062 2 11
II.—Receipts during the year.  (a) Land rents  (b) House rents  (c) Interest on Government securities  (d) Debts recovered  (e) Government contributions  (f) Other contributions  (g) Other Miscellaneous income  (h) Refund of excess amount drawn on account of establishment  (i) Cash offerings at the shrine  Total	60 12 6  20 14 0  649 6 3	60 0 0 0 21 13 6 671 3 9	2,049 11 2 2,049 11 2    3,111 14 1
EXPENDITURE.  I.—Balance paid on account of previous year II.—PAID DURING THE YEAR.  (a) For trust purposes.  1. Dispensary establishment			
2. Poorhouse ditto 3. Medicines and contingencies			•••
<ul> <li>4. Allowances to relatives of donors and out-pensioners</li> <li>5. Dieting and clothing</li> <li>6. Out-door charity to Christians</li> </ul>	60 0 0	60 0 0	1,982 13 4
(b) For other purposes.  1. Land revenue 2. Cesses 3. Local rates			
4. Management			144 0 0 8 6 3  
9. Purchase of promissory notes 10. Allowance to Assistant Surgeon and establishment from the funds.			 
11. Unforeseen charges			
Total	60 0 0	60 0 0	2,135 3 7
Balance on 30th September	589 6 3	611 3 9	976 10 6

( cvii )

during the year ending 30th September, 1888, (1295 Fasli)—(concluded).

DISTRICT.			
Sadabart Fund.	Provinci	al Total.	Remarks.
Year under report.	Previous year.	Year under report.	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
976 10 6	40,745 3 10	*35,056 11 0	Exclusive of Rs. 1,093-5-2, which lapsed.
2,026 9 0  	502 15 0 2,903 15 9 39,451 10 6  17,693 8 9 3,224 5 10 569 13 11 7 8 0	758 10 6 1,515 15 9 22,216 4 6 108 14 9 17,410 0 0 3,087 11 8 1,165 5 9	
•••	6,729 14 9	4,359 6 2	
3,003 3 6	1,11,829 0 4	85,679 0 1	
•••	3,573 0 0	2,333 15 4	
***	13,125 0 0 390 4 0 7,391 5 1	13,013 2 3 352 2 9 6,695 9 7	
•••	22,373 11 10	20,710 2 7	
1,994 5 9	12,370 12 1 914 1 0	11,000 0 5 848 4 0	
•	30 5 6	36 13 10	
144 0 0 8 6 3		2,531 10 0	
•••	5,802 0 10	6,919 12 0	
•••	5,000 0 0		
•••	1,009 1 11 208 8 0 170 14 6 257 13 1	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
2,146 12 0	75,679 0 2	68,381 9 6	
856 7	36,150 0 2	2 17,297 6 7	

# APPENDIX XI.—STATEMENT OF DEMANDS, COLLECTIONS, HOUSES AND OF SAYER, OF THE TRUSTS IN THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER,

1	2		4		
Source of		Demo	ınds.	Collec	
income.	Name of trust and district.	For previous year.	For current year.	For previous year.	
Demand fo	r the year under report.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
(a) Rent of	Balrámpur Hospital, Luck- now.	16 0 0	16 0 0	16 0 0	
lands.	Dargah Saiyid Salar, Bah- raich.	746 2 3	613 0 0	597 4 0	
(b) Rent of	Balrámpur Hospital, Luck- now.	•••	***	•••	
houses.	Dargah Saiyid Salar, Bah- raich.	2,914 15 9	1,516 15 9	2,867 15 9	
	Total	3,677 2 0	2,145 15 9	3,481 3 9	
	unt of outstanding balances of revious years.				
Pomt of law 1	Balrámpur Hospital, Luck- now.	•••	***		
Rent of lands.	Dargah Saiyid Salar, Bah- raich.	178 6 3	148 14 3	5 0 0	
Rent of hous-	Balrámpur Hospital, Luck- now.		•••		
es.	Dargah Saiyid Salar, Bah- raich.	36 0 0	47 0 0	36 00	
	Total	214 6 3	195 14 3	41 00	
	GRAND TOTAL	3,891 8 3	2,341 14 0	3,522 3 9	

^{*} Includes Rs. 3 collected last

AND BALANCES, ON ACCOUNT OF RENT OF LAND AND DISTRICTS OF THE PROVINCE OF OUDH, DURING THE 1888, (1295 FASLI).

	5		7			
tions.	Remitted under the orders					
For current ment, NW. P. and Oudh.		Recover- able.	Doubtful.	Irrecover- able.	Total.	REMARKS.
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.	
16 00		•••				
* 606 00	•••	7 0 0			7 0 0	
***		•••				
1,468 15 9		48 0 0			48 00	
2,090 15 9	•••	55 0 0		••••	55 0 0	
•••		•••				
134 10 6		187 10 0	•		187 10 0	
•••	•••				•••	
47 0 0			•••		•••	
181 10 6		187 10 0	•••		187 10 0	
2,272 10 3		242 10 0	•••		242 10 0	

# APPENDIX XII.—STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILI EXCLUSIVE OF THE VALUE OF THE LANDED PROPERTY OUDH, DURING THE YEAR ENDING 30TH

	1									—— <u> </u>	
	LUCKNOW DISTRICT.										
King Hospi		•		King's Poorhouse.		Baillie Fund.		New Charity Fund, com- prized of— 1. Fami n e Relief. 2. New Cha- rity. 3. Mayo Me- morial.		Balrámpur Hospital.	
Assets.	Rs. a.	p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p.	Rs.	a. p	. Rs.	a. p.	
Government Securities.	3,67,600 0	0	3,40,100	0 0	21,100	0 0	48,300	0	1,13,000	0 0	
Cash in hand			4,610	15 1	•••	•	9,063	8	6 23	11 7	
Recoverable arrears			· ···		•••						
Recoverable arrears of interest.	15,756 0	0	2,160	0 0	1,609	14 11	689	5	4		
Total	3,83,356 0	_ _ _	3,46,870	15 1	22,709	14 11	58,052	13 1	0 1,13,023	11 7	
Liabilities.											
Surplus collections					•		•				
Advances from Government or others.					•		•				
Undischarged bills or unadjusted charges.	10,225 0	2			200	9 (		•			
Total	10,225 0	2			200	9 (		•			
Surplus Deficit	3,73,130 15 	10	3,46,870 	15 1	22,509	5 11	58,052	13 1 •	0 1,13,023	11 7	

TIES OF BENEVOLENT AND MISCELLANEOUS TRUSTS, AND HOUSES, IN THE DISTRICTS OF THE PROVINCE OF SEPTEMBER, 1888, (1295 FASLI).

RAT	HRAICH DISTRIC	· ·	HARDOI	FYZABAD	·
DA	HRAICH DISTRIC	PT.	DISTRICT.	DISTRICT.	
Dargah Bhinga Bahraich Poorhouse.			Charitable Refuge Fund.	Balrámpur Sadabart Fund.	Provincial Total
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
•••	30,000 0 0	7,300 0 0	1,500 0 0	50,000 0 0	9,78,900 0 0
5,178 5 1	5,472 4 1	1,906 8 2	611 3 9	856 7 6	27,722 15 9
242 10 0	<b></b>	•••		•••	242 10 0
•••	<b></b>	•••			20,215 4 3
5,420 15 1	35,472 4 1	9,206 8 2	2,111 3 9	50,856 7 6	10,27,080 14 0
***		• •••			
•••				<u>,</u>	. <del></del>
•••					10,425 9 2
•••	•••			••••	10,425 9 2
5,420 15 1	35,472 4 1	9,206 8 2	2,111 3 9	50,856 7 6	10,16,655 4 10
•••					•••

# APPENDIX XIII.—RETURN OF EDUCATIONAL ENDOWMENTS, OUDH, FOR THE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER, 1888, (1295 FASLI).

13	REMARKS.						
12	Surplus at close of the year, if any.	Bs. 18 0 0					
11	Expenditure of year under report as set forth in column 9.	Es. 36 0 0					
	Total. Total	. Bs. 54 0 0					
10	Surplus of for- met years. Income of the report. Year. Total.	Es. 36 0 0					
	Surplus of for-	. Es. 18 0 0					
6	Mode in which the fraction of the cernoop need to the most bas being the free free free free free free free fr	A prize with parchment certificate has been award- ed.					
æ	Under whose antho- fity expenditure of any kind is made.	AbnO ,sloodos to rotosquaI					
7	-rose sman secur nI rities are invested.	The Head Officer of the Educational Department, Morth-Western Provinces and Oudh.					
9	Detail of property comprised in the endowment.	Promissory Note for Rs. 900.					
٥	Object of endowment as expressed by do- nor or otherwise ascertained.	Out of the interest on the above endowment a prize in cash with a parchment certificate is to be awarded annually to the boy who does second best from all the Government sila schools in Oudh, at the Entrance Examination of the Calcutta University, provided always that the lad passes in the lat or 2nd Division.					
4	Vame of donor and date.	Officers of the Educational Department, Oudh, and native gentry. Different dates between the lat April, 1877, to 31st July, 1879.					
85	Name of trust or en-	Mesfield Testimonias Prize.					
2	District.	Lucknow.					
-	Division.	.dbrO					

	(	exiii	)
			The expenditure shown in column II other obsiges. In the balance are included Governm
6	ı	180,78	.gA
g	9	99 <i>1</i> ,64	.E.B.
0	0	698,02,1	.Rs.
₽	or	699'62	.Rg.
8	g	663, LA	.g.R.s.
teaching of all who have passed the ty. A High School for teaching of the al Department for the teaching of the f. Also a special classfor the teach.	igrə	viaU stt.	A College Department has been institi Entrance Examinstion of the Calou for the Untrance Examination. Als Oriental languages only. Also a Lav ing of the sons of Talükdárs.
Ditto.			Ditto.
sident, Canning College Committee.	Pre	bns , noi	The Commissioner, Lucknow Divis
sapulat riedt to samat rbas edt no	•4¤	ss per ce	Subscription by Talúkdúrs, 8 ann
othe late Earl Canning which should of the shildren of the shildren of the stensialy the means which they may have previously re-	rpe tpe	to noits ritsn ədt	province, and which should give to
february, 1864.	( प्र	La dbu	O to subbainisT
·pun,	ge E	ng Colleg	inasO
· •πnn	0.10	TRILITORS O	л <i>г</i> г

APPENDIX XIII.—Return of Educational Endowments, Oudh, for the year ending 30th September,

3 KEMYBERS' year if any. g Ra. 1,185 Surplus at close of the forth in column 9. under report as set τ Es. 3,811 Expenditure of year of year report. Total. 01 Ra. 4,996 rear. 2 Es. 4,572 0 Income of the Assetsmer years. 8 01 ₹6¥ Ez. -rof to sulquus given effect to. dowment has been 1888, (1295 Fash)—(continued) oplect of the en-Education of girls of European and Eurasian paren-Mode in which the any kind is made. rity expenditure of Governing body of the Martiniere College, Lucknow. 20 Under whose authorities are invested. vernment of India. In whose name secu-Secretary to Government of India; Solicitor to Goendo vment. 1,17,000. Detail of property comprised in the Government securities amounting to about certained. nor or otherwise as-'n Education of Europeans and Eurasians. as expressed by do-Object of endowment date of endowment, General Claud Martin. 13th September, 1800. Mame of denor and dowment. Martin Charity. -nH to cautt to small District. Lucknow.

·ubro

Division.

Scholarship at Rs. 5 a month to the best successful candidate in Entrance Examination, at Cauning College and Fyzabad High School.
Members of Jalsa-i-Tahzib.
Secretary to the Jalaa-i-Tahzib, Lucknow.
Rs. 1,500 in cash in the deposit of Delhi and London Bank, at Lucknow, at 5½ per cent. per annum.
Scholarship to the best successful candidate in Entrance Examination in the name of late Mr. Handford, Director of Public Instruction, Oudh.
General public, including members of Jalsa-i-Tahaib, Lucknow. 16th May, 1871.
Handford Scholarship Fund.
гискпом.
,dħro

Ra. 193 10 8

Rs. 70 2 0

Es. 181 4 8
Es. 263 12 8

13	REMARKS.	* Correct amount shown this year.
12	Surplus at close of the year, if any.	Rs. 186 5 2
11	Expenditure of year nnder report as set forth in column 9.	Es. 60 0 0
	Total.	Es, 246 5 2
10	Income of the registration	Es. 122 14 2
	Surplus of for- met years. Income of the regions. Jear. Total.	0 L 821 L8 *
6	Mode in which the on- object of the en- dowment has been given effect to.	One scholarship at Rs. 5 a month has been given to a candidate who has passed the Entrance Examina- tion with Persian for second language. No eligible Sanskrit scholar has yet been found.
8	Under whose autho- rity expenditure of any kind is made,	Deputy Commissioner, Bara Banki, and Director, Public Instruction, Morth-Western Provinces and Oudh.
<u>L</u>	In whose name secu- rities are invested.	The Deputy Commissioner, Bara Banki, and the Director of Public Instruction, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.
9	Detail of property comprised in the endowment,	Government promissory notes for Rs. 3,000.
5	Object of endowment as expressed by do- nor or otherwise secentained.	Out of the interest on the above endowment two ont of the interest on the above endowment the scholarships of Es. 60 each per annum will be given to two students whose parents are permanent residents of Bara Banki district, and who prior to election must have passed the Entrance Examination, one of them qualifying in Sanskrit as the second language and the otherin Arabic or Persian as the second language and pledging themselves to study those language and pledging themselves to study those languages with a view to passing B. A. examination in them.
4	Name of donor and date of endowment.	Shaikh Tassaduk Rasul Khan, Talukadár of Jahangir- abad, distriot Bara Banki.
3	Name of trust or en- dowment.	Jahangirabad Jubilee Scholarship Fund.
2	District.	Bara Banki.
	DIAISIOU	·πnno

-r		
13	EMYBES'	
13	Surplus at close of the year, if any.	Es. 1,320 ≰ 8
11	Expenditure of year under report as set forth in column 9.	Eg. 592 8 0
	year year Year Total.	Fg. 1,912 12 8
10	Jest g	Hs. 1,000 0 0
	Surplus of for-	Rs. 912 12 8
6	Mode in which the en- object of the en- dowment has been given effect to.	In erecting a boarding house and founding ten scholarships of Rs. 3 each, increased as occasion required,
8	-onder whose autho- rity expenditure of any kind is made.	The Trustees consisting of Commissioner, Deputy Commissioner, Inspector of Schools, Oudh, and the Head-Master for the time being.
7	In whose name secu- rities are invested.	The Deputy Commissioner of the district.
9	Detail of property comprised in the endownent.	Cash Rs. 12,500.
'n	Object of endowment as expressed by do- nor or otherwise ascertained.	To build a boarding house and to invest Rs. 10,000 in land securities at 10 per cent., to provide for scholarship.
4	Vame of donor and date of endowment.	The widow of Thekur Jagan Nath Bux, Thakurain of Simri. Earliest date on record, on the Simri file, 3rd June, 1871.
3	Mame of trust or en- dowment.	.irmi8
2	District.	Rae Bareli.
1	.noisivid	Oudh.

Hs, 6 15 2
Rs, 660 0 0
H3. 666 15 2
Hz. 658 6 8
Es. 8 9 6
.noiting gaivitd
Inspector of Schools, Ondh.
Deputy Commissioner, Bahraich.
Rs. 16,500 in Government 4 per cent, securities.
Rája Udai Pertab Singh, Talúkdár of Bhinga. 14th May, 1881.
Joodos ralnoarra-V-olgnA synidd
.поівтия В
,dbrO

( exvii )